```
1
                 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
 2
                    DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
           DIRECTOR'S HEARING ON PROPOSED REFUSE RATES
 3
 4
                   2017 REFUSE RATE APPLICATION
 5
 6
 7
                            CITY HALL
 8
           1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, ROOM 400
9
                     SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
10
11
                    Wednesday, April 26, 2017
12
                             Volume 6
13
14
                        (Pages 617 - 729)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
    ATKINSON-BAKER, INC.
    COURT REPORTERS
    (800) 288-3376
22
    www.depo.com
23
24
   REPORTED BY: MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR NO. 13876
25
    FILE NO.: AB0285D
```

			1
1	APPEARANCES	,	EVILIBIT C (CONTID)
2	FOR DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS:	1	EXHIBITS (CONT'D)
_	Mohammed Nuru, Director	2	NO. PAGE
3	Julia Dawson, Deputy Director of Finance and Administration	3	94 Abandoned Waste - Volume of Service 706
4	Jose Pujol	.	Orders by Day of Week [City]
5	City Hall, Room 348 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place	4	95 Abandoned Waste - Volume of Service 706
	San Francisco, CA 94102	_	Orders by Hour of Day [City]
6	FOR DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT:	5	96 Analysis of AMC/BIR Service Orders 710
7	Robert Haley, Zero Waste Program Manager	_	[City]
8	1455 Market Street, Suite 1200	6	
9	San Francisco, CA 94103 FOR OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY:	7	
1.0	Manu Pradhan, Deputy City Attorney	8	
10	Thomas Bruen, Of Counsel City Hall, Room 234	9	
11		10	
12	FOR THE RATEPAYERS: Rosie Dilger, Ratepayer Advocate	11	
13	FOR THE APPLICANT:	12	
14	Michael J. Baker, Esq. Arnold & Porter Kate Scholer LLP	13	
	Three Embarcadero Center	14	
15	10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111	15	
16	Sairtranuscu, CA 34111	16	
17	Garth Schultz	17	
	John Porter Mark Arsenault	18	
18 19	Dan Negron	19	
19	MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC: Tom Williams	20	
20	David Pilpel	21	
21	Tracy Thompson	22	
22		23	
23 24		24	
25	D (10	25	D 620
	Page 618	-	Page 620
1	INDEX	1	Wednesday April 20 2017
2	PAGE	1	Wednesday, April 26, 2017 8:09 a.m.
3	WITNESS: Garth Schultz EXAMINATION BY MS. DAWSON	2	PROCEEDINGS
4	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER		
	EXAMINATION DI MIC DAKEK	3	DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Let the hearing please
5	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER 670	4	DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Let the hearing please come to order.
5			
6	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER	4	come to order.
	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER	4 5	come to order.  Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City
6 7 8	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER.       670         EXAMINATION BY MR. HALLEY.       674         EXAMINATION BY MR. BRUEN.       675         RE-EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER.       679         WITNESS: John Porter & Mark Arsenault       EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER.       682         EXAMINATION BY MS. DAWSON.       700	4 5 6	come to order. Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show that
6 7	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER	4 5 6 7	come to order. Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show that today is Wednesday, April 26. The agenda for today's
6 7 8	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER.       670         EXAMINATION BY MR. HALLEY.       674         EXAMINATION BY MR. BRUEN.       675         RE-EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER.       679         WITNESS: John Porter & Mark Arsenault       EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER.       682         EXAMINATION BY MS. DAWSON.       700         WITNESS: Dan Negron & Mark Arsenault       EXAMINATION BY MS. DAWSON.       706	4 5 6 7 8 9	come to order. Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show that today is Wednesday, April 26. The agenda for today's hearing is on the table.
6 7 8 9	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER	4 5 6 7 8 9	come to order. Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show that today is Wednesday, April 26. The agenda for today's hearing is on the table. Today we're continuing the Director's hearing
6 7 8 9 10	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER.       670         EXAMINATION BY MR. HALLEY.       674         EXAMINATION BY MR. BRUEN.       675         RE-EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER.       679         WITNESS: John Porter & Mark Arsenault       EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER.       682         EXAMINATION BY MS. DAWSON.       700         WITNESS: Dan Negron & Mark Arsenault       EXAMINATION BY MS. DAWSON.       706         PUBLIC COMMENT BY TOM WILLIAMS.       719	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	come to order. Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show that today is Wednesday, April 26. The agenda for today's hearing is on the table. Today we're continuing the Director's hearing on Recology's application for an increase in residential
6 7 8 9	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	come to order. Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show that today is Wednesday, April 26. The agenda for today's hearing is on the table. Today we're continuing the Director's hearing on Recology's application for an increase in residential refuse collection and disposal rates. On April 14th,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	come to order.  Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show that today is Wednesday, April 26. The agenda for today's hearing is on the table.  Today we're continuing the Director's hearing on Recology's application for an increase in residential refuse collection and disposal rates. On April 14th, staff from the Department of Public Works and the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	come to order.  Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show that today is Wednesday, April 26. The agenda for today's hearing is on the table.  Today we're continuing the Director's hearing on Recology's application for an increase in residential refuse collection and disposal rates. On April 14th, staff from the Department of Public Works and the Department of the Environment issued the Staff Report.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	come to order.  Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show that today is Wednesday, April 26. The agenda for today's hearing is on the table.  Today we're continuing the Director's hearing on Recology's application for an increase in residential refuse collection and disposal rates. On April 14th, staff from the Department of Public Works and the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	come to order.  Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show that today is Wednesday, April 26. The agenda for today's hearing is on the table.  Today we're continuing the Director's hearing on Recology's application for an increase in residential refuse collection and disposal rates. On April 14th, staff from the Department of Public Works and the Department of the Environment issued the Staff Report.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	come to order. Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show that today is Wednesday, April 26. The agenda for today's hearing is on the table. Today we're continuing the Director's hearing on Recology's application for an increase in residential refuse collection and disposal rates. On April 14th, staff from the Department of Public Works and the Department of the Environment issued the Staff Report. Copies are also available on the table. The report recommendations are the focus of these final three
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	come to order. Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show that today is Wednesday, April 26. The agenda for today's hearing is on the table. Today we're continuing the Director's hearing on Recology's application for an increase in residential refuse collection and disposal rates. On April 14th, staff from the Department of Public Works and the Department of the Environment issued the Staff Report. Copies are also available on the table. The report recommendations are the focus of these final three hearings. I will hear testimony, cross-examination, and
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	come to order. Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show that today is Wednesday, April 26. The agenda for today's hearing is on the table. Today we're continuing the Director's hearing on Recology's application for an increase in residential refuse collection and disposal rates. On April 14th, staff from the Department of Public Works and the Department of the Environment issued the Staff Report. Copies are also available on the table. The report recommendations are the focus of these final three hearings. I will hear testimony, cross-examination, and rebuttal of the Staff's recommendation, and consider all
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	come to order.  Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show that today is Wednesday, April 26. The agenda for today's hearing is on the table.  Today we're continuing the Director's hearing on Recology's application for an increase in residential refuse collection and disposal rates. On April 14th, staff from the Department of Public Works and the Department of the Environment issued the Staff Report. Copies are also available on the table. The report recommendations are the focus of these final three hearings. I will hear testimony, cross-examination, and rebuttal of the Staff's recommendation, and consider all of the evidence including public testimony before making
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	come to order. Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show that today is Wednesday, April 26. The agenda for today's hearing is on the table. Today we're continuing the Director's hearing on Recology's application for an increase in residential refuse collection and disposal rates. On April 14th, staff from the Department of Public Works and the Department of the Environment issued the Staff Report. Copies are also available on the table. The report recommendations are the focus of these final three hearings. I will hear testimony, cross-examination, and rebuttal of the Staff's recommendation, and consider all of the evidence including public testimony before making my recommendation.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	come to order.  Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show that today is Wednesday, April 26. The agenda for today's hearing is on the table.  Today we're continuing the Director's hearing on Recology's application for an increase in residential refuse collection and disposal rates. On April 14th, staff from the Department of Public Works and the Department of the Environment issued the Staff Report. Copies are also available on the table. The report recommendations are the focus of these final three hearings. I will hear testimony, cross-examination, and rebuttal of the Staff's recommendation, and consider all of the evidence including public testimony before making my recommendation.  Today's hearing is being transcribed, so I
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	come to order.  Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show that today is Wednesday, April 26. The agenda for today's hearing is on the table.  Today we're continuing the Director's hearing on Recology's application for an increase in residential refuse collection and disposal rates. On April 14th, staff from the Department of Public Works and the Department of the Environment issued the Staff Report. Copies are also available on the table. The report recommendations are the focus of these final three hearings. I will hear testimony, cross-examination, and rebuttal of the Staff's recommendation, and consider all of the evidence including public testimony before making my recommendation.  Today's hearing is being transcribed, so I want to remind everyone who speaks to come forward and
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	come to order.  Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show that today is Wednesday, April 26. The agenda for today's hearing is on the table.  Today we're continuing the Director's hearing on Recology's application for an increase in residential refuse collection and disposal rates. On April 14th, staff from the Department of Public Works and the Department of the Environment issued the Staff Report. Copies are also available on the table. The report recommendations are the focus of these final three hearings. I will hear testimony, cross-examination, and rebuttal of the Staff's recommendation, and consider all of the evidence including public testimony before making my recommendation.  Today's hearing is being transcribed, so I
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	come to order.  Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show that today is Wednesday, April 26. The agenda for today's hearing is on the table.  Today we're continuing the Director's hearing on Recology's application for an increase in residential refuse collection and disposal rates. On April 14th, staff from the Department of Public Works and the Department of the Environment issued the Staff Report. Copies are also available on the table. The report recommendations are the focus of these final three hearings. I will hear testimony, cross-examination, and rebuttal of the Staff's recommendation, and consider all of the evidence including public testimony before making my recommendation.  Today's hearing is being transcribed, so I want to remind everyone who speaks to come forward and
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	come to order. Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show that today is Wednesday, April 26. The agenda for today's hearing is on the table. Today we're continuing the Director's hearing on Recology's application for an increase in residential refuse collection and disposal rates. On April 14th, staff from the Department of Public Works and the Department of the Environment issued the Staff Report. Copies are also available on the table. The report recommendations are the focus of these final three hearings. I will hear testimony, cross-examination, and rebuttal of the Staff's recommendation, and consider all of the evidence including public testimony before making my recommendation. Today's hearing is being transcribed, so I want to remind everyone who speaks to come forward and speak clearly into the microphone so that we can capture
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	come to order. Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru, Director of the Department of Public Works for the City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show that today is Wednesday, April 26. The agenda for today's hearing is on the table. Today we're continuing the Director's hearing on Recology's application for an increase in residential refuse collection and disposal rates. On April 14th, staff from the Department of Public Works and the Department of the Environment issued the Staff Report. Copies are also available on the table. The report recommendations are the focus of these final three hearings. I will hear testimony, cross-examination, and rebuttal of the Staff's recommendation, and consider all of the evidence including public testimony before making my recommendation. Today's hearing is being transcribed, so I want to remind everyone who speaks to come forward and speak clearly into the microphone so that we can capture your entire testimony.

Public Works clerk to make an announcement concerning 1 MR. PRADHAN: This document is a March 22nd. 2 2 the Department's efforts to comply with Title VI of the 2017 memo to the Waste Management Authority Board, and 3 it will be Exhibit 85. Civil Rights Act and ask the corporation with a public 4 participation survey. (Exhibit 85, "StopWaste Memorandum [Public]," 5 Mr. Pujol, please proceed with your 5 was admitted into evidence.) 6 MS. DAWSON: As a second matter of 6 announcement. 7 7 MR. PUJOL: Jose Pujol. housekeeping -- I think it's Exhibit 78, which is the 8 8 Staff Report. It was pointed out to me that the Title VI of the Civil Rights Act requires 9 9 equal and equitable access to San Francisco Public Works particular paper versions that were passed out at the 10 program activities and services. To document that the 10 last hearing did not have the appendix included in the 11 document, so I have the correct version of the Staff 11 Department is in compliance with Title VI, we ask that 12 12 everyone attending and participating in today's hearing Report; so the only difference here is that the appendix 13 complete a public participation survey. However, this 13 is now included in the document. 14 survey is optional and completing it is not required for 14 MR. PRADHAN: So we will use this version of 15 15 participation. The data that you provide will be the document including the appendix as Exhibit 78 analyzed and used to ensure residents and stakeholders 16 substituting out the old version which did not include 17 17 the appendix as Ms. Dawson stated. in the community are involved in the refuse rate hearing 18 18 process. The information will not be used for any other MS. DAWSON: I have it as 78. 19 purposes. You will find this survey on the sign-in 19 MR. PRADHAN: I believe it's Exhibit 78. 20 20 MS. DAWSON: 79 is R3's consulting report. table. Please place completed survey forms in the 21 21 collection box. GARTH SCHULTZ, 22 22 having first been duly sworn, Thank you. 23 DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you, Mr. Pujol. 23 was examined and testified as follows: 24 24 Let me briefly outline the order of business MS. DAWSON: Jose, when you get the chance, 25 25 for today. We will begin with the testimony from the I have something to put on the screen. Page 622 Page 624 **EXAMINATION** 1 City's financial consultant on analysis related to the 1 2 2 proposed refuse rate structure and the evaluation of BY MS. DAWSON: 3 Q. Good morning, Mr. Schultz. How are you? Recology's revenues and expenses as described in the 4 4 I'm well. Good morning. Staff Report, as well as testimony from Recology on the 5 rate proposal. 5 So I'm going to be up here because there's 6 We will then offer the opportunity for 6 an awful lot of exhibits and I wasn't really sure how we 7 7 cross-examination by City staff, Recology, and the would do it any other way. Ratepayer Advocate. The Companies will have the 8 But before we get into a discussion of the 9 9 rate structure which is really going to be the bulk of opportunity to provide additional testimony and evidence 10 10 the testimony, I did want to touch briefly on the work in response to the Staff Report. 11 11 We have a final hearing scheduled for May 3. of R3 in regards to both the revenues and expenses of 12 12 the rate application and just an overview of the cost As in prior hearings, we will reserve the last period of 13 13 each day for public comment. You may also convey your 14 14 comments to Ms. Rosie Dilger from the Ratepayer This is -- I'm going to go ahead and introduce 15 15 this detail at as a new exhibit, but it also exists in a Advocate. 16 Are there any questions? If not, I would like 16 similar form in the Staff Report and also in R3's work. 17 17 But just for ease of reference, I've gone ahead and to ask Ms. Dawson of Public Works to call her first created a separate exhibit. 18 18 witness. Julia, will you please proceed with your first 19 MR. PRADHAN: This will be Exhibit 86. 19 witness. 20 20 MS. DAWSON: Indeed. I'd like to invite Garth (Exhibit 86, "Drivers of Proposed Increase 21 21 Schultz. But while he's coming up, I have an exhibit [City]," was admitted into evidence.) 22 that's been requested to be introduced by a member of BY MS. DAWSON: 23 the public, David Pilpel. It's around the work on the 23 Q. I'm wondering if you could at least just touch 24 briefly, Mr. Schultz, on the kind of work that R3 did digester in Alameda County. So while Garth is moving 25 his way up here, I'd like to introduce this exhibit. perform on a review of the revenues and expenses in the Page 623 Page 625

#### Recology application. high-level overview meant to show comparisons between 2 A. Yes. So as a part of our work for the City 2 the types of drivers within the rate application itself. 3 on this project, we reviewed the entirety of Recology's So "Business as Usual" for us were any of the rate applications for Recology San Francisco and for categories that weren't clearly linked to things like 4 Sunset Scavenger/Golden Gate. We reviewed each line of 5 the new landfill agreement, change in composting costs, 6 new programatic costs proposed by Recology in the rate the model that was prepared and presented by the Company, looking at individual lines of expenses and 7 application such as the switch from dual-stream garbage 8 revenues making sure that mathematical assumptions work, and recycling cling to a single-stream recycling, 9 that the math throughout the entire document is logical getting additional capacity for the city. and makes sense and is reasonable. And then for the 10 10 So the "Business as Usual" is really anything 11 major categories of cost drivers as well as revenue 11 that was just standard cost adjustments over time that 12 12 drivers, working with Recology staff who were responsive weren't clearly attributed to some other change. 13 to our requests on supporting documentation for things 13 Q. Okay. And then we come to the next category, 14 like health care, workers' compensation, insurance 14 which is "Change in Participation to Existing Programs." 15 That's 24% of the increase. Can you describe that a 15 factors, salary factors -- really, you know, the 16 background information on which their calculations in 16 little bit? 17 17 the rate application is grounded. A. Yeah. So we liked at the staffing models and Q. And so before I go any further, I should have 18 18 the head counts and the costs associated with those that 19 asked you. Can you please kind of introduce yourself 19 were proposed by Recology, including the rate of change 20 20 and describe your professional experience and that of over time since the rate application was -- the last 21 your company in refuse rate-setting and your background 21 rate application was approved for 2014. And this is 22 22 and role overall on the project? something -- these are the categories in which there's 23 A. Absolutely. So R3 Consulting Group is a 23 been some natural growth due to increases in 24 consulting group that exclusively serves municipal participation. This includes things like the BIC 25 agencies on the matters of solid waste and recycling program, the AMC program, participation in drop-off at Page 626 Page 628 consulting -- everything from procurements through the transfer stations, and natural growth within the 1 2 planning documents, including operational reviews and overall composting, recycling and trash collection detailed financial reviews such as this one. 3 3 sphere. 4 4 Q. And likely because this has been a period of Our role here was to assist City staff in the 5 evaluation of the overall rate application to ensure growth for the city as well? 6 that it was logical, well-calculated, reasonable, and 6 A. I would say so. 7 supportable. Our company has 15 years of experience 7 Q. Okay. Going to the next category, 8 doing this and I've been working in the field 15-plus 8 "Implementation of New Programs." What is that entail? 9 years myself. A. So this category was really linked to the 10 Q. Okay, thank you. So we introduced the work 10 proposed changes by Recology, the addition of the 23 that you had done in detail as an exhibit in the last additional drivers and routes and trucks for the change 11 11 12 12 hearing. And as a result of your work, we did make a in the curbside program implementation as well as other variety of recommendations. But I think overall you new implementation factors: increases in staffing for 13 13 14 could say that with the exception of some of the BIC and AMC, increases in staffing for outreach and 15 recommendations that we included in the Staff Report, 15 education, other increases in programatic arenas that 16 that you found Recology's application to be supported 16 were clearly laid out within the application. 17 17 and with good documentation and assumptions overall? So new changes. 18 18 Q. Okay. And then "Capital Investments" is A. That's correct, yes. 19 19 somewhat self-explanatory. "Composting Costs"? Q. So let's talk a little bit about the drivers 20 of the increase here. And you can see from this pie, 20 A. The composting cost was related to the change 21 so when you look at the figure here, we can see that a 21 in the composting-per-ton rate that Recology pays for 22 large amount of the, increase about 28%, is described as 22 the processing of the organic materials. That has gone 23 "Business as Usual." 23 up, and they explain that within the application that 2.4 What did that mean from your perspective? that's due to regulatory changes and constraints on that 25 A. Yes. So when we created this, this was a 25 marketplace. Page 627 Page 629

```
Q. And then the green part, the 20%.
                                                                     customers are going to experience an effective increase
 2
      A. And this is directly related to the change in
                                                                 2
                                                                     of between either 0% to 16.4%, 9% of customers are
                                                                 3
    the landfill agreement that's been previously explained
                                                                     actually going to see a rate decrease, and 36% of
                                                                     customers are going to experience a greater than 16.4%
    by Recology. It did result in a significant increase in
                                                                 4
    the per-ton tipping rate for landfill material through
                                                                 5
                                                                     increase.
 6
                                                                 6
                                                                           I'd like to talk about this in a little bit
    the process they explained, but that's a pretty
 7
    significant chunk.
                                                                 7
                                                                     more detail by introducing another exhibit that was
 8
                                                                 8
      Q. So when we look at all these, if you add about
                                                                     produced by R3, which goes over the summary of these
    20% of the green, 28% of the blue, 24% of the orange,
                                                                 9
                                                                     impacts, in particular, for the one-unit customer.
10
    you know, you end up with about almost 70%. And then,
                                                                10
                                                                     And then we're going to spend a little bit more time on
    you know, if we would think that the implementation of
                                                                 11
                                                                     the more-than-one-unit customer.
    new programs is also somewhat driven by customer
                                                                 12
                                                                           So this exhibit includes both.
12
                                                                13
13
    behavior as well as policy, the amount that would be
                                                                           MS. DAWSON: Manu, if we could just mark this
14
    considered more -- I'm not sure if the word
                                                                 14
                                                                     as a new exhibit.
    "discretionary" is really right, but things that we
                                                                15
                                                                           MR. PRADHAN: This will be admitted as
15
16
    really have the ability to move around, it seems
                                                                16
                                                                     Exhibit 88. And this is the "Summary of Rate Impacts by
17
    somewhat limited.
                                                                 17
                                                                     Account Type" table.
                                                                           (Exhibit 88, "Summary of Rate Impacts
18
      A. I would say that that's true given the policy,
                                                                 18
19
    aims of the City in the goals that Recology's looking to
                                                                19
                                                                           by Account Type [City]," was admitted
20
                                                                 20
    achieve within this rate application; that's correct.
                                                                           into evidence.)
21
                                                                21
                                                                     BY MS. DAWSON:
      Q. Okay, all right. So let's move on to more of
22
                                                                 22
    a discussion around rate structure. And I am going to
                                                                       Q. So exhibit is two-sided. We're going to focus
23
    actually introduce a slightly-corrected version of an
                                                                 23
                                                                     first on the customers which are one unit. And
24
    exhibit that is -- was Exhibit 68, which wasn't like it
                                                                 24
                                                                     Mr. Schultz, can you walk us through this table?
25
                                                                 25
    was exactly wrong, but it grouped things differently and
                                                                       A. Yes. So this is a table we prepared
                                                     Page 630
                                                                                                                      Page 632
                                                                 1
    I think this is a probably more accurate view of the
                                                                      essentially summarizing information from Recology's rate
 2
    rate distribution between residential and apartment
                                                                 2
                                                                      model they shared with us that indicated how various
                                                                 3
 3
    customers.
                                                                      groupings of service mixes, which is the second column
 4
           MR. PRADHAN: Ms. Dawson, is this
                                                                 4
                                                                      in from the left, are impacted by the change in the rate
                                                                 5
 5
    substantively different?
                                                                      structure as outlined by Ms. Dawson.
           MS. DAWSON: No, it's new. Because the old
 6
                                                                 6
                                                                            So the current service mix column, it's a bit
 7
    one had slightly different groupings. This is just --
                                                                 7
                                                                      coded and so I'll explain it. What that indicates is
 8
           MR. PRADHAN: Perhaps we should mark it as an
                                                                 8
                                                                      the number of units, the size of the trash container
9
    exhibit?
                                                                      which is the number proceeded by the "T," the size of
10
           MS. DAWSON: Yes, please.
                                                                 10
                                                                      the recycling container which is proceeded by "R," and
11
           MR. PRADHAN: Okay. So this will be 87.
                                                                      then the size of the composting container with the "111"
12
           (Exhibit 87, "Percentage Change in Monthly
                                                                 12
                                                                      on the end indicating that that's a one-unit customer
                                                                 13
13
           Rates [City]," was admitted into evidence.)
                                                                      with a 96-gallon trash/64-gallon recycling/32 compost,
    BY MS. DAWSON:
14
                                                                      and they have one container of each. If you see other
15
                                                                      codes that indicate a "121" or a "122," that indicates
       Q. Okay. So this exhibit shows a distribution of
                                                                15
16
    customer rate increases under Recology's proposed
                                                                16
                                                                      multiple containers for that specific container type and
17
    structure, which is a fixed charge of $20 dollars per
                                                                 17
                                                                      size.
18
    unit and then $10.44 for 32 gallons of trash and $5.22
                                                                18
                                                                            So what we sought to do with this is
19
    for each 32 gallons of recyclables and compostables.
                                                                 19
                                                                      grouping them by customers with trash container sizes
20
    So I'd like to start by talking about the residential
                                                                 20
                                                                      as indicated on the far left so that customers currently
21
    customers; so those are the ones over here on the
                                                                 21
                                                                      subscribing to a 96-gallon trash, 64, 32 and 20
    left-hand side, and talk a little bit about the
                                                                 22
                                                                      respectively. This represents the entirety of the
23
    distributions that we see.
                                                                 23
                                                                      universe for single-family residential accounts
24
          So based on the way that the rate increase
                                                                 24
                                                                      currently serviced by Recology in San Francisco.
25 moves around between different customers, 55% of
                                                                     We show the number of accounts, the percentage of total
```

Page 633

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

20

21

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

25

accounts, and then the monthly rate they're paying now 2 versus the monthly rate that they would be paying if the 3 rates structure is approved.

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

25

And then we also further detail whether or not those customers are in a position to reduce their rate by reducing their trash container size, by recycling more or consuming less. Also whether or not they could reduce their rate by optimizing their recycling and composing either by better utilizing the containers that they have already, potentially downsizing those containers as a result of waste reduction, or other strategies.

Q. So I notice that you've highlighted one of 14 these rows in yellow, and it appears that the biggest group of customers who're going to experience a larger--than-average rate increase are what we've discussed as the small-quantity generators; is that correct?

18 A. That is correct. So these are the folks that 19 are currently in the 20-gallon, which is right now the 20 smallest container size. Under the proposal, those 21 folks would continue to receive the 20-gallon size at 22 the 16-gallon rate; so they'll receive a bit of extra 23 trash capacity at no additional cost. They'll actually 24 further receive as via the proposal a \$5-a-month 25 additional credit from Recology proposed for the first

Page 634

currently subscribed to those low container volumes will start to pay more of their fair share, if you will, of the cost of the collection programs and all the other programs that are included in the rates that they pay, not just the collection of material from their curbside.

#### Q. So are there any other big categories of customer on this table that stand out, in your view?

A. I think the other largest categories -- and this is going to comprise 50% of the overall subscriber base for residential services, not just the single-unit services but also the multi-unit residential accounts -would be those who are currently in the 32-gallon trash container size. These are the subscribers that would 14 have the option to migrate to the 16-gallon trash container with an upsize in their recycling containers 16 in particular if they're currently in a 32/32/32. Those folks would receive additional volume at the same rate as if they were to keep their current subscription 19 levels. And it's a big chunk overall, but they're

right around the average rate increase of 16%. They further do have potential alternatives accept the

22 23

downgrade or the downsizing from a 32 to the 16. They 24

could, if they manage the material as well or reduced 25

the amount they produce of recyclables, keep a 32-gallon Page 636

year to mitigate the rate increases they would be 1 2

The reason they're highlighted is because they're in the smallest container sizes for each of the three streams. They don't realistically have alternatives to reduce their rate by downsizing or consuming less or producing less waste overall -- with the exception they were share accounts with someone else

Right. Although seeing that this impact is happening does kind of reflect the shift that Recology's mentioned that trying to move the rate structure overall to reflect fixed costs that they incur and not solely variable based on volume.

15 A. Yes, absolutely. And this is getting closer 16 to that fixed variable balance overall. In the prior 17 rate structure and rate structures in other communities, 18 what we have seen is the fixed costs of the program are 19 largely carried by the larger waste generators. And so 20 this shift, in our opinion, is consistent with what we 21 see happening within the industry. San Francisco is a 22 leader in this. At this point, there aren't many other 23 communities looking at making similar types of changes 24 overall.

The result, though, is that the folks who are

recyclable can and potentially save \$5 dollars a month 2 on that charge -- the difference between a 64 and a 32 3 for recycling. 4

Q. So I notice that this exhibit also shows about that about between 7% and 8% of single-unit customers will pay less under the proposed rate structure. What can you tell us about those customers? A. Those customer are primarily those in larger

trash container sizes -- now the 64 and the 96es. The 96-gallon customers will have a mandatory migration from the 96 to the 64. The 96-gallon would no longer be offered; they would be moved to a 64-gallon, and their rate decrease is really consistent with what they would currently save if they were to do that same shift from 96 to 64. The rate for the 96-gallon folks, the change in the rate structure isn't driving this as much as just that mandatory migration down to the smaller container

18 size. 19 For the 64-gallon customers, they aren't 20 having any change in their service subscription levels. 21 As a default part of the rate application, these folks 22 will save a bit as well, and that's primarily due to the 23 overall decrease in the volumetric charge for trash in 24 comparison to recycling and composting.

Q. Okay. Let's leave the "U-1," one-unit

customers, and move on to the table on the other side of the exhibit which is kind of a review of customers with between two and five units by group. Okay. So do you see anything similar between

Okay. So do you see anything similar between the residential customers in one-units with customers in two-to-five units?

A. There's similar overall trends. Although within the service units within two to five range, the impacts are more spread out throughout the various service mixes. There are fewer overall service mixes and significantly fewer number of accounts compared to the single-unit subscribers. The trends are roughly the same in that we see some rate decreases for those in the larger trash container sizes, More steep rate increases for those in the lower waste-generating sizes.

But also I think a greater number of alternatives to note will be able to reduce their waste generation and therefore their rate by downsizing from their current trash container sizes or potentially their diversion container sizes by reducing waste or better utilizing the capacity that they have.

Q. But again, in terms of what's proposed in the rate application, those customers that are using the smaller trash size are offered the credit?

A. Correct. The rate application's calculation

Page 638

well as the multi-unit 2-through-5-unit dwellings. And
 those are shown on the left of this table. Those
 service mixes are 32/32/32, 20/32/32, and then further
 on down the rows with the larger overall container
 sizes.

Taken together, whether they're a single-unit through five-unit, these four service mixes comprise 80% of the overall accounts in place within the city, and those are shown in the "Number of Accounts" column. So what we've done here is simply show the current monthly rate that is charged based on the information we received from Recology and then divided that by the number of units in order to come up with a current monthly rate per unit, indicating that four multi-unit customers on an individual per-unit basis for the same amount of volume of service that they receive at that account, that per-unit cost is a bit less. That said, they do have access to less service overall, but they're also required to have less service overall through the Ordinance.

We also then demonstrate what the new monthly rate, and that new monthly rate is calculated based on Recology's rate application proposal and we indicate the service -- the cost of service per unit under that. The trends are that the more units you have for that level  $\frac{1}{2} Page 640$ 

of the \$5-dollar-per-month credit does, in my
 calculation, include these apartment customers -- sorry,
 not apartment customers, these U-2/U-5 customers.

Q. Okay. So when we look at the rates, we've also talked a little bit about what that might look like on not just an account count which is what we're looking at here, but more on a monthly rate per unit. And so I'd like to go ahead and move to a conversation on that, and I have a new exhibit to introduce.

MR. PRADHAN: That's a chart called
"Comparison of Major Service Mixes by Number of Units,"
one page. It will be marked as Exhibit 89.
(Exhibit 89, "Comparison of Major Service
Mixes by Number of Units [City]," was

admitted into evidence.)

16 BY MS. DAWSON:

Q. So in Recology's testimony, they talked about multi-unit accounts in some ways getting a different rate than single-family residences when you look at it on a per-unit basis. Can you walk us through your analysis of this information?

A. Yes. So in order to investigate that, we
 looked at the primary service mixes -- current service
 mixes that are in place now and took the four largest
 standard service mixes both for single-unit dwellings as

of service, of course, the less it is charged on a per-unit basis.

#### Q. Right. Because you're distributing those fixed costs?

A. You're distributing it over several units; correct.

# Q. So among these multi-unit accounts, are there any other big customer types that stand out by being either above or below the average increase?

A. Yes. And I think this chart and the prior chart in our table both show that within the multi-unit, we see three main categories that are of a larger overall subscription basis. So we've got three categories that are above 2000 accounts apiece. Most of the other categories, the service mix categories have many fewer accounts that are subscribed.

So the primary ones are two-unit accounts. In fact, these are all two-unit account categories, some with a 64 trash, 64 recycling, and 32 organics. Their rate increase is less than the average at \$12 dollars per month and they do have further options within that to potentially downsize by reducing their consumption as well as better utilizing organics and recycling.

There's another category of subscriber there increasing by \$19 dollars a month. That's going to be

Page 641

2

above the 16.4% average rate increase, and these are folks who are currently in a 32/64/32. These customers 3 would have the opportunity to move to the 16-gallon trash and keep their 64-gallon recycling. They could further reduce their rate by reducing their recycling 6 subscription by better utilizing it or reducing 7 consumption.

And then there's a final category, two-unit customers that are currently in the 32/32/32 combination whose rates will increase by \$20 dollars a month. 11 That's also above the 16.4% average.

Q. Okay.

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

A. There are other -- that's about 7,000 of the 18,000 overall multi-unit accounts, but there aren't any other large categories that stand out in quite this way.

Q. Okay, great. So when we've been discussing the rates over the last month or so, we've heard a lot of concern from the public and the Ratepayer Advocate about the increase and the fixed unit charge from \$5 dollars to 20. And so I'd like to at least kind of go through or review the detailed work that R3 performed and is reflected in a brief discussion in the Staff Report and more fully in their exhibit.

But I've extracted the pages that we're going to go through and I would like to go ahead and introduce

Page 642

understand how much does the unit charge change affect the distribution overall.

3 Then the other variables we looked at were --4 the current rate proposal has a 2:1 ratio of trash to recycling or composting volumetric charges whereas the 5

6 ratio right now is 12:1; so we looked at other ratios

7 within this. Really, we kept the half, the 2:1 ratio

8 for one portion of this analysis and then we looked at a

9 3:1 ratio. We also -- and it's not included in this

table -- looked 4:1, 5:1, 6:1, 7:1 ratios overall. And 10

11 you can see the distribution of what would be the unit

12 charge or the volumetric rates are included within this.

13 So you can see at the very top row the various per-unit

rates, and then in the middle sections, various options 15 that were at least evaluated for the purposes of our

report to the trash volumetric rate and the diversion 16

17 volumetric rates.

18 O. So let's talk a little bit about more of the 19 distribution of the rate increases of the scenarios that 20 you looked at. So this is a figure that kind of shows 21 it in graph form what those distributions look like.

22 Can you speak to this a little bit?

23 A. Yes, and we were a little surprised by this 24 outcome. This is just for the four alternatives that

were on the prior table. We saw very similar trends to

Page 644

this as an additional exhibit just for ease of review.

MR. PRADHAN: This document is three pages of tables. It will be admitted as Exhibit 90. (Exhibit 90, "Alternative Rate Structure Scenario [City]," was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. DAWSON:

Q. So what we've done is pull these figures directly from the report that was produced by R3 and introduced into evidence in the last meeting. So as part of the rate review and the work that we've been doing, we did look at whether there were alternative rate structures that had different outcomes or different or more even distributions between customers.

So I'd like to go ahead and start with this first table, which is Table 20 on page 65 of your detailed report, and it shows a number of alternative rate structures that R3 evaluated as part of its work. Can you please walk us through this table?

20 tested a couple different variables, and this is just a 21 representation of what we adjusted within those variable sets. But the variables to play with were what was the 23 per-dwelling-unit rate. It's currently at \$5; it's 24 proposed at \$20. We looked at a couple midpoints along 25 that range, \$10 and \$15 dollars and change, just to

A. Yeah, sure. So what this indicates is we

this graphically when we looked at other combinations as

2 well. But ultimately, the basic distribution of which

customer accounts and how many customer accounts are 3

4 experiencing what level of rate increase is relatively

5 similar throughout the various combinations. You end up

6 with a high number of accounts that are experiencing a

7 rate increase right at around the average rate increase,

and then a consistent bump in accounts more around the

9 30% range that are also, you know -- let me rephrase

10 that.

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A number of accounts -- a high number of 12 accounts that are experiencing rate increases in the 30% range, in that very slightly depending upon the scenario, but what we were not able to do effectively via our playing with the values included in the rate structure was eliminate this impact to the customers experiencing that higher 30% or increase.

Q. And then higher, what we've been calling internally the "double bump," that really reflects again the low-waste generators just like the proposed rate structure?

A. Correct. It's the low-waste generators and it's also this move towards including more fixed costs in the cost of service. Any increase in the per-unit rate to reflect that fixed cost helps keep that double

Page 645

bump there. types, all customer types, and it does a couple of Right. Even if it's smaller than what's 2 Q. 2 things. One it does, it increases the gap between the 3 proposed? 3 rate for a lower waste generator that has a lower rate 4 Α. Correct. and a higher waste generator that has a higher rate. 5 Okay. So this sort of follow-on table which 5 That gap continues to grow if you apply the rate 6 is in your report kind of just shows for the most 6 increase consistently across, it's the same way that we 7 significant number of kind of customer accounts types. see income caps grow in that same way. 8 8 Why don't you walk us through this table. So when you apply it evenly, you have a gap 9 A. Yeah. So for the three main categories of 9 that grows between the lower rates and the higher rates. 10 10 account types comprising that nearly 60% or so of And even within most of those rate structures, currently 11 overall accounts, when we looked at the alternatives, 11 including the City's current rate structure, is that so the "Proposed" column is the percent rate increase 12 12 there isn't a closely-correlated alignment between the 13 that would be experienced by that specific service mix. 13 rates people pay and the fixed cost of service, and 14 When we worked to adjust some of the alternatives and 14 that's been Recology's argument. If you apply the rate 15 15 play with some alternative scenarios, the overall evenly in that way, you're only further growing that 16 increase to that specific service mix wasn't that far 16 disparity so that you have the lower waste generators, 17 out of line with what their proposal is. We see some 17 the lower ratepayers not paying as close to a fair share 18 increases in certain scenarios, some decreases in 18 component of the fixed costs and the higher waste 19 19 certain scenarios for certain service mixes. But generators bear more and more of that if you apply rates 20 overall, the overall trend is very similar, again, 20 in that wav. 21 21 regardless of the specific adjustments that we were We do see that there are many jurisdictions 2.2 evaluating to the per-unit fee or the per-unit charge 22 throughout California and the Bay Area in particular 23 23 that are working to adjust their rate structures and and the volumetric charges. 24 Q. Right. Again, so we've pretty much found this 24 modify them to achieve aims similar to what's being 25 similar structure, similar impact? 25 sought here. Those are -- they apply some different Page 646 Page 648 1 strategies. This strategy, I think, is the most direct A. Yes, exactly. Similar structure, similar 2 2 impacts. And I think that one of the things that was and I think actually fairly transparent, and it is a bit notable in terms of our evaluation of working with the ahead of the curve in terms of what we're seeing for different charges, and this is something that Recology other communities. 5 represented as kind of "finding the sweet spot." 5 Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit more about 6 We were able to somewhat reproduce that in that we able the fixed cost piece. We did touch a little bit at the 7 to see the largest number of customers at around the 7 beginning more on what's driving the rate increase, but 8 average rate increase via what they proposed. I didn't I do want to talk about -- Recology has stated in their find another alternative that I thought worked better. evidence that a significant portion of their collection 10 Q. Right. So that distribution really is about 10 and processing costs are fixed. That is, they don't 11 as optimal as we can be given policy direction that 11 change regardless of the actual volume of material 12 12 collected. So I'd like to ask you just a few more we're trying to achieve of de-emphasizing the trash and 13 reflecting the true cost of service? 13 questions around this topic, and I would like to 14 14 A. I believe so, ves. refer -- and I'm not going resubmit it -- Exhibit 43, Q. So you know, I'm sure that it's possible a 15 15 which was submitted by Recology, and the particular 16 kind of question like this would come up. Well, a more 16 exhibit was titled "Fixed vs. Variable Cost Analysis" 17 straightforward approach is why don't you just increase 17 and it was prepared by Armanino. 18 18 every rate component by the percentage that's needed to Did you look at that report? And can you kind 19 cover the cost, like the 16.4% average? And then every 19 of summarize what your professional view is of that 20 customer experiences the same increase. But we're doing 20 report?

Page 649

A. Yes, we did. And I do have it in front of me

attributed as fixed, and about 38% -- and I'll round up

to 40% or so -- that are variable costs. You know, I've

to 62% portion of the Companies' costs that are

here. What it summarizes is there's approximately a 60%

Page 647

21

22

23

21

22

23

24

that and we talked about it a little bit, but I'm

A. Yeah, so many jurisdictions will simply take a

you could speak to that a little.

25 rate increase and apply it evenly to all container

wondering given your experience in the industry whether

9

11

16

18

1 looked at the specific categories that are included as being fixed versus variable, and in fact the methodology for some specific line items apportions them between fixed and variable based on some formulas that are 5 outlined within the report.

6 And the end result, this 60% fixed and 7 40% variable, is not out of line with what we see in 8 other detailed rate reviews like this and in other just jurisdictions and also in our own experience having 10 worked in and managed operations -- albeit them smaller scale operations to Recology's -- but certainly it's in alignment with our understanding of what the real cost 12 13 of doing business in the industry is.

14

18

19

20

21

23

Q. Okay. And so one just kind of final point on 15 this topic. Turning back to the application itself, in 16 the narrative summary Recology talks about 32 programs 17 that it currently operates in San Francisco between collection companies Sunset Scavenger and Golden Gate and the processing and disposal company which we'll call Recology San Francisco.

So based on the description in the application 22 itself, do you think it's reasonable to conclude that the cost of some of these programs are essentially fixed 24 costs and they don't vary with the amount in volume of 25 materials collected and processed?

Page 650

disposal of certain items and also accessible services 2 to the public when they need to go to the transfer 3 stations, for instance.

A. Correct. And then that would be reflected in 4 5 the fixed charge components of their rates.

6 MS. DAWSON: Okay. Those are all the 7 questions that I have.

8 DIRECTOR NURU: Okay.

MS. DAWSON: And I'd like to offer --

10 DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you, Ms. Dawson.

I would like to invite Recology to have the

12 opportunity to cross-examine. Mr. Baker, would you like 13 to --

14 MR BAKER: Yes

15 We would like to get organized.

**EXAMINATION** 

17 BY MR. BAKER:

Q. Good morning, Mr. Schultz.

Good mornina. 19

20 So you -- I didn't catch it, but you said you

21 personally have been working for R3 in this business for

22 how long?

23 A. I have been personally with R3 for two-and-a-

24 -half years now. 25

But you've worked in this field before?

Page 652

1 A. I would say that's accurate. I think that the costs will vary slightly within accordance to the amount of material they're processing. But just to operate 4 those programs and to plan for that variability, you 5 need the a base level infrastructure and staffing and 6 other costs within that. And realistically, the 7 variation that we talk about in terms of program participation and things like Household Hazardous Waste and others is somewhat minimal in comparison to the 10 overall amount that they're handling. You may see variations swinging month-to-month of season-to-season, 12 but if folks were to not produce any of that stuff, yes, 13 we would have a true variable cost there that we could

14 adjust over time. But given reasonably-consistent participation 15 16 levels or even increasing participation levels, the base 17 costs of the infrastructure and the staffing to keep 18 those programs available and accessible for customers is 19 essentially a fixed basis. You've got to keep it open 20 at the hours that are agreed to and staff it during those hours and pay the cost of the infrastructure and the utilities just to have that as an available 23 resource. 24 Q. Right. And those programs are available to

25 all customers and kind of support the responsible

1 A. I worked in the solid waste field since 2000 2 and have been involved in operations, implementation, 3 outreach education, finance and reviews, rate setting and the like, including for local governments.

5 Q. And very briefly, tell us what you did in this field from 2000 up until a couple years ago when you 7 joined R3. 8

A. I worked in operations for a private company 9 for a year. I worked in smaller university-based 10 operations for four years. I worked for the City of El Cerrito as a solid waste manager responsible for all 11 12 things solid waste and recycling for eight years.

Q. So you've seen this business from several different angles and for a number of years?

13

14

15

19

21

25

16 Q. Let me ask you a couple questions about your 17 various tables, which are impressive in terms of 18 breaking the information down. It's very helpful.

If you could look at Exhibit 88, and in 20 particular the page that talks about the single-family homes. And you have in here dividing it up essentially 22 by the trash container size; correct? 96, 64, 32, and 23 20?

24

Currently, what sort of price break do single-

Page 653

-family homes that have 20-gallon trash containers as 32 gallons of trash in a single-family home is going to 2 opposed to 32-gallon containers receive? 2 be allowed to keep that 32-gallon container. 3 3 A. Based on the information here, it's around \$10 A. Now that I'm recalling, yes. They can opt out of the program overall. But these rates here are shown 4 to \$12, \$14 dollars depending upon their service mix. 4 5 Q. And that's per month? at the opt-in basis, which would be moving from the 32 6 6 Α to the 16 with a bump to a 64-gallon recycling if they 7 So that would be -- we're talking about a 7 have a 32 currently. Q. 8 8 savings for the 20-gallon users of \$120 to \$150 dollars Q. Right. But focusing again on our 22-gallon a year? pioneers here, if they keep their 22-gallon container --10 A. That sounds like an appropriate range. 10 pardon me. If they their 20-gallon container, they will 11 And that program that is the ability of 11 continue to pay less than those customers who opt out 12 single-family homes to get 20-gallon trash as opposed 12 keep that 32-gallon container? 13 to 32, that's been in effect for a number of years. 13 A. Correct, yes. 14 Is that your understanding? 14 Q. Let me ask you a couple of questions --A. That is my understanding, though I don't know 15 15 actually, more than a couple -- of questions in a couple 16 the exact dates of implementation. of areas that Ms. Dawson did not ask you about that are 17 17 addressed in the R3 report and also in the Staff Report. Q. I think it goes back to 2001, but I'm not 18 testifying; I've I got to check it and I may be wrong. 18 The first has to do with lease rates on capital assets. 19 But it goes back several years, would you agree with 19 You did an analysis of that; correct? 20 20 that? We reviewed the lease rates and the 21 21 A. Yes. calculations from Recology to ensure reasonableness and 22 22 Q. So that means that those pioneers, so to consistency with policy. 23 O. And as you know, there's been some discussion speak, who have reduced the size of their trash containers have been enjoying a savings on the range of during these hearings of how to expense capital assets, \$120 to \$150 dollars a year for a number of years; whether lease financing is appropriate versus Page 654 Page 656 depreciation, and you address that question in your correct? 1 2 2 A. In comparison to the 32-gallon equivalents, report as well, did you not? 3 3 A. Well, we understood ultimately from our ves, that's correct. Q. Now under the new proposed rates, as you 4 discussions with Recology on that that the lease rate pointed out, the 20-gallon users can keep their approach for these large capital asses was really the 6 20-gallon container and, in effect, have four extra 6 only methodology that they were able to use at this gallons of trash capacity compared to those customers 7 point and not the depreciation approach that was 8 who go to 16; correct? 8 originally included -- and that the rationale to us was 9 9 A. Correct. appropriate. 10 Q. And by subscribing to that service, they will 10 Q. In other words, the rationale that Recology 11 continue to get a price break over those customers who 11 gave for using lease financing was appropriate in your 12 12 have 32-gallon trash containers; is that right? opinion? 13 13 A. Well, no one would have 32 anymore under this 14 14 scenario. Q. And you did that analysis -- I'm looking on 15 Q. Well, if you -- a customer is entitled to keep 15 page 49 of your report which is Exhibit 79, you did that 16 their current containers, I think; isn't that right? 16 analysis first of all with regard to capital assets such 17 That was not my understanding. 17 as trucks and other pieces of equipment? 18 18 Okay. Well, on your chart you have prices for A. Correct. 19 19 Q. And you noted there the 2006 Director's Report new monthly rates for folks who continue to have 20 32-gallon trash service; correct? 20 which made a thorough review at that time of lease 21 A. If you look in the "Notes" side column on the 21 financing; correct? 22 very right-hand side, these rates are based on the 22 A. Correct. 23 assumption of a 32 moving to a 16 overall. 23 Q. And that -- and you also note that in 2006 Q. Okay. So let me just ask you to assume that 24 that the Director's Report indicated that for lease 25 you're wrong and that a customer who currently has financing to pass muster, it had to satisfy certain Page 655 Page 657

#### criteria; correct? the Staff Report. Do you have that? 2 A. Correct, including the non-application of 2 A. I do. 3 3 profit by Recology's intercompany leasing entity. Q. And in particular, if you look at the last Q. In other words, that the leasing entity would paragraph of the section on the West Wing, section 8.2, 4 4 5 not earn any profit? it looks like the second sentence. It says: 6 6 "Staff requests that Recology provide A. Correct. Q. And also that the leases would be at 7 7 further justification demonstrating their need 8 8 competitive comparable market rates; correct? for this change in financial treatment and to 9 A. Correct, with benchmarking and certain certify that the leases are booked at market-10 10 indicators within the marketplace. -comparable rates with zero profit accruing to 11 Q. And in your review, you determined that the 11 Recology's leasing company as was recommended capital assets that were expensed through lease 12 in the 2006 Director's Report for Future Rate 12 13 13 financing in the application in 2017 met the criteria Applications." 14 that had been laid out by the Director in 2006? 14 Now since the issuance of the Staff Report, 15 there's been a letter from the CFO of Recology that you 15 A. Yes. What was provided by Recology was an 16 indication of how the lease rates were calculated on a 16 actually referred to in your report, I believe, which 17 17 has also been marked as an exhibit. month-to-month basis as well as how those projections of 18 leasing costs were established based on 24-month rolling 18 So my question to you is from your review and 19 average of those lease rates -- prior 24-months rolling 19 your knowledge of this area, you think that Recology has 20 20 average. So it's based on recent history and then provided sufficient justification demonstrating the need 21 21 for use of lease financing for the expensing of the using that recent history to project what the leasing 22 2.2 rates were going to be for those leased assets in costs of these three capital projects? Or do you think 23 the application. 23 anything further needs to be provided by Recology? 24 24 Q. Now as you know, the application also includes A. I believe that in terms of the discussions 25 25 some large development projects, namely the West Wing, we've had and the conversations that I've had with Page 660 Page 658 Recology staff, it's understandable and reasonable. a new iMRF, and black bin processing. And so there's 1 1 2 been discussions to whether lease financing is What I have not seen is something introduced 3 into the public record that justifies that more appropriate for the capital costs of those facilities as 4 4 explicitly. But in my opinion, based on the opposed to depreciation expensing. 5 And what did you conclude on that score? 5 conversations we've had and the understanding, I'm 6 A. We conclude that we believe it's appropriate. 6 comfortable with the approach that's being proposed 7 We certainly see that this is a cost that does require 7 using the lease financing. financing. When discussing that with Recology staff, 8 Q. So if we want to make sure that the public we understood that in order to recover the cost that 9 record contains the same assurances that have satisfied 10 10 they will incur on the financing, that they need to you, what more do you believe needs to get into the treat it in a certain way that's not inconsistent with 11 public record? 12 12 what I've seen and what R3 has seen in other agencies. A. I would recommend some sort of written 13 And so to us, it makes sense now that we understand the 13 explanation detailing why it was changed from the 14 background for it. I'll leave it at that. depreciation to the lease financing. That, to my 15 Q. Well, I can't really remember what I was going 15 knowledge, hasn't really been introduced yet, but I 16 to ask you next, but if I stand here long enough, I'll 16 think that that's sort of an explanation detailing it 17 think of it. 17 from Recology's point of view would be helpful in 18 18 further establishing the reasonableness. So why don't you keep your report open to the 19 19 pages, because I'm going jump back to that. Q. And from your review of this matter, what's 20 20 your understanding as to what it's been changed from A. I'm trying to access it right now. 21 21 But I want to also look at the Staff Report, depreciation to lease financing? 22 which is Exhibit 78. And in the Staff Report, there is 22 Good question. And my understanding is that also a discussion of this question of lease financing 23 it was, one, potentially inconsistent with some of for the West Wing and the two Contingent Schedules. Recology's past practice to include it as depreciation 25 And the first mention of that, I think, is on page 22 of via this rate application and not as a lease financing

Page 661

mechanism; and that two, from working with the folks experience of businesses and the work that you've done, that are actually providing the leasing and the 2 would you agree it's sometimes the case that to motivate 3 financing, that Recology needed to be able to businesses to invest in something that's not certain, a higher potential profit is sometimes offered as an demonstrate that they can recover the interest leasing 4 expense or the interest expense of those financed 5 inducement? 6 capital investments. And that wasn't done through the A. We do see that in the arrangements and depreciation approach because depreciation is simply 7 agreements and contractual relationships that we review 8 8 recovering the principal component of those investments. or often help broker. Yes, we do see applications like Q. And that's a pretty good summary of exactly that generally with respect to increasing the diversion 10 what your report states on page 53, Exhibit 79, in the 10 programs. 11 three bullet-points under 6.2.1. Would you agree? 11 Q. And so as you know, Recology draws the 12 contrast between landfill disposal on the one hand and 12 A. I'll get that open to you. 13 What page again? 13 composting on the other hand; so let's just talk about 14 that a little bit. Because you do have experience in Q. Page 53. 15 those areas --15 Yes, that is a summary of what I stated. 16 And I believe this information may have come 16 A. Correct. 17 17 from conversations that you had with folks at Recology, Q. -- in particular, to see what you think about that. Would you agree from a regulatory standpoint that 18 in particular John Porter would be the person? 18 19 A. That is correct. 19 landfill disposal is a more mature, more settled area 20 But Mr. Porter testified to that as well 20 than composting? during the early hearings. I thought you were there. 21 21 Yes, I would agree with that. 22 2.2 Maybe you didn't hear it. Q. And would you also agree that that's true with 23 23 regard to operational techniques, namely that landfill A. I was. Even during the testimony it remained 24 a bit unclear to us and the staff. But since that operations are more developed, more settled than 25 25 testimony and via the further conversations, we gained composting, which is a bit newer? Page 662 Page 664 A. I would reframe it a bit and say that landfill 1 a further understanding leading to what we've written 1 2 2 technologies are largely similar to what they have been Q. So to make sure we've tied it all up in a bow, in the past and there's been moderate changes. But yes, 3 3 from your standpoint, if we go to get Mr. Porter up it is true that the composting industry at this point in there on the stand again and explain this in further time is undergoing some change in response to changes in 6 detail, and then to the extent you have any questions, 6 demand as well as changes in the regulatory sphere. Ms. Dawson, anybody has any questions, they can ask them 7 Q. And I liked your reframing of it. 8 then and make sure we got everything on table? 8 Landfilling is you dig a hole and put garbage 9 A. That sound appropriate to me. 9 in it, put something on top; and composting's ab bit 10 10 more complicated. Very good, thank you. 11 So let me -- there's actually one other area 11 A. It's been developing and changing over time. 12 I wanted to ask you about which Ms. Dawson did not ask 12 It has been becoming more mature; that's correct. 13 Q. And I don't know whether your work included 13 you about and that has to do with the recommendation 14 both of R3 and the staff, that Recology not earn O.R. this, but since the 2013 rate hearings here in 15 on composting tip fees. And I think you know what 15 San Francisco, there have been some significant 16 Recology's argument is on that; you stated it --16 regulatory changes that have occurred in the composting 17 summarized it in your report, but let's dig into it just 17 area that have increased operating cost. 18 18 a little bit. Is that your understanding? 19 Would you agree with me that in business, a 19 A. That is our understanding. 20 higher profit margin is sometimes appropriate when we're 20 Q. And are you aware of any similar changes in talking about a venture which has risks to it? 21 21 the landfill area? 22 A. You know, I'm not a businesses analyst. And I 22 A. No, I am not. think that the statement makes sense, but that's not 23 23 And would you also agree that it's possible 24 24 something I generally have firm opinion about. that in the near future we could see more regulatory 25 Q. Okay. But based on your general knowledge and changes in the composting area that could also add Page 663 Page 665

#### costs? that's including the per-tip fee really should be 2 2 established in order to cover that cost and that A. I think it's possible. What I'm aware of at 3 3 this point is that many of the regulatory changes that restriction. have been pushed by regulatory agencies are currently 4 What we see in other agencies and we see 5 being adjusted and adopted to; so I can't forecast what 5 references to in prior Director's Reports is that these 6 the additional ones might be, but we know that there are 6 outside handling of materials, when those are subject to 7 7 some that are being responded to now by composting profit of their own including the costs paid by the 8 8 operations. company, in our opinion, aren't really appropriate for Q. And is it also true that some innovation is 9 profit at the collection company level. For the reasons 10 occurring in the processing of composting? People 10 I explained, we believe it's more appropriate to have 11 trying new things; some are working, some aren't? 11 the profit at the composting operation cover that risk 12 12 A. True. if that risk is really there, and we don't see that it's 13 Q. So this rate application, as you understand, 13 appropriate to have the profit on something that already 14 covers what period of time? includes a profit component. 15 15 From rate year 2018 through '21/'22. And that's an opinion and a policy position And if regulatory changes, if processing 16 that R3 has in our engagements with clients and that's 16 17 17 changes, if processing experiments fail, et cetera, and consistent with what we recommended in other 18 Recology starts to lose money in the composting area, 18 19 who bears that risk? 19 Q. You recognize, though, that the collection 20 20 Recology does. companies -- Golden Gate, Sunset, and also Recology 21 And because the composting tip fee is being 21 San Francisco, the processing company -- are separate 2.2 established as part of this rate application to apply 2.2 entities from the Hay Road and Blossom Valley 23 going forward subject to COLA; correct? 23 composters; correct? 24 24 A. Yes, correct. A. Correct. Our understanding, however, also is 25 25 that that tip fee does include a profit component for And so the Hay Road Organics and Blossom Page 668 Page 666 the Recology affiliate that handles the composting Valley can charge the collection companies and Recology 1 2 2 operations. San Francisco whatever they want; correct? I mean, Q. Right. And that's why we're having this these proceedings are not going to contain that? 3 3 4 discussion. 4 A. Well, and I don't understand and have the 5 Α. Right. knowledge of how Recology would negotiate those rates 6 Q. So I guess the question is, going back to our 6 between those different entities. One thing I am aware 7 original premise here, which is that greater risks 7 of, though, that if for some reason -- and this is 8 sometimes warrants a larger profit margin because of the consistent with one of the alternatives that have been risk that actually money will be lost and you won't be discussed previously with respect to the Contingent 10 making any profit at all. 10 Schedules -- is that if Recology does see an undue Why is that not appropriate in this situation? increase in that cost component of their operation, 11 11 12 12 In other words, there is a profit component at the there is the opportunity to come back and adjust that composting subsidiary level. We're talking about an 13 13 through a future rate application process which would be 14 O.R. at the collection rate level, the effect it means anticipated in four or five years, based on the current 15 15 for the affiliated companies a larger profit margin on schedule that the rate applications have been considered 16 composting than others. 16 17 Why is that not appropriate given the fact 17 Q. But in the meantime, Recology bears the risk 18 18 of unpredictability? that the composting provides unique challenges and 19 19 unique risks as compared to the other operations? And of managing that with their affiliates.

14 (Pages 666 to 669)

Page 669

Have you considered the possibility of the

earning an O.R. to compensate for the increased risk but

having it be a reduced O.R. as compared to the standard

collection companies and Recology San Francisco still

that applies to the entire application?

A. That's a good question. We haven't

Page 667

20

21

22

23

24

25

20

21

23

A. Yes. Our viewpoint on this is that having

some lack of clarity in the future; two, does create

additional rewards if some of the changes that we

25 viewpoint is that the profit at the composting operation

discussed don't come to pass. Additionally, our

profit handled in that way, one, can open the door for

specifically evaluated it, but I think that such a 1 Generally, yes. proposal would get at some of the concerns that we would 2 Okay. You mentioned that there would be a have about having a profit at the current O.R. and mandatory switch from to 96-gallon trash bin down to 64. Has there been any considerations to keep the 96-gallon profit on the composting per-tip fee. 5 5 Q. That it would do what? hin? 6 That it would address some of the concerns we 6 That has not been a question that I've been 7 have depending upon what the proposal was. 7 asked. It's a very small number of customers in 8 Q. It would address some of the concerns you have 8 comparison to the entire service area. It's surprisingly small, in fact. and some of the concerns that Recology has? 10 A. It sounds like it might. 10 Q. What about for multi-units? Those buildings 11 MR. BAKER: I don't have anything further. 11 we've talked so much about with two to five units, 12 those who share trash service. Thank you. 13 DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you. 13 A. What's the specific question regarding 14 14 Does the Ratepayer want to cross-examine? multi-units? **EXAMINATION** 15 Q. I guess I'll just move to multi-units in 15 16 BY MS. DILGER: 16 general. 17 17 A. Okay. Q. Good morning. I just a couple of questions. 18 Did you explore any rate structures that had a 18 Q. Looking at Item 88 -- you can go back and 19 had at an ultimate 25% or any level where regardless of 19 forth between comparison. But from what I'm looking at, 20 20 it seems very apples-to-oranges because the price for service, there is a cap for how high it could be 21 21 increased? this service of, for example, 64/64/32-gallon service 22 22 A. Within the structures of the rate model and for a four-unit building will go up to \$116.53, whereas 23 if you look at that for single unit for a single-family the details provided by Recology, we wanted to work 24 within the current structure. So no, we did not explore residence, that would actually go down to \$56.54. 25 something that had an applied cap. We do believe that Would you say that single-family residences Page 670 Page 672 that's something that could be explored, but I think are getting a better deal under this new rate structure? 1 2 that it would fundamentally change the structure that is A. Not necessarily. What is happening here is 3 currently in place. 3 that in a multi-unit building, they're both paying for 4 Q. How about for consumers or ratepayers that may 4 the cost of the collection services in the bins that you see their rates go down? Did you consider not letting put your materials in and put out to the street, but 6 be part of the equation? 6 you're also paying for the cost of the other services 7 A. It's been discussed with staff. I think from 7 that you receive benefits from. our perspective, that it is an evening. When you adjust 8 The reason that the multi-units go up in these a rate structure like as being proposed here, you do 9 categories is because the per-unit charge is going up to 10 10 have changes throughout the entire system. Some folks account for more of the fixed cost. That is directly go up and some folks go down. We did discuss that. 11 related to their participation and ability to benefit 12 I don't methodology that would work to integrate it from other programs -- HHW programs, the benefits that 13 specifically in the rate structure and rate format that 13 are received through the BIC and AMC programs. So it's 14 is currently utilized by Recology. more directly aligning that cost with the rates they pay 15 Q. Thank you. Of the people whose rates would 15 with the cost of providing these other service, not just 16 go down, do you an idea of what type of ratepayer or 16 the bins that are placed out at the curb. 17 consumer looks like, what their habits are? 17 Q. So for a multi-unit building, their only way 18 18 A. I don't know about the habits. I know about to mitigate an increased charge is to reduce their 19 19 waste? their container sizes, which tells me something about 20 A. To reduce their waste or better utilize the their habits. I mean, generally these are larger trash 21 21 landfill generators and often also folks who have capacity that they have. 22 multiple containers or other sizes of containers for 22 MS. DILGER: Okay. So it's probably not a 23 recycling and organics other than the minimum. 23 question for you, but hopefully later on we can address 24 Q. So higher waste producers are technically whose responsibility it is to reduce their waste and how going to get a lower rate under this rate structure? to do that education outreach. Page 671 Page 673

1	That's all I have for now.	1	relationships in any of their subsidiaries.
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	2	Q. But you have seen the audited financial
3	DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. I think we will go	3	statements of the three Recology companies involved in
4	to	4	this proceeding?
5	Did you want to add something?	5	A. We have them, yes.
6	MR. HALEY: Yeah.	6	Q. And those financial statements, so far as you
7	DIRECTOR NURU: Robert Haley from Department	7	know, don't show an investment in either of those
8	of the Environment.	8	composting facilities by the three Recology
9	EXAMINATION	9	subsidiaries?
10	BY MR. HALEY:	10	A. We have not reviewed them for that level of
11	Q. Yes, I just have one clarification for	11	detail. What we've reviewed them for is consistency and
12	Mr. Schultz.	12	expense and revenue calculations as they relate to
13	Earlier I believe you indicated that the two-	13	what's included in the rate proposal.
14	-to-five unit residential customers could be eligible	14	Q. Fair enough. So sitting here today, you're
15	for a \$5 dollar credit; is that right?	15	not aware that any of the Recology subsidiaries involved
16	A. That's my understanding, based on the math in	16	in this rate proceeding face any risk of loss of
17	the application for the T-20 subscribers.	17	investment in the two composting facilities if, for some
18	Q. Just to clarify, my understanding looking at	18	reason, there's a regulatory change or something else
19		19	that affects the value of that investment?
20	the applications, which is Exhibit 1A, RSS/RGG Schedule B3, that \$5 dollar credit is just being proposed for the	20	
21	20-gallon customers?	21	A. I don't information either way on that one.
22	_	22	Q. All right. Have you received financial
23	A. That's my indication.		statements for the two Recology facilities that handle
24	20-gallon customers in any unit combination.	23	organics processing? A. No.
25	Q. And it's my understanding that only single-	25	
23	-family are eligible for 20-gallon bins and currently Page 674	23	Q. Do you know how much profit they make?  Page 676
1	have them?	1	A. No.
2	A. Okay. Well, we might need to look at that a	2	Q. So when you were asked by Mr. Baker if it
3	little bit. In the number that's included in the rate	3	would be appropriate to consider giving the collection
4	application, unless it's been revised, is equal to the	4	companies who may not be investing that business a
5	number of subscribes to T-20 at any service level, based	5	higher operating ratio to account for the risk of those
6	on what I reviewed. Or any unit combination. We can	6	operations, you don't know to what extent the profit of
7	I confirm that with Recology.	7	those operations current make already account for that
8	MR. HALEY: Okay, thank you.	8	risk.
9	EXAMINATION	9	A. Correct; we do not.
10	BY MR. BRUEN:	10	Q. Now, Mr. Baker asked about a couple of things
11	Q. Mr. Schultz, good morning. My name's		that distinguish organics facilities from landfills, and
12	Tom Bruen and I'm acting as outside counsel for the City	12	there were two factors that were discussed. One was
13	in this rate review hearing. I wanted to follow up on	13	demand and one was future regulatory change.
14	some of your answers to Mr. Baker's questions on the	14	With respect to future regulatory change, has
15	compost facility operating ratio subject.	15	Recology presented you with any information indicating
16	To begin with, Mr. Baker asked a conceptual	16	that there is a probability of a significant regulatory
17	question of whether or not allowing an investor in a	17	change affecting its organics operations that has not
18	high-risk business a higher profit or return on capital	18	yet occurred but will occur in the future?
19	was appropriate in a high-risk business, and I think you	19	A. There's a discussion of it within the rate
20	generally answered in the affirmative.	20	application and some concerns about how future
21	My question is do you know if any of the three	21	regulations might impact it. We don't have anything
22	Recology subsidiaries that are involved in this rate	22	specific. We do see, however, that their actual per-ton
23	review proceeding are investors in the Hay Road or	23	costs have increased in response to regulatory concerns
24	Blossom Valley facilities?	24	in recent years.
25	A. I'm not familiar with the investor	25	Q. And in fact the biggest cost driver in this
	Page 675		Page 677
		1	2 450 077

1	ush succeeding in a 2007 and in the second second	1	San Francisco 2
1	rate proceeding is a 28% cost increase reflecting	1 2	San Francisco?
2	increases in the gate rates charged by those composting facilities?	3	A. Correct.
3			Q. And Recology San Francisco has incorporated
4	A. No, that's not quite accurate.	4	that tip fee as an expense as part of its overall
5	Q. I'm sorry, I'm looking at the wrong	5	application?
6	it's blue. It's the 6%?	6	A. Correct.  O. So if Hay Poad or Plassom Valley increase
7	A. It's a smaller piece of the pie. It's the 6%.	8	Q. So if Hay Road or Blossom Valley increase
	Q. Okay. And that 6% reflects what, exactly?		their tip fee next year or the year after, who has to
9	A. The change in the per-ton fee.	9	pay that?
	Q. And the change in the per-ton reflects	10	A. Recology San Francisco.
11	increases in regulatory cost affecting those operations?	11	Q. And you haven't seen anything that would
12	A. As it's been represented by Recology, yes.	12	restrain Recology Hay Road or Recology Blossom Valley
	Q. All right, okay. Now with respect to the		from increasing their tip fees?
14	one the other area of risk, which is demand, is it	14	A. Again, I don't know the specific contractual
15	your experience that demand for landfills has been	15	or agreement relationships between RSF and those two
16	decreasing in recent years?	16	entities; so I don't know one way or the other.
17	A. It's remaining relatively static.	17	Q. But you haven't seen anything that indicates
18	Q. And what about the demand for composting	18	that they're restrained?
19	facilities?	19	A. No.
20	A. Increasing significantly in response to state	20	Q. So if Recology Hay Road or Recology Blossom
21	legislative change as well as local programatic	21	Valley were to increase the tip fee and Recology
22	requirements.	22	San Francisco paid it, could Recology San Francisco
23	Q. And from the standpoint of a business,	23	just automatically pass that on to the ratepayers?
24	is increasing demand a downside or an upside?	24	A. No, it could not automatically be passed on.
25	A. Depends on how you look at it. With respect	25	Q. We'd have to rate for another rate hearing or
-	Page 678	-	Page 680
1	to composting facilities, there's a downside in that	1	some other procedure; correct?
2	there is actually a risk of running out of regional	2	A. Or potentially negotiate those costs with the
3	capacity to process the organics that are sought for	3	partners to keep them within around that is acceptable
4	processing by all of the municipalities and	4	to Recology up to the next detailed rate review.
5	jurisdictions around. So the downside there is that	5	Q. So given those parameters, would you agree
6	there is a risk of actually not having a home for some	6	that Recology San Francisco does bear a risk
7	of those materials. The upside, of course, is that	7	A. Yes.
8	they're fully maximized in terms of their utilization of	8	Q that changes could occur at the composting
9	those facilities.	9	operations which would increase Recology San Francisco's
10	Q. And generally when a demand goes up for a	10	costs and Recology San Francisco would not be able to
11	products, the company that produces the product is in a	11	pass on those costs?
12	position to raise its prices?	12	A. There is a risk to that, yes.
13	A. Correct.	13	Q. And the other thing I wanted to ask you about,
14	MR. BRUEN: That's all I have.	14	Mr. Bruen asked you about two areas that he said I asked
15	Thank you.	15	you about. Maybe I did, but I didn't mean to ask it
16	DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Any other	16	that way, but I just wanted to clarify. I was asking
17	cross-examination? Mr. Baker, it looks like you have	17	you about risk of regulatory changes, and secondly, the
18	some questions.	18	risk of additional costs due to technological changes,
19	RE-EXAMINATION	19	operation changes, innovation.
20	BY MR. BAKER:	20	Did you understand me to be talking about two
21	Q. I just wanted to follow up, if I could,	21	different areas: one, regulatory changes; and the
22	on questions Mr. Bruen asked you.	22	second, possible operating changes that could give rise
23	Is it your understanding of the structure of	23	to an increased cost?
24	the rate application that the composting facilities	24	A. I could say I understand you now on that, yes.
25	Hay Road and Blossom Valley charge a tip fee to Recology	25	Q. Well, I wasn't clear. Because I didn't intend
	Page 679		Page 681
	1 agc 079		1 agc 001

_		_	
1	to talk about demand, but I was talking about this other	1	Tracking Report is a reporting requirement as part of a
2	area so I just wanted to clarify that.	2	past rate process. This particular report was not yet
3	MR. BAKER: Thank you.	3	prepared and not due when the final rate application was
4	DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Any other	4	submitted, and so we're now submitting it into evidence
5	cross-examination?	5	as it's been completed and submitted to the City
6	Okay. Maybe at this time we should take a	6	subsequent to the final application.
7	ten-minute break. So can everybody can be back here at	7	BY MR. BAKER:
8	9:55 promptly.	8	Q. So this is a form of report that is regularly
9	(Off the record at 9:42 a.m.)	9	submitted to the City on an annual basis?
10	(On the record at 9:55 a.m.)	10	A. (PORTER) Correct. And it tracks the reasons
11	DIRECTOR NURU: At this time I think I will	11	why we are getting contacted by our customers, and it
12	ask Mr. Baker if he would like to begin Recology's	12	takes into account various forms of communication
13	additional testimony on recommendations of the	13	whether it be either e-mail or calls.
14	Staff Report.	14	Q. Thank you. Do you have the Staff Report
15	MR. BAKER: Yes, Mr. Nuru. We're going to	15	there?
16	have John Porter and Mark Arsenault come up together	16	A. I do.
17	again, if that's okay.	17	Q. If you could turn to page 15 of the Staff
18	DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. If both John and Mark	18	Report, which is Exhibit 78.
19	will take the stand.	19	A. Okay.
20	JOHN PORTER & MARK ARSENAULT,	20	Q. And that in particular talks about Recology's
21	having previously been duly sworn,	21	assumption in its application regarding loss of revenue
22	were examined and testified as follows:	22	due to apartment customers downsizing their service.
23	EXAMINATION	23	A. Yes.
24	BY MR. BAKER:	24	Q. And the Staff states that the percentage that
25	Q. All right. Gentlemen, you're still	25	Recology used was too high and the Staff recommends a
	Page 682		Page 684
		1	
1	DIRECTOR NURU: Mr. Baker, you may continue.	1	smaller percentage of proposed migration.
1 2	DIRECTOR NURU: Mr. Baker, you may continue. MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer	1 2	smaller percentage of proposed migration.  A. Are we talking about migration or the
		1	
2	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer	2	A. Are we talking about migration or the
2	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer an exhibit. A Golden Gate and Sunset customer	2 3	A. Are we talking about migration or the apartment? Sorry.
2 3 4	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer an exhibit. A Golden Gate and Sunset customer communication tracking report for January 1, 2016,	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Are we talking about migration or the apartment? Sorry.</li><li>Q. Migration.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer an exhibit. A Golden Gate and Sunset customer communication tracking report for January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. This will be	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Are we talking about migration or the apartment? Sorry.</li> <li>Q. Migration.</li> <li>A. Okay, talking about the migration. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer an exhibit. A Golden Gate and Sunset customer communication tracking report for January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. This will be MS. DAWSON: Exhibit 91.	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Are we talking about migration or the apartment? Sorry.</li> <li>Q. Migration.</li> <li>A. Okay, talking about the migration. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can you give us your thoughts on that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer an exhibit. A Golden Gate and Sunset customer communication tracking report for January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. This will be MS. DAWSON: Exhibit 91. MR. BAKER: 91, thank you. BY MR. BAKER:	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Are we talking about migration or the apartment? Sorry.</li> <li>Q. Migration.</li> <li>A. Okay, talking about the migration. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can you give us your thoughts on that point?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer an exhibit. A Golden Gate and Sunset customer communication tracking report for January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. This will be MS. DAWSON: Exhibit 91. MR. BAKER: 91, thank you. BY MR. BAKER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Are we talking about migration or the apartment? Sorry.</li> <li>Q. Migration.</li> <li>A. Okay, talking about the migration. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can you give us your thoughts on that point?</li> <li>A. Sure. Subsequent to the Staff Report being</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer an exhibit. A Golden Gate and Sunset customer communication tracking report for January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. This will be MS. DAWSON: Exhibit 91. MR. BAKER: 91, thank you. BY MR. BAKER:  Q. Mr. Porter, can you just describe generally what this is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Are we talking about migration or the apartment? Sorry.</li> <li>Q. Migration.</li> <li>A. Okay, talking about the migration. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can you give us your thoughts on that point?</li> <li>A. Sure. Subsequent to the Staff Report being completed, we did an analysis on apartment migration,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer an exhibit. A Golden Gate and Sunset customer communication tracking report for January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. This will be MS. DAWSON: Exhibit 91. MR. BAKER: 91, thank you. BY MR. BAKER:  Q. Mr. Porter, can you just describe generally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Are we talking about migration or the apartment? Sorry.</li> <li>Q. Migration.</li> <li>A. Okay, talking about the migration. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can you give us your thoughts on that point?</li> <li>A. Sure. Subsequent to the Staff Report being completed, we did an analysis on apartment migration, particularly around how much change would be required</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer an exhibit. A Golden Gate and Sunset customer communication tracking report for January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. This will be MS. DAWSON: Exhibit 91. MR. BAKER: 91, thank you. BY MR. BAKER:  Q. Mr. Porter, can you just describe generally what this is.  MS. DAWSON: Mr. Baker, before we go there I want to officially enter it into the record but I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Are we talking about migration or the apartment? Sorry.</li> <li>Q. Migration.</li> <li>A. Okay, talking about the migration. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can you give us your thoughts on that point?</li> <li>A. Sure. Subsequent to the Staff Report being completed, we did an analysis on apartment migration, particularly around how much change would be required in order for us to meet our 1% migration goals. And</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer an exhibit. A Golden Gate and Sunset customer communication tracking report for January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. This will be MS. DAWSON: Exhibit 91. MR. BAKER: 91, thank you. BY MR. BAKER:  Q. Mr. Porter, can you just describe generally what this is. MS. DAWSON: Mr. Baker, before we go there I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Are we talking about migration or the apartment? Sorry.</li> <li>Q. Migration.</li> <li>A. Okay, talking about the migration. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can you give us your thoughts on that point?</li> <li>A. Sure. Subsequent to the Staff Report being completed, we did an analysis on apartment migration, particularly around how much change would be required in order for us to meet our 1% migration goals. And frankly, the findings were fascinating.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer an exhibit. A Golden Gate and Sunset customer communication tracking report for January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. This will be MS. DAWSON: Exhibit 91. MR. BAKER: 91, thank you. BY MR. BAKER:  Q. Mr. Porter, can you just describe generally what this is. MS. DAWSON: Mr. Baker, before we go there I want to officially enter it into the record but I don't have it yet. And I don't have our attorney here present at the moment, so I'm acting on his behalf.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Are we talking about migration or the apartment? Sorry.</li> <li>Q. Migration.</li> <li>A. Okay, talking about the migration. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can you give us your thoughts on that point?</li> <li>A. Sure. Subsequent to the Staff Report being completed, we did an analysis on apartment migration, particularly around how much change would be required in order for us to meet our 1% migration goals. And frankly, the findings were fascinating.  If we use a targeted approach on the largest</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer an exhibit. A Golden Gate and Sunset customer communication tracking report for January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. This will be MS. DAWSON: Exhibit 91. MR. BAKER: 91, thank you. BY MR. BAKER:  Q. Mr. Porter, can you just describe generally what this is.  MS. DAWSON: Mr. Baker, before we go there I want to officially enter it into the record but I don't have it yet. And I don't have our attorney here present at the moment, so I'm acting on his behalf.  So Exhibit 91, entitled "Golden Gate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Are we talking about migration or the apartment? Sorry.</li> <li>Q. Migration.</li> <li>A. Okay, talking about the migration. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So can you give us your thoughts on that point?</li> <li>A. Sure. Subsequent to the Staff Report being completed, we did an analysis on apartment migration, particularly around how much change would be required in order for us to meet our 1% migration goals. And frankly, the findings were fascinating.  If we use a targeted approach on the largest apartment buildings within the city of San Francisco,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer an exhibit. A Golden Gate and Sunset customer communication tracking report for January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. This will be MS. DAWSON: Exhibit 91. MR. BAKER: 91, thank you. BY MR. BAKER:  Q. Mr. Porter, can you just describe generally what this is. MS. DAWSON: Mr. Baker, before we go there I want to officially enter it into the record but I don't have it yet. And I don't have our attorney here present at the moment, so I'm acting on his behalf. So Exhibit 91, entitled "Golden Gate Disposal & Recycling Company and Sunset Scavenger	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Are we talking about migration or the apartment? Sorry.  Q. Migration. A. Okay, talking about the migration. Yes. Q. So can you give us your thoughts on that point?  A. Sure. Subsequent to the Staff Report being completed, we did an analysis on apartment migration, particularly around how much change would be required in order for us to meet our 1% migration goals. And frankly, the findings were fascinating.  If we use a targeted approach on the largest apartment buildings within the city of San Francisco, we would need to convert only 348 buildings to diversion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer an exhibit. A Golden Gate and Sunset customer communication tracking report for January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. This will be MS. DAWSON: Exhibit 91. MR. BAKER: 91, thank you. BY MR. BAKER:  Q. Mr. Porter, can you just describe generally what this is.  MS. DAWSON: Mr. Baker, before we go there I want to officially enter it into the record but I don't have it yet. And I don't have our attorney here present at the moment, so I'm acting on his behalf.  So Exhibit 91, entitled "Golden Gate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Are we talking about migration or the apartment? Sorry.  Q. Migration. A. Okay, talking about the migration. Yes. Q. So can you give us your thoughts on that point?  A. Sure. Subsequent to the Staff Report being completed, we did an analysis on apartment migration, particularly around how much change would be required in order for us to meet our 1% migration goals. And frankly, the findings were fascinating.  If we use a targeted approach on the largest apartment buildings within the city of San Francisco, we would need to convert only 348 buildings to diversion rates ranging from 65% to 75%. So moving some of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer an exhibit. A Golden Gate and Sunset customer communication tracking report for January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. This will be MS. DAWSON: Exhibit 91. MR. BAKER: 91, thank you. BY MR. BAKER:  Q. Mr. Porter, can you just describe generally what this is. MS. DAWSON: Mr. Baker, before we go there I want to officially enter it into the record but I don't have it yet. And I don't have our attorney here present at the moment, so I'm acting on his behalf. So Exhibit 91, entitled "Golden Gate Disposal & Recycling Company and Sunset Scavenger Company Customer Communications Tracking Report,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Are we talking about migration or the apartment? Sorry.  Q. Migration. A. Okay, talking about the migration. Yes. Q. So can you give us your thoughts on that point?  A. Sure. Subsequent to the Staff Report being completed, we did an analysis on apartment migration, particularly around how much change would be required in order for us to meet our 1% migration goals. And frankly, the findings were fascinating.  If we use a targeted approach on the largest apartment buildings within the city of San Francisco, we would need to convert only 348 buildings to diversion rates ranging from 65% to 75%. So moving some of the largest buildings in San Francisco, 348 of them to 65%
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer an exhibit. A Golden Gate and Sunset customer communication tracking report for January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. This will be MS. DAWSON: Exhibit 91. MR. BAKER: 91, thank you. BY MR. BAKER:  Q. Mr. Porter, can you just describe generally what this is. MS. DAWSON: Mr. Baker, before we go there I want to officially enter it into the record but I don't have it yet. And I don't have our attorney here present at the moment, so I'm acting on his behalf. So Exhibit 91, entitled "Golden Gate Disposal & Recycling Company and Sunset Scavenger Company Customer Communications Tracking Report, January through December 2016."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Are we talking about migration or the apartment? Sorry.  Q. Migration. A. Okay, talking about the migration. Yes. Q. So can you give us your thoughts on that point? A. Sure. Subsequent to the Staff Report being completed, we did an analysis on apartment migration, particularly around how much change would be required in order for us to meet our 1% migration goals. And frankly, the findings were fascinating.  If we use a targeted approach on the largest apartment buildings within the city of San Francisco, we would need to convert only 348 buildings to diversion rates ranging from 65% to 75%. So moving some of the largest buildings in San Francisco, 348 of them to 65% to 75% diversion depending on their existing diversion,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer an exhibit. A Golden Gate and Sunset customer communication tracking report for January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. This will be MS. DAWSON: Exhibit 91. MR. BAKER: 91, thank you. BY MR. BAKER:  Q. Mr. Porter, can you just describe generally what this is.  MS. DAWSON: Mr. Baker, before we go there I want to officially enter it into the record but I don't have it yet. And I don't have our attorney here present at the moment, so I'm acting on his behalf.  So Exhibit 91, entitled "Golden Gate Disposal & Recycling Company and Sunset Scavenger Company Customer Communications Tracking Report, January through December 2016."  (Exhibit 91, "Customer Communication	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Are we talking about migration or the apartment? Sorry.  Q. Migration. A. Okay, talking about the migration. Yes. Q. So can you give us your thoughts on that point? A. Sure. Subsequent to the Staff Report being completed, we did an analysis on apartment migration, particularly around how much change would be required in order for us to meet our 1% migration goals. And frankly, the findings were fascinating.  If we use a targeted approach on the largest apartment buildings within the city of San Francisco, we would need to convert only 348 buildings to diversion rates ranging from 65% to 75%. So moving some of the largest buildings in San Francisco, 348 of them to 65% to 75% diversion depending on their existing diversion, would result in over a 4.3% migration over the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer an exhibit. A Golden Gate and Sunset customer communication tracking report for January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. This will be MS. DAWSON: Exhibit 91. MR. BAKER: 91, thank you. BY MR. BAKER:  Q. Mr. Porter, can you just describe generally what this is.  MS. DAWSON: Mr. Baker, before we go there I want to officially enter it into the record but I don't have it yet. And I don't have our attorney here present at the moment, so I'm acting on his behalf. So Exhibit 91, entitled "Golden Gate Disposal & Recycling Company and Sunset Scavenger Company Customer Communications Tracking Report, January through December 2016." (Exhibit 91, "Customer Communication Tracking Report [Recology]," was admitted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Are we talking about migration or the apartment? Sorry.  Q. Migration. A. Okay, talking about the migration. Yes. Q. So can you give us your thoughts on that point?  A. Sure. Subsequent to the Staff Report being completed, we did an analysis on apartment migration, particularly around how much change would be required in order for us to meet our 1% migration goals. And frankly, the findings were fascinating.  If we use a targeted approach on the largest apartment buildings within the city of San Francisco, we would need to convert only 348 buildings to diversion rates ranging from 65% to 75%. So moving some of the largest buildings in San Francisco, 348 of them to 65% to 75% diversion depending on their existing diversion, would result in over a 4.3% migration over the three-year term of the rate, which would exceed the kind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer an exhibit. A Golden Gate and Sunset customer communication tracking report for January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. This will be MS. DAWSON: Exhibit 91. MR. BAKER: 91, thank you. BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, can you just describe generally what this is. MS. DAWSON: Mr. Baker, before we go there I want to officially enter it into the record but I don't have it yet. And I don't have our attorney here present at the moment, so I'm acting on his behalf. So Exhibit 91, entitled "Golden Gate Disposal & Recycling Company and Sunset Scavenger Company Customer Communications Tracking Report, January through December 2016." (Exhibit 91, "Customer Communication Tracking Report [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Are we talking about migration or the apartment? Sorry.  Q. Migration. A. Okay, talking about the migration. Yes. Q. So can you give us your thoughts on that point?  A. Sure. Subsequent to the Staff Report being completed, we did an analysis on apartment migration, particularly around how much change would be required in order for us to meet our 1% migration goals. And frankly, the findings were fascinating.  If we use a targeted approach on the largest apartment buildings within the city of San Francisco, we would need to convert only 348 buildings to diversion rates ranging from 65% to 75%. So moving some of the largest buildings in San Francisco, 348 of them to 65% to 75% diversion depending on their existing diversion, would result in over a 4.3% migration over the three-year term of the rate, which would exceed the kind of 3%, if you will, 1% per year over a three-year
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer an exhibit. A Golden Gate and Sunset customer communication tracking report for January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. This will be MS. DAWSON: Exhibit 91. MR. BAKER: 91, thank you. BY MR. BAKER:  Q. Mr. Porter, can you just describe generally what this is. MS. DAWSON: Mr. Baker, before we go there I want to officially enter it into the record but I don't have it yet. And I don't have our attorney here present at the moment, so I'm acting on his behalf. So Exhibit 91, entitled "Golden Gate Disposal & Recycling Company and Sunset Scavenger Company Customer Communications Tracking Report, January through December 2016." (Exhibit 91, "Customer Communication Tracking Report [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) MR. BAKER: Thank you. Which is Exhibit 91?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Are we talking about migration or the apartment? Sorry.  Q. Migration. A. Okay, talking about the migration. Yes. Q. So can you give us your thoughts on that point?  A. Sure. Subsequent to the Staff Report being completed, we did an analysis on apartment migration, particularly around how much change would be required in order for us to meet our 1% migration goals. And frankly, the findings were fascinating.  If we use a targeted approach on the largest apartment buildings within the city of San Francisco, we would need to convert only 348 buildings to diversion rates ranging from 65% to 75%. So moving some of the largest buildings in San Francisco, 348 of them to 65% to 75% diversion depending on their existing diversion, would result in over a 4.3% migration over the three-year term of the rate, which would exceed the kind of 3%, if you will, 1% per year over a three-year assumed term of the rate application that's included in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BAKER: Before we start, I'd like to offer an exhibit. A Golden Gate and Sunset customer communication tracking report for January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. This will be MS. DAWSON: Exhibit 91. MR. BAKER: 91, thank you. BY MR. BAKER:  Q. Mr. Porter, can you just describe generally what this is. MS. DAWSON: Mr. Baker, before we go there I want to officially enter it into the record but I don't have it yet. And I don't have our attorney here present at the moment, so I'm acting on his behalf. So Exhibit 91, entitled "Golden Gate Disposal & Recycling Company and Sunset Scavenger Company Customer Communications Tracking Report, January through December 2016." (Exhibit 91, "Customer Communication Tracking Report [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) MR. BAKER: Thank you. Which is Exhibit 91? MS. DAWSON: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Are we talking about migration or the apartment? Sorry.  Q. Migration. A. Okay, talking about the migration. Yes. Q. So can you give us your thoughts on that point?  A. Sure. Subsequent to the Staff Report being completed, we did an analysis on apartment migration, particularly around how much change would be required in order for us to meet our 1% migration goals. And frankly, the findings were fascinating.  If we use a targeted approach on the largest apartment buildings within the city of San Francisco, we would need to convert only 348 buildings to diversion rates ranging from 65% to 75%. So moving some of the largest buildings in San Francisco, 348 of them to 65% to 75% diversion depending on their existing diversion, would result in over a 4.3% migration over the three-year term of the rate, which would exceed the kind of 3%, if you will, 1% per year over a three-year assumed term of the rate application that's included in the final application.

#### 1% per year? table does contemplate the kind of outreach I'm 2 A. Correct. 2 describing as well as the personnel to provide that. 3 3 For a total of 3% over three years? What we have seen were these buildings that have been Over -- yeah, the assumed three-year term, 4 A. successful and achieved these results as really one of 5 5 yes. two primary changes that have been made. 6 Q. And "migration," again, means what? 6 First and foremost, which I think is the 7 A. It is when a customer takes one gallon of 7 easiest method, that is to change way chutes -- most of 8 8 trash services and moves that to either recycling or these buildings have chutes, typically a single chute -composting services. 9 and they're just traditionally used for trash. Because 10 Q. And the analysis that you did indicates that 10 of the way the waste stream has changed, really that is 11 if you could get 348 of the largest buildings to 11 a very small volume of what should be going down that 12 increase their recycling up to 65% to 75%, that you 12 chute; the larger volume is by far the recyclables. 13 could increase that total migration from 3% over three 13 So where we've seen success is where the 14 vears to 4.3%? 14 chutes have been -- the signage has gone out, the 15 A. Right. In order to achieve our 3% goal, if 15 education has gone to the building, and the residents 16 you will, that we included in the application, yeah, 16 in that building are then putting their recyclables down 17 we would touch 348 customers that would end up resulting 17 the chute and they are carrying their trash down to the 18 in a 4.3% migration. 18 parking area where many of the buildings have their 19 Q. So when you talk about getting these buildings 19 recycling, both the trash and the organics. So that in 20 to recycle at a clip of 65% to 75%, can you give us some 20 itself is probably the largest opportunity for success 21 idea of that population of buildings is doing now? 21 in this area. 22 22 A. It runs the gamut. In general, all of the The other area is where some of the buildings 23 23 customers, you know, they range from 10% to 15% have actually placed most of the chutes or most of the 24 currently. And so it kind of runs the 10%-to-15% 24 services by elevators where they've actually created 25 25 band where they live, so we're asking them in some little stations for the residents, and then had Page 686 Page 688 cases to increase significantly to 65% which would 1 janitorial staff or other staff go periodically 1 2 be approximately equal-size service for all streams throughout the day to the levels of the floors 3 3 of service. collecting the material and bringing it down. 4 That's done a number of different ways, but And then for those that are already doing a 4 5 better job, increasing that a little bit more. In the 5 again, it involves a lot of effort in terms of outreach, 6 51%-or-greater range, we're asking them to step it up to 6 signage, containers, making it convenient. It's all 7 75% essentially. 7 about convenience and space. The buildings are just not 8 Q. So can either of you give us any examples of 8 designed for what we need to do, but it's not difficult buildings within the city where there has been some 9 to change them as long as the residents and the property 10 outreach and some success in increasing recycling that 10 owner -- and like I say, typically the property manager 11 11 gives you a level of confidence that you could get other is willing to work with us to do that. We do have 12 large buildings to comply as well? 12 examples and I know SFE also has worked with some of 13 13 A. (ARSENAULT) I'll take that one. these same buildings and seen that kind of success. 14 And we do have some examples, and they exist 14 So really, this is about and I think we 15 primarily around large complexes where either one of two 15 described it some time ago we have experienced this 1% migration historically over the past several years with 16 things -- well, really a couple of criteria are 16 17 important. 17 our commercial customers where that focus has been made. 18 One is that there is someone who is really 18 The apartments is where we really want to target this. We've experienced with really not this kind of focus a 19 19 motivated and a champion of the building; so that's very 20 important in this transition process. 20 2/10ths of a percent rather than one full percent. 21 21 Second is that there is a focused effort for So as John described, there's like 8,000 apartment 22 outreach and education for the buildings signage. 22 complexes. But in looking at the data, looking at the 23 And then third and probably the most important 23 opportunity, the biggest opportunity is in the larger is really a shift and a complete change in the way that 24 complexes and over a three-year period, we really have trash is handled. The proposal that we have on the to successfully convert just over 110 or 115 of them, Page 687 Page 689

which I think is very much achievable. most folks would go whether they're coming in or out of 2 the building. And so the containers are placed right Q. I think we have some photos here of some of 2 the successful efforts that have started in some of there convenient for them, and obviously they've got the 3 3 these buildings. Maybe we'll show that and you can compostables, the recyclables, and the other is really a 5 identify the building and give them due credit. 5 great effort which is the household hazardous materials 6 6 we don't want in either container. And you can see -- I A. (PORTER) It's also probably worth noting 7 7 don't know if you can see the picture there, but those while you've handing those out and it's been mentioned 8 several times previously, but for those that haven't 8 are batteries. And then the residents actually carry been at all the hearings, we do have a program 9 down their trash. 10 So again, a complete reversal of the 10 contemplated in the application specifically related 11 11 to apartment outreach efforts to institute programs traditional method of moving material, but I think it 12 12 speaks to the fact that now most of the material is similar to these examples that we are handing out now. 13 MR. PRADHAN: These two photographs. 13 recyclable or compostable, so we just need to convert 14 They will be admitted as Exhibit 92. the way it's managed at these buildings. 15 15 Q. This may be a question better directed to MR. BAKER: Can we make them 92 and 93? 16 16 Mr. Giusti -- I don't know. But have you gotten MR. PRADHAN: Sure. 92 and -feedback from property managers and/or tenants at these 17 (Exhibit 92, "Recycling Chute photograph 17 18 [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) 18 buildings where these two changes have been made to see 19 (Exhibit 93, "Apartment Recycling Station 19 how they're received? 20 20 photograph [Recology]," was admitted into A. Yes. It's been extraordinarily positive for 21 21 evidence.) the tenants. And I will say for the property managers, 22 under the proposal, it will be very popular as well 22 BY MR. BAKER: 23 Q. Let me start with -- if you don't mind putting because as you know, we are proposing to move the 24 threshold for the discounts from 10% floor to 25% floor; the overhead up for me -- let's do Exhibit 92, the 25 25 recycling chute. so they will be highly motivated from an economic Page 690 Page 692 perspective to see these changes come about. A. (ARSENAULT) Yeah, this is building 1 1 2 2 200 Brannan Street. And this is actually newer chute, Q. So when Mr. Porter had mentioned an analysis of 348 properties where changes could be made, I know if you will. A lot of the older buildings just have a 3 4 you haven't visited all 348 properties, but do you have door, you pull the handle, and it slams shut behind you. But clearly this chute has been repurposed for recycling 5 a sense of how many of those have not yet experienced 6 only, and it's a really good example of how simple it 6 the sorts of changes that are depicted in these two photos? 7 can be at the front-end to make this change. 7 8 And then obviously where the chute goes to, 8 A. I do not, but I could hazard a guess that by which is typically down in the garage parking area, 9 and large, they have not gone to these types of changes. 10 So where you see a 50% diversion, clearly they're making 10 the property manager has to work with us to reconfigure an internal effort. But those efforts are difficult to 11 the bins because there will be a much more significant 11 12 12 volume of material that will go into those containers sustain unless you change the setup of the service, and 13 that's really critical. 13 that are down below; so they have to be rotated more 14 14 frequently. But really, that is literally the only Q. And part of the application is for funding for 15 15 change that will have a significant impact. outreach to get the apartment managers and the tenants 16 Q. And then the other photo we have. 16 to buy into this new sort of behavior; correct? 17 Α. This one's more interesting and more creative. 17 18 18 Q. Just going to interrupt you just one second. Q. So Mr. Porter, does this analysis make you 19 19 So we're marking as Exhibit 93 a photo of a more confident in the 1% migration, less confident, or 20 green bin, a blue bin, and a cabinet with some baskets no change? 21 21 on the right that you're going to tell us what they are. A. I wish we had done this before we used the 1% 22 A. Yeah, Cathedral Hill Apartments. 22 migration assumption because I would have argued that we 23 23 should increase it, but c'est la vie. We'll work with These are stations throughout every floor. You see barely see the elevator buttons on the rightwhat we got. But yeah, I feel very confident knowing -hand side, so they're clearly near the elevator where the efforts. And I think using the targeted approach

Page 693

5

6

7

8

9

10

on the largest building would see the most dramatic
 of impacts.

3

10

11

13

14

7

8

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

Q. Now continuing on page 15 of the Staff Report, Exhibit 78, there's discussion there of the other element, namely the projection of the number of new apartment customers over a year period of 25. And we heard Mr. Drew testify on this subject last week about the Staff's indication that 50 was a more reasonable projection rather than 25. And I think Mr. Drew also indicated that the adjustment that the Staff Report recommended in revenue did not account for the expenses of servicing additional customers; is that right?

A. I believe he wasn't certain at that time.

#### Q. What can you tell us about that?

A. Well, I can tell you that, yeah, I mean, it
would not have been included -- the expenses associated
with collection and disposal and processing would not
have been included and just layering on an additional 25
apartment accounts.

It is probably worth clarifying, though, that it's difficult to look at customer groups in isolation, especially in the apartment and commercial sectors. Because what customers are classified as commercial versus apartment varies on a couple factors, one on the size of the building. So if it's greater than revenue that would reduce the revenue need from
 residential ratepayers and therefore impact the rate;
 correct?

4 A. Correct. That is the proposal.

Q. So let's assume that Mr. Drew is right and that there are going to be 50 new apartment customers instead of 25. Your point is that you got to look at not only revenue, but also the expense of servicing those additional customers; correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And what would be the additional expense?
Not in terms of -- I know you haven't specifically
quantified it, but what are the nature of additional
expenses that are required if you have 25 additional
apartment buildings?

apartment buildings?
 A. Well if you have additional apartment
 buildings, you require additional capacity in your
 collection trucks, so therefore you may result in
 additional route or additional route hours. So you've
 got capital costs equipment, labor hours, benefits,
 overhead, the administrative costs. Then you've got

processing costs, whether it be compostables or
 recyclables, disposal costs. And then lastly, if these

 $2\,4$   $\,$  are apartment customers, they qualify for Bulky Item

25 Collection.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Page 694 Page 696

600 rooms, then it would be considered a commercial building. Or if they have service in excess of 3 yards, a container service in excess of 3 yards, then it also would be considered a commercial building. And so looking at the support provided, it's difficult to tell whether or not these customers were included in our assumed commercial accounts.

And then to answer your question more specifically, in my opinion, if you were to layer the cost associated with these additional 25 buildings, you know, it would approximate to some degree the anticipated additional revenue, I think, that the delta would be -- or the difference between those two would be relatively inconsequential relative to the size of this application.

Q. So to make sure I understand what you just said, the Staff Report projected that 50 new apartment customers would come online rather than Recology's projection of 25; correct?

A. Yes.

Q. So that's an additional 25 customers, and the Staff Report said that that would translate into additional revenue of \$156,072 dollars; correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. And to the extent there might be additional

....

Q. The what?

A. Bulky Item Recycling, excuse me.
And so there's a multitude of costs that would be associated with these additional customers that would not be contemplated by just adding additional revenue to the rate model.

#### Q. Have you quantified what the additional cost would be for 25 additional apartment customers?

A. I have not done it in a rigorous analysis. You would need to know the locations of the building, the capacity of the trucks that service these areas, the size of the streams, et cetera, to do a really robust analysis.

But if you want to use high math, you know, 25 additional customers, 3 streams of service, that's 75 additional pickups in one day. I think a front-loader route, which is likely the service offering for the buildings of these size, can do about 60; so we're talking about one route day when routes are roughly \$500,000 dollars. So we're talking about, at a minimum, \$100,000 dollars of just collection costs, and then you have disposal and then the other administrative and overhead costs that we're talking about.

Q. So I think you said this. So your conclusion was that 25 additional apartment customers would

Page 697

generate additional revenue, that revenue would be the next one. That being said, I think we won't 2 offset by the cost required to service those customers? 2 conclude until the next week. 3 3 A. Correct. MR. BAKER: Well, I'll yield to you and we'll 4 Q. Let's turn the Staff Report on page 17. 4 see what we can cover this week and then cover the rest That has a section discovering the CPI inflation factor? 5 next week. Thank you. 6 6 MS. DAWSON: I do actually have some A. 7 7 cross-examination questions on the testimony. Q. Could you address that, please. 8 8 Sure. You know, I've worked closely with R3 **EXAMINATION** 9 and their staff on this particular matter. I think BY MS. DAWSON: 10 Q. So I'd like to understand -- so as noted by 10 we're talking a little bit about what's your favorite flavor of ice cream versus a practical matter of 11 Mr. Baker in the Staff Report, when we talked about 12 determining what is the most appropriate way of 12 migration and the corresponding revenue loss, we did 13 measuring inflation. I don't disagree that this is a 13 point out in a prior period since the last rate 14 reasonable way of approximating inflation. So I also, application which was in 2013, there's really only been 15 15 you know, whether what methodology is preferable is 0.2% -- so 2/10ths of 1% -- change in decline in 16 subject to opinion, but I don't think that this is 16 apartment revenue. 17 unreasonable. 17 So I'd really like to understand maybe a 18 So my only ask that if they were to move 18 little more fully why you're convinced it's so different 19 forward with this approach in their Director's Report 19 this time, and in particular I note something that Mark 20 20 Arsenault said in his testimony which is that it recommendation is that that approach is consistent with requires focused effort, some capital investment 21 the application as it is with the COLA calculation in 21 2.2 subsequent years so that we use a consistent methodology 2.2 potentially, and a building champion, which may be harder to find than we would hope. And so I'd just like 23 23 moving forward. 24 Q. Okay. I'm going to wait a minute because the 24 to hear a little bit more on that. 25 25 people who may want to hear this are talking amongst A. (PORTER) One thing to clarify is that 0.2% is Page 700 Page 698 per year, not an aggregate of the term. Just want to themselves. But please, take whatever time you need. 1 2 So when you say that the recommended 2 clarify. 3 Q. Yes. But still, relatively small? methodology in the Staff Report, which yields a 2.67% inflation factor versus 3%, would be okay with you so 4 4 A. Sure. Just making sure people don't think it long as it's applied consistently. What do you mean by 5 was per year. 6 that, "applied consistently"? 6 Q. Thank you for that clarification. 7 7 A. Currently we're using a year-over-year (ARSENAULT) Yes, certainly. I'd be happy to 8 methodology for our COLA calculation, and we're not 8 expand. There is definitely more of a challenge in using this rolling average method that's proposed. achieving diversion from the apartments, but in getting 10 And so my ask would just be that if we use this to zero waste, it's the opportunity. It's the frontier. 11 And so I think we need to take this challenge more methodology for the inflation factor in the application, 12 12 that we use that same methodology in the COLA seriously. We're prepared to do it. The application contemplates that kind of outreach. And I think the 13 calculation. 13 14 Q. Namely a rolling year-over-year? rate structure contemplates that change by creating the 15 opportunity for the property owner, which hasn't been so A. A rolling average, yes. 15 16 MR. BAKER: There are some other issues that 16 robust in the past. 17 we think we'll have Mr. Porter and Mr. Arsenault address 17 So I think you've got all three of those 18 which, as I understand it, are going to be addressed by 18 elements coming together. Finding the champion of the 19 19 building, that is more difficult; there's no question Staff next week rather than this week. I'm thinking about that. But we know how to get that job done, and 20 about the Bulky Items, for example, and the Abandoned 21 Materials and the like. 21 we just need to put the focus to it -- put the wood to 22 MS. DAWSON: Mr. Baker, I do actually have 22 23 some data on that. It probably would at least be worth 23 Q. Okay. I have another follow-up question that 24 us starting to have those conversations this week as relates a bit to Mr. Porter's testimony in reflection of 25 we'll have more time this hearing period than we will in 25 Mr. Drew's information on the new number of apartments.

Page 701

1 Now, in earlier testimony and in your own your application you had some assumption on the 25 units 2 studies, you've stated that you have a large proportion 2 that you had assumed would come online and there must 3 of fixed to variables costs which is reflected in the have been some corresponding expense assumptions rolled 4 rate structure; is that correct? into that. 5 5 A. Correct. A. (PORTER) correct. 6 6 Q. But what I also heard you saying, in citing Q. We would very much appreciate if Recology the reasons for there being rising expenses, were some 7 could provide that into the record and to us so that we 8 8 have the opportunity to evaluate it, and perhaps using of those items that are actually fixed costs. So while I understand that there will be some increase and some 9 that assumption we can come up with something that seems 10 potential variable service, I think that there's two 10 reasonable for a larger number of apartment units. 11 arguments that could be made against that point: one, 11 A. Okay, yeah. I mean, any analysis that we 12 just that you do have a large amount of fixed costs and would perform, we would do not apartments in isolation; 13 some excess capacity built into the system. 13 it would be apartments and commercial combined. 14 In earlier testimony, we've heard discussions 14 Q. Right. 15 about how changing the split trucks and increasing 15 A. Just wanted to clarify. 16 routes is going to actually add capacity that you don't 16 We would like to understand the basis of the currently have in the system; so if you do end up with 17 25. Because if the need is 50, hopefully we can come up 17 18 more customers, some portion of those new customers will 18 with some reasonable metric to apply to a larger number understanding that it's not perfect. 19 benefit from that increased capacity -- understanding 19 20 20 there are limits to that. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. So we will go ahead and 21 21 Also for things like Household Hazardous start the Bulky Item discussion. 22 Waste, Bulky Item Recycling, those are largely fixed-22 MS. DAWSON: I'd like to ask Dan Negron to 23 23 -cost item programs. And while they have been seeing come up, but Mark Arsenault to stay. increased demand over the large customer base, it seems 2.4 THE WITNESS: (PORTER) So you'd like me to 25 25 leave? to me that it's likely that the corresponding expense Page 702 Page 704 1 1 increase is less than the revenue, and I'd like you to MS. DAWSON: Well, I wouldn't say it that way. 2 address that. 2 Wait, John, before you leave, I don't know if 3 3 A. As I mentioned, the first caveat I made was the Ratepayer Advocate wants to ask any follow-up 4 4 this would require a robust analysis. And the expansion auestions. 5 5 of routes and capacity, I believe, is primarily related MS. DILGER: Not at this time. 6 to side-load collection. And if these are large 6 MS. DAWSON: John Porter can leave. 7 7 apartment buildings, it would probably be front-load DAN NEGRON, collection trucks. And so in order to really fully 8 having previously been duly sworn, understand what the cost of impacts would be, you need 9 was examined and testified as follows: 10 10 to do a robust analysis surrounding where these DIRECTOR NURU: Ms. Dawson, you may proceed. buildings are located, what routes would they be on, how 11 MS. DAWSON: So you will not be surprised to 12 12 much capacity would be in the trucks that would service learn that I have a few new exhibits to introduce. 13 13 these buildings, and the types of collection that they Let's see -- and I think I'm going to start with two 14 14 related to the Abandoned Materials Collection program. would require. 15 So you know, I understand your concern, I 15 So I'm going to apologize in advance for the 16 think it's facts-and-circumstances dependent, and I'd 16 tiny type, which is one of the reasons why I thought it 17 also just like to highlight the first point that I made 17 would be good out as an exhibit. I'll do my best for 18 which is you can't look at apartment customers in 18 members of the audience to follow along here. 19 isolation without understanding their service level or 19 There's two. So I've introduced two different 20 20 size. And so if it's more than 600 rooms or their size pieces of paper: one that has lots and lots of lines of collection is greater than three yards, you're going 21 with -- you can see it actually up here on the screen, to have a commercial-classified customer. So you know, 22 the gray and the blue and the green. And I'm going to 23 between those two, it kind of makes it a difficult 23 move on after that to a discussion about collection by 24 24 question to answer. time of day -- and this is specific to Abandoned. Q. So I would ask that Recology then -- so in 25 25 And then I actually have some additional

Page 705

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

information that I'm going introduce in a minute about 2 what it looks like when we start thinking about 3 combining the two programs. 4 MR. PRADHAN: So just let's just make sure we 5 introduce these. The one that's on the screen, 6 Ms. Dawson, I think that's the "Abandoned Waste - Volume 7 of Service Orders." 8 MS. DAWSON: "By Day of Week." 9 MR. PRADHAN: "By Day of Week" chart. 10 So we will admit that as Exhibit 94. 11 (Exhibit 94, "Abandoned Waste - Volume of 12 Service Orders by Day of Week [City]," 13 was admitted into evidence.) 14 MR. PRADHAN: And then the second chart, 15 "Abandoned Waste - SOs for Directly to Recology 16 Abandoned Waste Only by Hour of Day," we'll admit that 17 as Exhibit 95. 18 (Exhibit 95, "Abandoned Waste - Volume of 19 Service Orders by Hour of Day [City]" 20 was admitted into evidence.) 21 MS. DAWSON: Thank you. 22 **EXAMINATION** 23 BY MS. DAWSON: 24 Q. Okay. Mr. Negron, I'm going to kind of ask 25 you to help walk through. I know it's hard to read, Page 706

there's any adjustments that might make sense to be made in light of what we see.

So what this exhibit shows is we've color-coded -- so on top it shows you fiscal year '16, the first row of bars, and then on the bottom it's fiscal year '17. And I'm just showing for an example here the months from July to December, although we did look at it all the way through the calendar year on both years, and what it seems to show repeatedly is that Mondays are the highest demand days. Generally speaking, the weekends are the lower demand days and Sunday in particular being the lowest demand for service in terms of the calls that come in on Abandoned Material. And then the remainder of the week is relatively constant.

And Mr. Negron, does that kind of seem to the be consistent with what your crews are seeing out in the field?

A. (NEGRON) Yes.

Q. Okay. So this is foundational for where we're
 going to -- what we'll talk about later when we get to
 looking at combining both programs.

So I'm going to move on to the next exhibit.

23 I have to see by shape here.

So one of the things that Recology had
 proposed in its initial consideration of combining

Page 708

1 so I apologize.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

A. I'm okay.

Q. Okay. So we've been evaluating a couple of different elements in the programs. Right now, the Abandoned Materials Collection is a program that runs every day but with slightly varying crew size at least on Sundays where there's fewer crews. And the initial proposal in the rate application was to add to both the Bulky Item Recycling and the Abandoned Materials Collection program, and then we asked for Recology to consider combining it; so we're looking at that and that's kind of where some of this discussion will be leading for the next few minutes.

But before we get there, what we started doing -- and this is data that we collect, but also data that Recology receives through the City's 311 request for service. And what we wanted to do is look at what the volume of service requests look like by day of the week, because we suspected that the volume of requests actually varied quite a bit. And one of the evaluation points that we've been trying to consider was what do we do? Do we look at weekends differently than weekdays? And right now, we have kind of even service except for slightly less service on Sunday, and should we be looking at whether that needs some -- you know, whether

both programs was to shift the time of day that service would be provided. And currently, service is provided starting at 8:00 in the morning and it goes until 3:30.

A. To 4:30.

Q. Okay, 4:30. Sorry. That's true.

And then we looked at what the shift would look like if we started at 6:00 a.m. and continued till not as long into the afternoon. And what I wanted to point out here in this exhibit is that by keeping the service starting at 8:00 a.m., you actually are able to cover more of the calls that come in during that day within that -- within a closer period of time. Whereas if you -- and that's because the calls for service are generally shifted more towards the afternoon.

And what you can see in these distribution charts, the top one shows the purple kind of matching the time of call coming in in the later-shifted service hours, whereas the second row shows the shifting to the earlier time. And what you can see, because the calls really don't start rising significantly until 8 o'clock, and there are calls that come in before, but they're relatively smaller in the call volumes. They start going up at 6:00, but not to as great an extent as what happens in the afternoon. So what you see is that -- and I guess in statistics, we have a rather long tail to

Page 709

the right of service calls that come in. And the calls
continue at about that same level through till pretty
late into the evening. Now, we understand that there
are limits in terms of what makes sense in the field, so
you might look at some alternatives on off-hours service
due to things like congestion in the afternoon. But
generally speaking, the citizens of San Francisco are
calling in these calls starting at around 8:00 and
continuing till about 8:00 at night in somewhat
significant numbers.

Now I'd like to kind of move the discussion on

Now I'd like to kind of move the discussion on towards looking at these two programs combined, and I'm going to show them --

So I'm going to actually start --

12

13

14

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PRADHAN: Ms. Dawson, why don't you let us know what this is and we'll mark it as Exhibit 96.

(Exhibit 96, "Analysis of AMC/BIR Service Orders [City]," was admitted into evidence.)

BY MS. DAWSON:

Q. Sure. So this is an exhibit of actually six different images that explore the ways in which the two programs kind of work and could potentially work together. It shows a reflection of the service orders received. It also evaluates the potential for looking at treating the northeast section of town differently

Page 710

1 that were received from July to December of 2016, Monday

2 through Friday only. Because as I've showed you, the

- 3 Monday-through-Fridays are much busier than Saturday and
- 4 Sunday. That doesn't mean there aren't any calls, but
- 5 it just isn't nearly the volume. And what you'll notice
- 6 by looking at how blue certain parts of town is, is that
- 7 the demand for service is not equally distributed in
- 8 San Francisco; it's actually quite focused in certain
- 9 parts of town. And in particular, the northeast part of
- 10 town and potentially going down to the corridor along
- 11 the Mission is really where the focus of the service
- 12 requests are. That doesn't mean there aren't other
- 13 requests in other part of town; there certainly are.
- 4 And that leads us to believe there are real benefits to
- being able to combine both programs, but it may be a
- 16 little more complex than what we had been potentially
- 17 been originally considering.

So the second map is an overlay, and that combines Recology's data. So right now, the Bulky Item

- 20 Recycling program -- it's described here as "Removal,"
- 21 but it's really "Recycling." So if you look at this
- $22\,\,$  map, what you can see is the overlay of the pinkish
- $^{23}$  color, which is all the requests that are being serviced
- $^{24}\,\,$  by Recology and scheduled overlaid with the Abandoned
- Materials service calls. So there is a nice overlap,

Page 712

than the other parts of town. And there are some maps
that illustrate kind of what the calls for service look
like on a citywide basis. And then the last two images
kind of explore the hourly volumes -- in other words,
kind of what the two programs look like from a service
request perspective?

And I might clarify that the thing that's interesting or challenging about combining the two programs is right now the Bulky Item Recycling is done on an appointment basis and is scheduled in advance, whereas the Abandoned Materials Collection is done pretty much as the service calls come in. Although, there are some Abandoned Materials calls that can be routed and are routed and done the next business day. So in effect, what happens is after 4:30, any calls that come in aren't collected at that time, but they are efficiently routed so that they're covered beginning at 8 o'clock by the crews that go out. And then as the service calls start coming in, they go from a pre-planned routing to a more dynamic situation.

So I think what might be helpful would be to show the Abandoned Materials Collection map first, although I know that's not the order of the exhibit. I'm going to go ahead and put that up.

So what this shows is the calls for service

but still that pervasive blue does remain in certain
 parts of town where there's a clear, more focused demand
 than other places.

Okay. So this data shows the service orders
received together for both Abandoned Materials and Bulky
Item Recycling. And I'd actually like Mr. Negron to
help walk through a little bit about how he thinks this
would work from an operational perspective.

9 A. (NEGRON) So I'm looking at the average of
10 7,554 for rate year '16 or fiscal year '16, and then it
11 looks like it goes up 18% for rate year/fiscal year 2017
12 with 8,933. I can tell you the benefit of combining the
13 two programs and allowing us the opportunity of more
14 time to route these efficiently. We'll support our
15 efforts to use the existing staffing, which as I

17 It's a big ask right now to ask for two crews,
18 one for each program. But if we combine the two, I am
19 comfortable to say that the 20 drivers and the 10 crews
20 can work together in ten zones to work more efficiently
21 and pick up both the pink and the blue, and also deal

with the real-time calls that are coming in day-to-day.

I think we've spoken about this as a staff.

24 The biggest challenge is if you combine the two

understand is a big component.

programs, will the four-hour service level agreement be

Page 713

Page 711

16

- a hindrance as far as chasing that tail instead of gaining the benefits of routing the information? 3
  - Q. Right. So you're currently looking at what you thought you could achieve. I'm going to move us to another image that I think might help in this discussion.
    - A. Mm-hmm.

6

7

4

5

6

7

8

12

14

- 8 Q. So you've seen a lot of the maps. One of the things that we were interested in is maybe looking at 10 ways to address the northeast part of town potentially slightly differently than the rest of the city, and I'm 12 wondering -- you know, understanding that you're still 13 kind of working on what the operational plan is. I'm 14 wondering if you can kind of speak a little bit to this data and what your thoughts are in terms of how you 15 16 might handle it.
- 17 A. For the northeast section-- it's kind of 18 interesting, because you see an inversion as far as you 19 have more Abandoned Material behavior and less of the 20 Bulky Item Recycling requests. And so I kind of see the 21 City's concerns that they would need the support for the 22 AMC exclusive in the northeast section -- Tenderloin, 23 South of Market. 24 So versus the rest of the city, looks like the 25 folks are generally using the Bulky Item Recycling

Page 714

23

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

- keeping the program independent, but there's clear 2 advantages of combining them. And there's a couple of things that we'll be looking at. 3
- 4 Certainly geographically by combining them, 5 then you've got all these pink dots and blue dots to 6 each other. So you've got efficiency there. Presently, 100% of the Bulky Item Recycling is pre-routed; so we 8 use a system to essentially route that efficiently.

And under the current scenario for the 9 10 Abandoned Waste, because it's all essentially 11 dynamically routed as you've described except for the 12 calls that come in after 4:30, then it's largely much 13 less efficient. So to give you an example of how less efficient, you separate the two programs. Then you've 15 got roughly 30% improvement in productivity for the 16 pre-routed collection versus the un-routed collection, 17 and that's just sort of where you start from.

18 So the more of the abandoned material that can 19 be pre-routed, that will add efficiency to the program 20 because we see it separately. We will continue to see 21 it under the combined program so the efficiency factor 22 goes up the more you can pre-route.

Q. And so that kind of leads us to needing to continue exploring and understanding what response times look like, what cutoffs look like. As we mentioned

Page 716

- program in lieu of the AMC. So I can see operationally 1 that we would support additional crew however we end up 3 doing the math.
  - Q. So what are the opportunities that you think might work just in terms of -- what might you consider doing?
- A. I would definitely consider an exclusive AMC collection crew of a rear-loader and what we call a "MEA truck," a Mattress, Appliance, and Electronics --10 to exclusively work together with Public Works in that northeast section. 11
- Q. And then the remaining, there would still be 13 kind of the zone system for your other crews?
- A. Yes. For the combined program and the other 15 areas of the city.
- 16 Q. So were we thinking that those crews, you said 17 the 10 and 10 combined would be able to cover most of --18 you kind of have a blanket of coverage, and then you 19 would have this targeted crew that would address this 20 differential between the two issues, I guess, or 21 programs?
- 22 A. Yes. Operationally, it could be done. 23 (ARSENAULT) Yeah, I'll comment as well. Just a couple of high-level things to consider. As we look at this, as you know, we contemplated two routes in

Page 715

before, anything after 4:30 now is routed to the next 2 day. I would also say that I think there's the potential to look at hours of operation. So we've 3 4 talked about -- and you were able interested in shifting hours of operation earlier. I think it's possible that 6 for Abandoned Materials, that doesn't seem to work very 7 well, but it could work. There might be targeted areas.

I think maybe a little bit more work needs to be done to assess just what the appropriate hours of operation are, and maybe there are differences in different places and having different crews starting at 12 different times might mitigate some of the impacts that

A. They clearly don't have to all start at the same time even combined as long as they're both being picked up from. So there could be areas of the city where 6 o'clock might be extremely beneficial, and we've shifted a lot of our downtown work to night work. We are just really trying to stay of the heavily--impacted areas. And so when you're working through some of these denser areas of the city in the middle of the day, you just cut your efficiency basically in half from when you're working those areas when there's not so

many people. So yeah, definitely that's something we should look at.

```
1
            MS. DAWSON: Okay. That's all that I have for
                                                                     introduced, I think, three exhibits, but I don't think
 2
                                                                 2
                                                                     I've been sworn in. Did I need do that? I certainly
    now.
            DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Mr. Baker, would you
                                                                 3
 3
                                                                     get sworn at regularly, but I'm just wondering.
                                                                 4
 4
    like to do cross-examination?
                                                                            DIRECTOR NURU: Swear him in.
                                                                 5
 5
                                                                                    DAVID PILPEL,
            MR. BAKER: No, I don't have anything right
                                                                 6
 6
    now.
                                                                              having first been duly sworn,
 7
                                                                 7
                                                                           was examined and testified as follows:
            DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. The ratepayer Advocate,
                                                                 8
 8
    would you?
                                                                            MR. PILPEL: Formalities.
 9
            No? Okay. Are there any other topics that
                                                                 9
                                                                            And I appreciate in the Abandoned Material
10
                                                                10
    vou would like to cross-examine?
                                                                     presentation the colored dots. I think that represents
11
            MR. BAKER: No. That's all we have for today.
                                                                11
                                                                     the Bluish community, and I think that's too good.
12
            DIRECTOR NURU: That's all you have for today?
                                                                     I'm even wearing the shirt today.
                                                                12
13
            Okay. All right. Then I think we'll move
                                                                13
                                                                            Moving back to some of the earlier items,
    into public comment period. Could I see hands of people
                                                                14
14
                                                                    I think the question was asked of Garth about the 25%
15
                                                                15
    wishing to speak?
                                                                     capped increase. The 2013 Director's Report and Rate
16
                                                                16
            Okay. So I'll allow five minutes per person
                                                                     Order had a 25% cap for the first year, and I'm not
17
    to comment. And since this is public comment, you do
                                                                17
                                                                     sure what it did for the second year. Perhaps Staff
18
    not need to be sworn in unless you intend to present
                                                                18
                                                                     can explore a 25% cap across the board for the various
                                                                19
19
    material that you'd like to have placed into the record.
                                                                     customers types if they see no change in service
20
    And if that's the case, I'll have our clerk swear you
                                                                20
                                                                     instead of the $5 dollar credit for the 20-gallon
21
    in. Also when you come forward, please state your name
                                                                21
                                                                     customers, because there's some that have dis-
22
    so that the court reporter can enter it into the record.
                                                                22
                                                                     -proportionate impacts and it might just limit the
23
            Thank you. And let the first speaker come
                                                                23
                                                                     effect of the disproportionate increases.
24
                                                                24
                                                                            I think the question was also asked about the
    forward.
                                                                25
25
                                                                     96-gallon black container. For the few customers on the
    ///
                                                     Page 718
                                                                                                                    Page 720
 1
              PUBLIC COMMENT BY TOM WILLIAMS
                                                                 1
                                                                     residential side that use it, even if you incentivize or
 2
                                                                 2
                                                                     discourage the use of the 96-gallon black, it seems to
            MR. WILLIAMS: Good morning. My name is
                                                                 3
    Tom Williams. This morning, I wanted to address
                                                                     me that it shouldn't necessarily go away as a container
                                                                 4
 4
    another aspect of the split-billing program. Thursday,
                                                                     type because it's just going to result in a 64 and a 32
 5
    I received a letter from Recology advising me there
                                                                 5
                                                                     for the same amount of material which results in two
 6
    isn't a way to document a shared black can in our system
                                                                 6
                                                                     lifts, two pickups, rather than one; so it actually
 7
    with two accounts. I don't understand how the system
                                                                 7
                                                                     reduces efficiency and works against some of our
    can take care of split billing for the blue receptacles
                                                                 8
                                                                     efficiency goals notwithstanding the fact that they're
    and the green receptacles but not the black receptacles.
                                                                 9
                                                                     waste generators. But perhaps Staff could further
10
                                                                10
    It doesn't make sense to me.
                                                                     explore whether that can be kept as a container type
11
            Also, if we look at the chart that lists
                                                                11
                                                                     even if it's discouraged.
                                                                12
12
    Recology's charges and we get to the policy statement in
                                                                            I think the R3 analysis was very clear and
13
                                                                13
    there about charging 150% of fees, they talk about the
                                                                     very helpful in understanding a lot of the details about
14
    fees for access, for distance and for volume. And when
                                                                14
                                                                     the residential service mixes. As I've stated on a
                                                                     couple of other occasion, I don't think the minimum
15
    it talks about volume, it doesn't make any distinction
                                                                15
                                                                     service requirements have been properly addressed here.
16
    as to which type of container; so there's a disparity
                                                                16
17
    between the stated policy and the policy as executed.
                                                                17
                                                                     If I understand correctly, it's currently a 16/16/4
18
                                                                18
            So therefore, this morning I want to request
                                                                     minimum service per residential unit and it's proposed
19
    you to direct Recology to reconfigure their system to
                                                                19
                                                                     to go to 16/16/8. If you apply that based on the number
20
                                                                20
    allow for billings -- split buildings the black
                                                                     of units, the analysis should show that there are
21
    receptacles. Thank you.
                                                                21
                                                                     some -- not really single-family, but multi-unit
22
            DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you.
                                                                22
                                                                     buildings that are getting less than minimum service now
23
                                                                23
                                                                     and would certainly be getting less than the minimum
            Next speaker, please.
              PUBLIC COMMENT BY DAVID PILPEL
24
                                                                24
                                                                     service under the proposed increase. If Staff can
25
            MR. PILPEL: David Pilpel. I've already
                                                                     examine that pretty carefully, I think that there -- as
```

Page 721

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I said before, I think that there really is revenue on 2 the table that should be included in the base rate, and it's up to the Company to chase those customers and address their minimum service. But if single-family residents are being held to a 16 or 20 minimum with the attendant minimum on blue and green, I absolutely think that apartment customers should as well.

In particular, the charts that R3 had today, Exhibits 88 and 89, some version of that I think should be included in the reporting requirements for the quarterly reports; so what the actual migration was to by account configuration. Not just the total numbers, but the particular configuration of service types should be reported on a quarterly basis so we can see how the actuals compared to what was projected. In fact, the report should show what was projected for that rate year or quarter and what the actuals were so the variance will be more obvious rather than waiting for the next rate cycle.

20 In general, the idea is that if you use more, 21 you should pay more. So to the extent that there is 22 some disproportionality, that makes sense, and so I 23 don't mind the large increases and large decreases if 24 we're realigning the rates to better match the costs. That actually makes sense even if it's implemented over

Page 722

I'm very impressed with the sophistication of the modeling that R3 has done. It's certainly better than anything I could do, and that's been helpful.

It want to talk about the ZWI targets for a moment. The Staff Report -- and perhaps Staff can talk about this later. I pointed out that there was an error on page 28 in the Staff Report, the Recology's proposed targets. I think this was a first pass, but there were some errors in how that was presented and I think there needs to be a revised presentation of the ZWI proposed numbers. I do understand that the second chart with -on the bottom of 28 that shows how the ZWI targets would change if both Contingent Schedules are triggered is correct. I do note that the chart at the back of the R3 attachment also shows this information in a slightly different way what -- and I would appreciate if R3 can just validate the numbers. They probably generated

In any event, what strikes me in particular is that the dramatic change from the 409,000 tons disposed in 2016 to the 235-, almost 236,000 in Tier 4 for rate year '22, if both Contingent Schedules are triggered, results in like a 40% drop in disposed tonnage. That

24 would be great if we achieve that. They get their ZWI 25

numbers, Robert looks great, we all feel great.

Page 724

time.

1

2

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

The fixed and variable rate discussion has a lot of consequences. I think you can certainly argue that a lot of the labor costs are fixed. I would also argue that one of the labor costs related to the three collection streams could just as easily be allocated to those collection streams and recovered in that way and that the majority of all of the fixed costs should be for those things that are not related to the three streams, the general administrative, HHW, Gigantic 3, programs like that. And so I think there are different ways to look at fixed versus variable. It's not a single analysis, and to that extent, I don't really entirely agree with the Armanino report.

15 Furthermore, I think the trend of the three 16 streams -- the blue, black, and green -- processing 17 and disposal costs are moving toward a similar range. 18 Traditionally, black has been the lowest overall cost of 19 collection, processing and disposal, with the green and 20 blue being somewhat to significantly higher. As we get 21 into the black processing and as the composting and 22 recycling costs tend to not change as much, I think the 23 black is increasing and the blue and green not as much; so the range of difference is smaller in the future and 25 will likely to continue the trend that way.

1 The question is, is that realistic? Is

2 there any way, given what we know and given what we 3 can accomplish, that we would see a 40% reduction in

4 actual disposed tons over that period? It's a great

5 aspirational goal, but I think an appropriate question 6 to Staff and the consultants is, is that really

7 realistic? And how much risk is there? And that leads

8 to the other point about the ZWI targets, is does this

9 appropriately incentive the Companies to make the 10 changes in programs' behavior technology to accomplish

11 that? I mean, for the ZWI program to work, it's got to 12

be a meaningful incentive for the Companies to change 13 things, but also realistic. So there's tension there,

and I'm not sure that we've fully explored both of those 15 issues about realistic and achievable and yet desirable

16 and the level of incentive.

17 DIRECTOR NURU: I believe your time is up, 18 sir.

19 MR. PILPEL: Yeah, I always get there. 20 We talked about organics tip fees. My

21 colleague or friend Mr. Baker opened the door on the 22 question of an adjusted O.R. given the level of risk.

23 Perhaps we can explore that in the future.

24 DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you.

25 Next speaker, please.

Page 725

MR. PILPEL: Thank you very much. PUBLIC COMMENT BY TRACY THOMPSON MS. THOMPSON: Hi, thank you. My name is Tracy Thompson, and I want to first say that I do appreciate my garbage service immensely and what were doding here in California.  I an 20-galion ratepayer and I'm a 20-galion bit user and also a CRV "pilferer" because I decide to to bring my CRV recyclables back to the redemption center, which isn't all that fun. So I just want to say that the ratepayers the rate application statement center, which isn't all that fun. So I just want to say that the ratepayers the rate application statement center, which isn't all that fun go away? And I don't know if that's already been established here when this was in discussion.  I also want to add that the 20-galion scenario is is only for single-family residents, and I don't know if people aren't it seems like when this under discussion, it wasn't known if this was for everybody. But 20 galions the bin is really for single-family residents who contract that out; so that's under 20 discussion, it wasn't known if this was for everybody. 21 But 20 galions the bin is really for single-family 22 residents who contract that out; so that's under 23 dode 20.  24 Also, keeping the 20-galion bin snot about getting a 4-galion benefit or discount for not having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 10 degration of the last, what, five or ten years are the ones who are not generating a lot of trash. These are the people who don't who haven't dragged the bin 5 out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash's so it's not really about us enjoying the savings 7 or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making 2 zero-waste goals according to the City. So after 1 it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 1 20-galion bin sers who are getting the discount. 1 I also want to say that regarding this risk 1 associated with yeah, "risk" associated with composition 1 it's really k				
2 PUBLIC COMMENT BY TRACY THOMPSON 3 MS. THOMPSON. HI, thank you, by name is 4 Tracy Thompson, and I want to first say that I do 5 appreciate my garbage service immensely and what were 6 doing here in California. 7 If a 20-gallon ratepayer and I'm a 20-gallon 8 bin user and also a CRV 'pilferer' because I decide 9 to bring my CRV recyclables back to the redemption 10 center, which isn't all that fun. So I just want to say 11 that the ratepayers - the rate application statement 12 indicates that we will get a 55 dollar credit, and I 13 want to know how long will we be given this credit. And 14 then after a certain amount of time, will it go away? 15 And I don't know if that's already been established here 16 when this was in discussion. 17 I also want to add that the 20-gallon scenario 18 is only for single-family residents, and I don't know 19 if people aren't - it seems like when this under 10 discussion, it wasn't known if this was for everybody. 19 But 20 gallons - the bin is really for single-family 20 residents who contract that out; so that's under 21 dospalon bin for the last, what, five or ten years are 22 the one who are not generating a lot of trash. These 23 are the people who don't - who haven't dragged the bin 24 out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of 26 trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings 27 or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making 28 zero-waste goals according to the City. So after - 29 it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not 20 having a discount, it's about us making 21 zero-waste goals according to the city. So after - 20 it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not 21 having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 21 20 gallon to in users who are getting the inscount of the having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 21 20 gallon to use the application now, it 21 would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for 22 feet full the properties of the properties of the full the properties of the properties o	1	MR. PILPEL: Thank you very much.	1	
MS. THOMPSONE: Hi, thank you. My name is 4 Tracy Thompson, and I want to first say that 1 do 5 appreciate my garbage service immensely and what we're 6 doing here in California. 1 Tra 20-gallon ratepayer and I'm a 20-gallon 8 bin user and also a CRV "pilferer" because I decide 10 to bring my CRV recyclables back to the redemption 10 center, which isn't all that fun. So I just want to say 11 that the ratepayers - the rate application statement 12 indicates that we will get a \$5 dollar credit, and I 13 want to know how long will we be given this credit. And 14 then after a certain amount of time, will it go away? 15 And I don't know if that's already been established here 17 when this was in discussion. 18 is only for single-family residents, and I don't know if single-family residents, and I don't know if the was not generated a tof 19 if people aren't - it seems like when this under 19 discussion, it wasn't known if this was for everybody. 10 discussion, it wasn't known if this was for everybody. 11 But 20 gallons - the bin is really for single-family 12 residents who contract that out; so that's under 13 dought get a state of the	2	PUBLIC COMMENT BY TRACY THOMPSON	2	
1 Tracy Thompson, and I want to first say that I do sapprecide my garbage service immensely and what we're doing here in California.  1 Tra a 20-gallon ratepayer and I'm a 20-gallon bin is bin user and also a CRV 'pilferer' because I decide to bring my CRV recyclables back to the redemption center, which isn't all that fun. So I just want to say that the ratepayers — the rate application statement indicates that we will get a \$5 dollar credit, and I are a certain amount of time, will It go away?  1 that the ratepayers — the rate application statement indicates that we will get a \$5 dollar credit, and I are will be to thank everyone for participating in these proceedings and our meeting is adjourned.  1 a want to know how long will we be given this credit. And then after a certain amount of time, will It go away?  2 hand I don't know if that's already been established here when this was in discussion.  1 a Iso want to add that the 20-gallon scenario discussion, it wasn't known if this was for everybody.  2 but 20 gallons — the bin is really for single-family residents, and I don't know about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having or us enjoying a discount, it's about us enjoying the savings or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making a zero-waste goals according to the City. So after — it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount.  1 also want to say that regarding this risk as associated with — yeah, "risk" associated with the welp-"risk" associated with welp-"risk" associated with the pregoling to take discount in the action.  1 also want to say that regarding this risk it's cited with — yeah, "risk" associated with the program proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place therein set forth and were	3	MS. THOMPSON: Hi, thank you. My name is	3	DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you.
5 appreciate my garbage service immensely and what we're do doing here in California. 7 I'm a 20-gallon ratepayer and I'm a 20-gallon bit user and also a CRV 'piliferer' because I decide to bring my CRV recyclables back to the redemption 10 center, which isn't all that fun. So I just want to say that that the ratea application statement 12 indicates that we will get a \$5 dollar credit, and I as want to know how long will we be given this credit. And then after a certain amount of time, will it go away? 15 And I don't know if that's already been established here when this was in discussion. 1 also want to add that the 20-gallon scenario if pilopel aren't – it seems like when this under 20 discussion, it wasn't known if this was for everybody. But 20 gallons – the bin is really for single-family residents, who contract that out; so that's under 20 gallon bin for the last, what, five or ten years are the people who don't – who haven't dragged the bin of trash, so it's nor teally about us enjoying the savings a zero-waste goals according to the City. So after – 9 it's really Recology who is getting a discount. I also want to say that regarding this risk as associated with – yeah, "risk" associated with compost is that sincluded in the rate application now, it won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next and correct transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcribed; That the foregoing is true and correct transcribed; That the foregoing is true and correct.  Dated this Sth day of May, 2017.	4		4	•
6 doing here in California. 7 Im a 20-gallon ratepayer and I'm a 20-gallon bin user and also a CRV "pilferer" because I decide to bring my CRV recyclables back to the redemption center, which isn't all that fun. So I just want to say that the ratepayers — the rate application statement indicates that we will get a \$5 dollar credit, and I and the ratepayers — the rate application statement indicates that we will get a \$5 dollar credit, and I are acrtain amount of time, will it go away? 15 And I don't know how long will we be given this credit. And then after a certain amount of time, will it go away? 16 And I don't know if that's already been established here when this was in discussion. 17 I also want to add that the 20-gallon scenario is only for single-family residents, and I don't know if their swas for everybody. 18 if people aren't — it seems like when this under of the contract that out; so that's under 20 gallons — the bin is really for single-family residents who contract that out; so that's under 20 gallons — the bin is really for single-family residents who contract that out; so that's under 20 gallon bin for the last, what, five or ten years are a the people who don't — who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash. These are the people who don't — who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash. These are the people who don't — who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash. These are the people who don't — who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash. These are the people who don't — who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash. These are the people who don't — who haven't dragged the bin of trash. These are the people who don't — who haven't dragged the bin of trash. These are the people who don't — who haven't dragged the bin of trash. These are the people who don't — who have	5		5	
If ma 20-gallon ratepayer and Ifm a 20-gallon user and also a CRV pileferer" because I decide to bring my CRV recyclables back to the redemption to center, which isn't all that fun. So I just want to say that the ratepayers - the rate application statement indicates that we will get a \$5 dollar credit, and I awant to know how long will we be given this credit. And then after a certain amount of time, will it go away? And I don't know if that's already been established here when this was in discussion.  I also want to add that the 20-gallon scenario is only for single-family residents, and I don't know if this was for everybody. But 20 gallons - the bin is really for single-family 22 residents who contract that out; so that's under 220 gallons versus 16 gallons. People who have used the 2 20-gallon bin for the last, what, five or ten years are the ones who are not generating a lot of trash. These are the people who don't - who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making zero-waste goals according to the City. So after - 9 it's really Recology who is getting a discount, it's about us making associated with - yeah, "risk" associated with complete it it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this it's really Recology who are getting the discount. I also want to say that regarding this risk associated with - yeah, "risk" associated with complete it it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this it's really facility or the parties nor full whether is a risk and we have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we have the ready of the parties nor full whether is a risk and we have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we have the goaling to the risk and the prevage and account to the solder when the sidewalk, so it's not the future instead of complain	6	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	6	
8 bin user and also a CRV "pilferer" because I decide to the program of the center, which isn't all that fun. So I just want to say 11 that the ratepayers the rate application statement in indicates that we will get a \$5 dollar credit, and 1 and that the ratepayers the rate application statement in indicates that we will get a \$5 dollar credit, and 1 and that the certain amount of time, will it go away? And again, I'd just like to thank everyone for participating in these proceedings and our meeting is adjourned.  13 want to know how long will we be given this credit. And the after a certain amount of time, will it go away? And again, I'd just like to thank everyone for participating in these proceedings and our meeting is adjourned.  14 then after a certain amount of time, will it go away? And again, I'd just like to thank everyone for participating in these proceedings and our meeting is adjourned.  15 And I don't know if that's already been established here when this use in discussion.  16 I also want to add that the 20-gallon scenario is only for single-family residents, and I don't know if this was for everybody.  18 but 20 gallons the bin is really for single-family 22 residents who contract that out; so that's under 22 decided and the stream of the side walk because they don't even the last, what, five or ten years are the ones who are not generating a lot of trash. These are the people who don't who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash. These in out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making 2 zero-waste goals according to the City. So after it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not having to pick it up at the sidewalk, because they don't generate a lot of trash. These in the value of the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of the stream of the proposed of any attorney or any of the parties nor first that				·
by to bring my CRV recyclables back to the redemption center, which isn't all that fun. So I just want to say that the ratepayers — the rate application statement to indicates that we will get a \$5 dollar credit, and I awant to know how long will we be given this credit. And the mafter a certain amount of time, will it go away?  And I don't know if that's already been established here when this was in discussion.  I also want to add that the 20-gallon scenario is only for single-family residents, and I don't know if people aren't — it seems like when this under discussion, it wasn't known if this was for everybody.  But 20 gallons — the bin is really for single-family 22 residents who contract that out; so that's under 23 dougles and the ones who are not generating a lot of trash. These at the ones who are not generating a lot of trash. These are the people who don't — who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings or or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making zero-waste goals according to the City. So after — it's really Recology who is getting a discount, or having to pick it up at the sidewalk, because they don't endough the savings associated with — yeah, "risk' associated with the sidewalk because they don't shout used the sidewalk because they don't shout used to having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the lating the discount.  I also want to say that regarding this risk associated with composit is that the sidewalk, so it's not the lating the discount of the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash. These are the people who don't — who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash. These are the people who don't — who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash. These are the people who don't — who haven't dragged the bin out to having to pick it up at the sidewalk, bocause they don't generate a lot of t				
center, which isn't all that fun. So I just want to say that the ratepayers the rate application statement indicates that we will get a \$\$ dollar credit, and I awant to know how long will we be given this credit. And then after a certain amount of time, will it go away? And I don't know if that's already been established here when this was in discussion. If also want to add that the 20-gallon scenario is only for single-family residents, and I don't know if people aren't it seems like when this under discussion, it wasn't known if this was for everybody. But 20 gallons the bin is really for single-family credit experiments who contract that out; so that's under day Also, keeping the 20-gallon bin is not about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having Page 726  1 20 gallons versus 16 gallons. People who have used the the ones who are not generating a lot of trash. These are the people who don't who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making zero-waste goals according to the City. So after it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 120 gallon bin users who are getting the discount. 13 I also want to say that regarding this risk tit's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this tit's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this tit's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this tit's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this tit's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this tit's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this tit's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this tit's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this tit's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this tit's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this tit's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this tit's called, it seems to me that in order to		·		
that the ratepayers — the rate application statement indicates that we will get a \$5 dollar credit, and 1 want to know how long will we be given this credit. And 1 then after a certain amount of time, will it go away?  And I don't know if that's already been established here when this was in discussion.  I also want to add that the 20-gallon scenario is is only for single-family residents, and I don't know if that's afready been established here when this was in discussion.  I also want to add that the 20-gallon scenario is is only for single-family residents, and I don't know if people aren't — it seems like when this under discussion, it wasn't known if this was for everybody.  But 20 gallons — the bin is really for single-family residents who contract that out; so that's under 20 discussion, it wasn't known if this was for everybody.  Also, keeping the 20-gallon bin is not about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making a zero-waste goals according to the City. So after — it's really Recology who is getting a discount. It's about us making a zero-waste goals according to the City. So after — it's really Recology who is getting a discount. It's allow want to say that regarding this risk stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for flature use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the enter they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the enter they				
12 indicates that we will get a \$5 dollar credit, and I want to know how long will we be given this credit. And I don't know in that's already been established here when this was in discussion.  15 And I don't know if that's already been established here when this was in discussion.  16 I also want to add that the 20-gallon scenario is only for single-family residents, and I don't know if freely aren't it seems like when this under if old sicussion, it wasn't known if this was for everybody.  18 But 20 gallons the bin is really for single-family 21 residents who contract that out; so that's under 22 code 290.  24 Also, keeping the 20-gallon bin is not about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having Page 726  25 20 gallons versus 16 gallons. People who have used the 22 20-gallon bin for the last, what, five or ten years are 3 the ones who are not generating a lot of trash. These 4 are the people who don't who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings 7 or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making 2 zero-waste goals according to the City. So after 1t's really Recology who is getting a discount for not having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. I 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. I 21- also want to say that regarding this risk associated with cyeah, "risk" associated with compost stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this firsk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the contract or production or work be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the contract or production or work gone t				
unt to know how long will we be given this credit. And the nafter a certain amount of time, will it go away?  And I don't know if that's already been established here when this was in discussion.  I also want to add that the 20-gallon scenario is only for single-family residents, and I don't know if this was for everybody. But 20 gallons the bin is really for single-family residents, and I don't know if this was for everybody. But 20 gallons the bin is really for single-family residents, and I don't know if this was for everybody. But 20 gallons the bin is really for single-family residents, and I don't know if this was for everybody. But 20 gallons the bin is really for single-family residents, and I don't know if the was for everybody. But 20 gallons the bin is really for single-family residents, and I don't know if the was for everybody. But 20 gallons the bin is really for single-family residents who contract that out; so that's under care identified by a discussion.  Also, keeping the 20-gallon bin so to about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having Page 726  1 20 gallons versus 16 gallons. People who have used the care the people who don't who haven't dragged the bin of trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the sawings or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making zero-waste goals according to the City. So after it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the care to a policy in the same of the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the enter a perilication, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or production or production				
14 then after a certain amount of time, will it go away? 15 And I don't know if that's already been established here 16 when this was in discussion. 17 I also want to add that the 20-gallon scenario 18 is only for single-family residents, and I don't know 19 if people aren't - it seems like when this under 20 discussion, it wan't known if this was for everybody. 21 But 20 gallons - the bin is really for single-family 22 residents who contract that out; so that's under 23 Code 290. 24 Also, keeping the 20-gallon bin is not 25 about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having 26 Page 726  1 20 gallons versus 16 gallons. People who have used the 27 29 gallon bin for the last, what, five or ten years are 28 the ones who are not generating a lot of trash. These 29 are the people who don't who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings 28 or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making 29 zero-waste goals according to the City. So after 29 it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. 29 it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. 20 gallon bin users who are getting the discount. 21 I also want to say that regarding this risk 22 associated with yeah, "risk" associated with compost stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that it included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the ten the place of the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we not be only the place of the place			1	
15 And I don't know if that's already been established here when this was in discussion.  16 When this was in discussion.  17 I also want to add that the 20-gallon scenario is only for single-family residents, and I don't know if the was for everybody.  18 It is only for single-family residents, and I don't know if this was for everybody.  19 If people aren't it seems like when this under discount, it wasn't known if this was for everybody.  20 But 20 gallons the bin is really for single-family residents who contract that out; so that's under esidents who contract for fact that esidents who contract for fact that esidents who contract that out; so that's under esidents who contract for fact that esidents who contract that out; so that's under esidents who contract for fact that esidents who contract for fact that esidents who contract for fact that esidents who contract for f				
16 when this was in discussion.  1 I also want to add that the 20-gallon scenario 18 is only for single-family residents, and I don't know 19 if people aren't it seems like when this under 20 discussion, it wasn't known if this was for everybody. 21 But 20 gallons the bin is really for single-family 22 residents who contract that out; so that's under 23 dode 290.  24 Also, keeping the 20-gallon bin is not 25 about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having 26 about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having 27 benefit about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having 28 are the people who don't who have used the 29 callon bin for the last, what, five or ten years are 4 the ones who are not generating a lot of trash. These 4 are the people who don't who haven't dragged the bin 5 out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of 6 trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings 7 or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making 8 zero-waste goals according to the City. So after 19 it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not 10 having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 11 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. 12 I also want to say that regarding this risk 13 associated with - yeah, "risk" associated with compost 14 stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that it is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they wan idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the pression to ratepayers until the companies, you know, future contracts or production or companies, you know, future contracts or production or companies, you know, future contracts or production or				(Linding different 11.10 d.fff.)
17 I also want to add that the 20-gallon scenario 18 is only for single-family residents, and I don't know 18 if people aren't — it seems like when this under 20 discussion, it wasn't known if this was for everybody. 21 But 20 gallons — the bin is really for single-family 22 residents who contract that out; so that's under 23 Code 290. 24 Also, keeping the 20-gallon bin is not 25 about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having 26 Page 726  1 20 gallons versus 16 gallons. People who have used the 2 20-gallon bin for the last, what, five or ten years are 3 the ones who are not generating a lot of trash. These 4 are the people who don't — who haven't dragged the bin 5 out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of 6 trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings 7 or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making 2 zero-waste goals according to the City. So after — 9 it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not 10 having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 11 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. 12 I also want to say that regarding this risk 13 associated with — yeah, "risk" associated with compost 14 stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it 15 it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this 16 risk that is included in the rate application now, it 17 would be behove Recology to go and get a contract for 18 future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we 19 won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the 19 next application, so we're going to take a big loss in 19 this risk. So I think that's that that's important to 19 mention that any company who does business with other 20 companies, you know, future contracts or production or 21 companies, you know, future contracts or production or 22 companies, you know, future contracts or production or 23 contraction that any company who does business with other 24 companies, you know, future contracts or production or				
18 is only for single-family residents, and I don't know 19 if people aren't it seems like when this under 20 discussion, it wasn't known if this was for everybody. 20 But 20 gallons the bin is really for single-family 21 residents who contract that out; so that's under 22 Code 290. 23 Also, keeping the 20-gallon bin is not 24 about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having 25 Page 726 Page 726 Page 728 Page 7				
19 if people aren't it seems like when this under discussion, it wasn't known if this was for everybody. 21 But 20 gallons the bin is really for single-family residents who contract that out; so that's under Code 290. 23 Also, keeping the 20-gallon bin is not about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having Page 726 about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having Page 726 about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having Page 726 about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having Page 726 about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having Page 726 are the people who don't who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making zero-waste goals according to the City. So after it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 12 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. 1 I also want to say that regarding this risk associated with yeah, "risk" associated with compost stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or companies, you know, future contracts or production or contract and contract that out; so that a particular and contract application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with			1	
discussion, it wasn't known if this was for everybody. 21 But 20 gallons — the bin is really for single-family creidents who contract that out; so that's under code 290. 23 Also, keeping the 20-gallon bin is not about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having Page 726 Page 728  1 20 gallons versus 16 gallons. People who have used the 20-gallon bin for the last, what, five or ten years are the ones who are not generating a lot of trash. These are the people who don't — who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making 2 zero-waste goals according to the City. So after — it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. 1 also want to say that regarding this risk associated with — yeah, "risk" associated with compost they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or companies, you know, future c				
21 But 20 gallons the bin is really for single-family residents who contract that out; so that's under 22 Code 290. 24 Also, keeping the 20-gallon bin is not about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having Page 726  25 about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having Page 726  1 20 gallons versus 16 gallons. People who have used the 2 20-gallon bin for the last, what, five or ten years are 3 the ones who are not generating a lot of trash. These 4 are the people who don't who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of 6 trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making 2 zero-waste goals according to the City. So after it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not 1 having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 1 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. I also want to say that regarding this risk 1 associated with yeah, "risk" associated with read paplication now, it would be behove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to 20 mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or 21 page 726  21 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  22 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  23 I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place therein set forth and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct.  21 Lotal REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  22 Lotal REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  23 L, MAXIMILLIAN A.		·		
residents who contract that out; so that's under Code 290.  Also, keeping the 20-gallon bin is not about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having Page 726  1 20 gallons versus 16 gallons. People who have used the 20-gallon bin for the last, what, five or ten years are 3 the ones who are not generating a lot of trash. These 4 are the people who don't who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings 7 or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making 8 zero-waste goals according to the City. So after 10 thaving to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 11 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. I also want to say that regarding this risk 13 associated with yeah, "risk" associated with compost stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it 15 it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other 5 companies, you know, future contracts or production or 25 companies, you know, future contracts or production or 25 companies, you know, future contracts or production or 26 companies, you know, future contracts or production or 27 companies, you know, future contracts or production or 28 companies, you know, future contracts or production or 28 companies, you know, future contracts or production or 29 companies, you know, future contracts or production or 20 companies, you know, future contracts or production or 20 companies, you know, future contracts or production or 20 companies, you know, future contracts or production or 20 companies. You have the dead to the product of the product of the product of the product of			1	
23 Code 290. 24 Also, keeping the 20-gallon bin is not about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having Page 726  1 20 gallons versus 16 gallons. People who have used the 20-gallon bin for the last, what, five or ten years are the ones who are not generating a lot of trash. These are the people who don't who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making zero-waste goals according to the City. So after it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. I also want to say that regarding this risk associated with yeah, "risk" associated with compost stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or				
Also, keeping the 20-gallon bin is not about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having Page 726  1 20 gallons versus 16 gallons. People who have used the 2 20-gallon bin for the last, what, five or ten years are 3 the ones who are not generating a lot of trash. These 4 are the people who don't who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of 6 trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. 10 having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 11 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. 12 I also want to say that regarding this risk associated with yeah, "risk" associated with compost stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's thing that's that that's that that's thing that's that that's that that's thing that's the place of companies, you know, future contracts or production or department of the place of		•		
25 about getting a 4-gallon benefit or discount or having Page 726  1 20 gallons versus 16 gallons. People who have used the 2 20-gallon bin for the last, what, five or ten years are 3 the ones who are not generating a lot of trash. These 4 are the people who don't who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of 6 trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings 7 or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making 8 zero-waste goals according to the City. So after 9 it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 11 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. 12 I also want to say that regarding this risk associated with yeah, "risk" associated with compost stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it 15 it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other 25 companies, you know, future contracts or production or 25 does not not recompanies, you know, future contracts or production or 26 does not not recompanies, you know, future contracts or production or 27 does not not not a page 728  1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  2 I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place therein set forth and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoi			1	
Page 726  1 20 gallons versus 16 gallons. People who have used the 2 20-gallon bin for the last, what, five or ten years are 3 the ones who are not generating a lot of trash. These 4 are the people who don't who haven't dragged the bin 5 out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of 6 trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings 7 or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making 8 zero-waste goals according to the City. So after 1 ti's really Recology who is getting a discount for not 1 having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. 12 I also want to say that regarding this risk associated with yeah, "risk" associated with compost 1 stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it 1 it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the mext application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or 25 decimals are an are the people who don't create a lot of trash; so it's not the 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. 10 I further certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place therein set forth and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing a true and correct transcribed for my shorthand notes so taken. 11 I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or any of the parties nor financially interested in the action. 12 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct. 12 Dated this 5th day of May, 2017. 1				
1 20 gallons versus 16 gallons. People who have used the 2 20-gallon bin for the last, what, five or ten years are 3 the ones who are not generating a lot of trash. These 4 are the people who don't who haven't dragged the bin 5 out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings 7 or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making 8 zero-waste goals according to the City. So after 9 it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. 11 I also want to say that regarding this risk associated with yeah, "risk" associated with compost stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or site of trash; and the people who don't reapple of the parties and the people who don't reapple of the parties and the people who don't reapple discount.  1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  2 I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place therein set forth and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcribed; Th	25		25	Page 728
20-gallon bin for the last, what, five or ten years are the ones who are not generating a lot of trash. These are the people who don't who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making zero-waste goals according to the City. So after it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount.  I also want to say that regarding this risk associated with yeah, "risk" associated with compost stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or		1 age 720		1 age 720
the ones who are not generating a lot of trash. These are the people who don't who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making zero-waste goals according to the City. So after it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount.  I also want to say that regarding this risk associated with yeah, "risk" associated with compost stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or	1	20 gallons versus 16 gallons. People who have used the	1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
4 are the people who don't who haven't dragged the bin out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making zero-waste goals according to the City. So after it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount.  I also want to say that regarding this risk associated with yeah, "risk" associated with compost stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or	2	20-gallon bin for the last, what, five or ten years are	2	
5 out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings 7 or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making 8 zero-waste goals according to the City. So after 9 it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 10 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. 11 21 I also want to say that regarding this risk associated with yeah, "risk" associated with compost stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or	3	the ones who are not generating a lot of trash. These	3	
trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making zero-waste goals according to the City. So after it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. I also want to say that regarding this risk associated with yeah, "risk" associated with compost stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the mention that any company who does business with other to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or	4	are the people who don't who haven't dragged the bin		
7 or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making 8 zero-waste goals according to the City. So after 9 it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not 10 having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 11 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. 12 I also want to say that regarding this risk 13 associated with yeah, "risk" associated with compost 14 stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it 15 it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this 16 risk that is included in the rate application now, it 17 would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for 18 future use and contract negotiations in place so that 19 they have an idea of what that cost might be in the 20 future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we 21 won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the 22 next application, so we're going to take a big loss in 23 this risk. So I think that's that that's important to 24 mention that any company who does business with other 25 companies, you know, future contracts or production or	5	out to the sidewalk because they don't generate a lot of		
8 zero-waste goals according to the City. So after 9 it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not 10 having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 11 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. 12 I also want to say that regarding this risk 13 associated with yeah, "risk" associated with compost 14 stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it 15 it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this 16 risk that is included in the rate application now, it 17 would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for 18 future use and contract negotiations in place so that 19 they have an idea of what that cost might be in the 20 future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we 21 won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the 22 next application, so we're going to take a big loss in 23 this risk. So I think that's that that's important to 24 mention that any company who does business with other 25 companies, you know, future contracts or production or	6	trash; so it's not really about us enjoying the savings		
9 it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not 10 having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 11 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. 12 I also want to say that regarding this risk 13 associated with yeah, "risk" associated with compost 14 stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it 15 it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this 16 risk that is included in the rate application now, it 17 would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for 18 future use and contract negotiations in place so that 19 they have an idea of what that cost might be in the 20 future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we 21 won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the 22 new to mention that any company who does business with other 23 this risk. So I think that's that that's important to 24 mention that any company who does business with other 25 companies, you know, future contracts or production or	7	or us enjoying a discount, it's about us making		
having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount. I also want to say that regarding this risk associated with yeah, "risk" associated with compost stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or	8	zero-waste goals according to the City. So after		,
20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount.  I also want to say that regarding this risk associated with yeah, "risk" associated with compost stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or	9	it's really Recology who is getting a discount for not	1	
11 20-gallon bin users who are getting the discount.  12 I also want to say that regarding this risk associated with yeah, "risk" associated with compost stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or	10	having to pick it up at the sidewalk, so it's not the		
I also want to say that regarding this risk associated with yeah, "risk" associated with compost stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or	11			•
associated with yeah, "risk" associated with compost stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or in place so that the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or in place in the action.  I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct.  Dated this 5th day of May, 2017.  MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS  CSR NO. 13876  MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS  CSR NO. 13876	12			
stations such as Hay Road or Blossom Hill, whatever it it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or	13			
it's called, it seems to me that in order to avoid this risk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in mention that any company who does business with other correct.  Dated this 5th day of May, 2017.  MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS CSR NO. 13876  CSR NO. 13876	14			
risk that is included in the rate application now, it would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other correct.  Dated this 5th day of May, 2017.  MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS CSR NO. 13876  CSR NO. 13876	15	·		
would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or would be dead this 5th day of May, 2017.  Dated this 5th day of May, 2017.  MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS  CSR NO. 13876  22  23  CSR NO. 13876	16	•		
18 future use and contract negotiations in place so that 19 they have an idea of what that cost might be in the 20 future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we 21 won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the 22 next application, so we're going to take a big loss in 23 this risk. So I think that's that that's important to 24 mention that any company who does business with other 25 companies, you know, future contracts or production or 26  19  20  21  MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS  CSR NO. 13876  22  23  24  25  CSR NO. 13876		risk that is included in the rate application now, it	117	LIATED TRIE STR DAY OF MIAV 7011/
they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or what there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the maximum. MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS CSR NO. 13876	17		1	Dated this 5th day of May, 2017.
future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in cast application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the maximilation. So we're going to take a big loss in cast application, so we're going to take a big loss in cast application		would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for	18	bacca this still day of Play, 2017.
won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or mention that any companies, you know, future contracts or production or 25	18	would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that	18 19	Dated this 5th day of Play, 2017.
next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or  next application, so we're going to take a big loss in CSR NO. 13876  22  23  24  25	18 19	would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the	18 19 20	Dated this still day of Play, 2017.
23 this risk. So I think that's that that's important to 24 mention that any company who does business with other 25 companies, you know, future contracts or production or 26 companies.	18 19 20	would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we	18 19 20	
mention that any company who does business with other companies, you know, future contracts or production or 25	18 19 20 21	would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the	18 19 20 21	MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS
25 companies, you know, future contracts or production or 25	18 19 20 21 22	would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in	18 19 20 21	MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS
	18 19 20 21 22 23	would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to	18 19 20 21 22 23	MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS
	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	would be behoove Recology to go and get a contract for future use and contract negotiations in place so that they have an idea of what that cost might be in the future instead of complaining that there's a risk and we won't be able to pass this on to ratepayers until the next application, so we're going to take a big loss in this risk. So I think that's that that's important to mention that any company who does business with other	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS

A
<b>ab</b> 665:9
abandoned 620:3,4
699:20 705:14,24
706:6,11,15,16,18
707:5,9 708:13 711:11 711:13,22 712:24
713:5 714:19 716:10
716:18 717:6 720:9
ability 630:16 654:11
673:11
able 638:17 645:14 647:6
647:6 657:6 662:3
681:10 709:10 712:15
715:17 717:4 727:21
about 627:19,22 630:8
630:10 631:20,22 632:6 637:4,5,7 639:5
639:17 642:13,19
644:18 647:10,21
649:5,8,24 650:16
651:7 653:16,20 654:7
656:16 663:12,13,21
663:24 664:13,17
667:13 670:3 671:4,18
671:18,19 672:10,11
677:10,20 678:18 681:13,14,15,17,20
682:1,1 684:20 685:2,5
686:19 689:7,14 693:1
694:7,14 697:18,19,20
697:23 698:10 699:20
700:11 701:20 702:15
705:23 706:1,2 708:20
710:2,9 711:8 713:7,23
717:4 719:13,13,15 720:14,24 721:13
724:4,6 725:8,15,20
726:25 727:6,7
<b>above</b> 641:9,14 642:1,11
absolutely 626:23
635:15 722:6
<b>AB0285D</b> 617:25
accept 636:22
acceptable 681:3
access 622:9 640:18 659:20 719:14
accessible 651:18 652:1
accomplish 725:3,10
accordance 651:2
according 727:8
account 619:19 632:17
632:19 639:6 640:17
641:18 646:10 673:10
677:5,7 684:12 694:11
722:12
accounts 633:23,25 634:1 635:8 636:11
638:11 639:18 640:8,9
641:7:14:16:17:642:14
645:3,3,6,8,11,12
646:7,11 694:19 695:7
719:7
accruing 660:10
accurate 631:1 651:1
678:4 achievable 690:1 725:15
acinevable 090.1 720.10

```
achieve 630:20 647:12
  648:24 686:15 714:4
  724:24
achieved 688:4
achieving 701:9
across 648:6 720:18
Act 622:3,8
acting 675:12 683:14
action 729:13
activities 622:10
actual 649:11 677:22
  722:11 725:4
actually 630:23 632:3
  634:23 649:2 656:15
  660:16 662:2 663:11
  667:9 672:24 679:2,6
  688:23,24 691:2 692:8
  699:22 700:6 702:8,16
  705:21,25 707:20
  709:10 710:14.20
  712:8 713:6 721:6
  722:25
actuals 722:15,17
add 630:8 665:25 674:5
  702:16 707:8 716:19
  726:17
adding 697:5
addition 629:10
additional 623:9 628:9
  629:11 634:23,25
  636:17 643:1 666:6
  667:23 681:18 682:13
  694:12,18 695:10,12
  695:21,23,25 696:9,11
  696:13,14,16,17,19,19
  697:4,5,7,8,15,16,25
  698:1 705:25 715:2
Additionally 667:24
address 657:1 670:6,8
  673:23 698:7 699:17
  703:2 714:10 715:19
  719:3 722:4
addressed 656:17
  699:18 721:16
adjourned 728:12
adjust 646:14 648:23
  651:14 669:12 671:8
adjusted 643:21 666:5
  725:22
adjustment 694:10
adjustments 628:11
  646:21 708:1
Administration 618:3
administrative 696:21
  697:22 723:10
admit 706:10,16
admitted 624:5 625:21
  631:13 632:15,19
  639:15 643:3,5 683:20
  690:14,18,20 706:13
  706:20 710:18
adopted 666:5
advance 705:15 711:10
advantages 716:2
advising 719:5
Advocate 618:12 623:8
  623:15 642:18 705:3
```

```
718:7
affect 644:1
affecting 677:17 678:11
affects 676:19
affiliate 667:1
affiliated 667:15
affiliates 669:19
affirmative 675:20
after 680:8 705:23
  711:15 716:12 717:1
  726:14 727:8
afternoon 709:8,14,24
  710:6
again 638:22 645:19
  646:20,24 656:8
  662:13 663:5 680:14
  682:17 686:6 689:5
  692:10 728:10
against 702:11 721:7
agencies 626:25 659:12
  666:4 668:4
agenda 621:8 728:9
aggregate 701:1
ago 653:6 689:15
agree 654:19 662:11
  663:19 664:2,18,21,22
  665:23 681:5 723:14
agreed 651:20
agreement 628:5 630:3
  680:15 713:25
agreements 664:7
ahead 625:14,17 639:8
  642:25 643:14 649:3
  704:20 711:24
aims 630:19 648:24
Alameda 623:24
albeit 650:10
aligning 673:14
alignment 648:12 650:12
allocated 723:6
allow 718:16 719:20
allowed 656:2
allowing 675:17 713:13
almost 630:10 724:21
along 643:24 705:18
  712:10
already 634:10 668:13
  677:7 687:4 719:25
  726:15
alternative 619:21 643:4
  643:11,16 646:15
  647:9
alternatives 635:6
  636:22 638:17 644:24
  646:11,14 669:8 710:5
although 635:10 638:7
  708:7 711:12,23
always 725:19
AMC 628:25 629:14
  673:13 714:22 715:1,7
AMC/BIR 620:5 710:17
among 641:7
amongst 698:25
amount 627:22 630:13
  636:25 640:16 650:24
  651:2,10 702:12 721:5
  726:14
                             674:19
```

```
analysis 620:5 623:1
  639:21 644:8 649:16
  656:19 657:14,16
  685:9 686:10 693:2,18
  697:9,13 703:4,10
  704:11 710:17 721:12
  721:20 723:13
analyst 663:22
analyzed 622:16
and/or 692:17
angles 653:14
announcement 622:1,6
annual 684:9
another 632:7 641:24
  647:9 680:25 701:23
  714:5 719:4
answer 683:24 695:8
  703:24
answered 675:20
answers 675:14
anticipated 669:14
  695:12
anybody 663:7
anymore 655:13
anything 628:10 638:4
  660:23 670:11 677:21
  680:11,17 717:1 718:5
  724:3
apartment 619:24 631:2
  639:2,3 684:22 685:3,9
  685:14 689:21 690:11
  690:19 693:15 694:6
  694:19,22,24 695:17
  696:6,15,16,24 697:8
  697:25 700:16 703:7
  703:18 704:10 722:7
apartments 689:18
  691:22 701:9,25
  704:12,13
apiece 641:14
apologize 705:15 707:1
appears 634:14
appendix 624:10,12,15
  624:17
apples-to-oranges
  672:20
Appliance 715:9
APPLICANT 618:13
application 617:4 621:11
  625:12 626:1,17 627:5
  627:16 628:2,7,20,21
  629:16,23 630:20
  637:21 638:23 640:23
  650:15,21 658:13,23
  658:24 661:25 666:13
  666:22 669:13,24
  674:17 675:4 677:20
  679:24 680:5 684:3,6
  684:21 685:22,23,25
  686:16 690:10 693:14
  695:15 698:21 699:11
  700:14 701:12 704:1
  707:8 726:11 727:16
  727:22
applications 626:4
  660:13 664:8 669:15
```

application's 638:25 applied 670:25 699:5,6 applies 669:24 apply 647:25 648:5,8,14 648:19,25 666:22 704:18 721:19 appointment 711:10 apportions 650:3 appreciate 704:6 720:9 724:16 726:5 approach 647:17 657:5,7 661:6 662:7 685:13 693:25 698:19,20 appropriate 654:10 656:25 657:9,11 659:3 659:6 663:9,20 667:11 667:17 668:8,10,13 675:19 677:3 698:12 717:9 725:5 appropriately 725:9 approved 628:21 634:3 approximate 695:11 approximately 649:22 687:2 approximating 698:14 **April** 617:12 621:1,8,12 area 648:22 660:19 663:11 664:19 665:17 665:21,25 666:18 672:8 678:14 682:2 688:18,21,22 691:9 areas 656:16 664:15 681:14,21 697:11 715:15 717:7,16,20,21 717:23 arenas 629:15 argue 723:3,5 argued 693:22 argument 648:14 663:16 arguments 702:11 Armanino 649:17 723:14 Arnold 618:14 around 623:23 630:16,22 631:25 636:21 645:7,8 647:7 649:13 654:3 679:5 681:3 685:10 687:15 710:8 arrangements 664:6 Arsenault 618:17 619:7 619:9 682:16,20 687:13 691:1 699:17 700:20 701:7 704:23 715:23 asked 626:19 672:7 675:16 677:2,10 679:22 681:14,14 707:10 720:14,24 asking 681:16 686:25 687:6 aspect 719:4 aspirational 725:5 asses 657:5 assess 717:9 assets 656:18,24 657:16 658:12,22 assist 627:4

associated 628:18

694:16 695:10 697:4 727:13,13 assume 655:24 696:5 assumed 685:22 686:4 695:7 704:2 assumes 685:25 assumption 655:23 684:21 693:22 704:1,9 assumptions 626:8 627:17 704:3 assurances 661:9 **ATKINSON-BAKER** 617:21 attachment 724:15 attendant 722:6 attending 622:12 attorney 618:9,9 683:13 729:12 attributed 628:12 649:24 audience 705:18 audited 676:2 Authority 624:2 automatically 680:23,24 available 621:15 651:18 651:22,24 average 636:21 641:9,20 642:1,11 645:7 647:8 647:19 658:19.20 699:9,15 713:9 avoid 727:15 aware 665:20 666:2 669:6 676:15 away 721:3 726:14 awful 625:6 a.m 621:1 682:9,10 709:7 709:10 728:14

#### **B** 617:8 618:5 619:14

620:1 back 650:15 654:17,19 659:19 667:6 669:12 672:18 682:7 720:13 724:14 726:9 background 626:16,21 659:14 Baker 618:13 619:4,6,7 652:12,14,17 670:11 675:16 677:2,10 679:17.20 682:3.12.15 682:24 683:1,2,7,8,11 683:22,25 684:7 690:15,22 699:16,22 700:3,11 718:3,5,11 725:21 Baker's 675:14 **balance** 635:16 band 686:25 barely 691:24 bars 708:5 base 636:10 651:5,16 702:24 722:2 based 631:24 635:14 640:11,22 650:4,21 654:3 655:22 658:18 658:20 661:4 663:25 669:14 674:16 675:5

721:19 basic 645:2 basically 717:22 basis 639:20 640:15 641:2,13 651:19 656:5 658:17 684:9 704:16 711:3,10 722:14 **baskets** 691:20 batteries 692:8 Bay 648:22 bear 648:19 681:6 bears 666:19 669:17 becoming 665:12 before 621:19,25 625:8 626:18 652:25 683:2 683:11 693:21 705:2 707:14 709:21 717:1 722:1 begin 622:25 675:16 682:12 beginning 649:7 711:17 behalf 683:14 behavior 630:13 693:16 714:19 725:10 behind 691:4 behoove 727:17 being 621:21 641:8 648:24 650:2 661:6 666:5,7,21 671:9 674:20 685:8 700:1 702:7 708:11 712:15 712:23 717:15 722:5 723:20 believe 624:19 647:14 659:6 660:16,24 661:10 662:16 668:10 670:25 674:13 694:13 703:5 712:14 725:17 below 641:9 691:13 benchmarking 658:9 beneficial 717:17 benefit 673:11 702:19 713:12 726:25 benefits 673:7,12 696:20 712:14 714:2 best 705:17 better 634:9 638:20 641:23 642:6 647:9 673:1,20 687:5 692:15 722:24 724:2 between 628:1 631:2.25 632:2 637:2,5 638:3,4 643:13 648:2.9.12 650:3,17 664:12 669:6 672:19 680:15 695:13 703:23 715:20 719:17 BIC 628:24 629:14 673:13 big 636:6,20 641:8 713:16,17 727:22 biggest 634:14 677:25 689:23 713:24 **billing** 719:8 **billings** 719:20 bin 659:1 672:3,5 691:20 691:20 726:8,21,24

727:2,4,11

bins 673:4,16 674:25 691:11 bit 627:19 628:16 631:22 632:6,10 633:6 634:22 637:22 639:5 640:17 644:18,22 647:21 649:2,5,6662:24 663:18 664:14,25 665:1,9 675:3 687:5 698:10 700:24 701:24 707:20 713:7 714:14 717:8 black 659:1 719:6,9,20 720:25 721:2 723:16 723:18,21,23 **blanket** 715:18 Blossom 668:22,25 675:24 679:25 680:7 680:12,20 727:14 blue 630:9 678:6 691:20 705:22 712:6 713:1.21 716:5 719:8 722:6 723:16,20,23 Bluish 720:11 board 624:2 720:18 booked 660:9 both 625:11 632:12 639:25 641:11 663:14 673:3 682:18 688:19 707:8 708:8,21 709:1 712:15 713:5,21 717:15 724:13,22 725:14 bottom 708:5 724:12 bow 663:3 box 622:21 Brannan 691:2 break 653:25 655:11 682:7 breaking 653:18 brief 642:22 briefly 622:24 625:10,24 653:5 bring 726:9 bringing 689:3 **broker** 664:8 Bruen 618:10 619:5 675:10.12 679:14.22 681:14 building 672:22 673:3,17 687:19 688:15.16 690:5 691:1 692:2 694:1.25 695:2.4 697:10 700:22 701:19 buildings 672:10 685:14 685:15,17 686:11,19 686:21 687:9,12,22 688:3,8,18,22 689:7,13 690:4 691:3 692:14,18 695:10 696:15,17 697:18 703:7,11,13 719:20 721:22 built 702:13 bulk 625:9 Bulky 696:24 697:2 699:20 702:22 704:21 707:9 711:9 712:19

713:5 714:20.25 716:7 bullet-points 662:11 bump 645:8,19 646:1 656:6 **busier** 712:3 business 622:24 627:23 628:3,10 650:13 652:21 653:13 663:19 675:18,19 677:4 678:23 711:14 727:24 businesses 663:22 664:1,3 **buttons** 691:24 buy 693:16 **B3** 674:20 C

C 618:1 621:2 **CA** 617:9 618:5,8,15 cabinet 691:20 calculated 640:22 658:16 calculation 638:25 639:2 698:21 699:8,13 calculations 626:16 656:21 676:12 calendar 708:8 California 648:22 726:6 729:15 call 623:17 650:19 709:17,22 715:8 called 639:10 727:15 calling 645:18 710:8 calls 684:13 708:12 709:11,13,19,21 710:1 710:1,8 711:2,12,13,15 711:19,25 712:4,25 713:22 716:12 cap 670:20,25 720:16,18 capacity 628:9 634:23 638:21 655:7 673:21 679:3 696:17 697:11 702:13,16,19 703:5,12 capital 629:18 656:18,24 657:5,16 658:12 659:3 660:22 662:6 675:18 696:20 700:21 capped 720:15 caps 648:7 capture 621:23 care 626:14 719:8 carefully 721:25 Carlton 617:8 618:5 carried 635:19 carry 692:8

carrying 688:17

cases 687:1

673:9

catch 652:20

case 664:2 718:20

categories 626:11 628:4

641:14,15,15,18

641:24 642:8

Cathedral 691:22

642:15 646:9 650:1

category 628:13 629:7,9

628:22 636:6,8 641:12

caveat 703:3 center 618:14 726:10 **Cerrito** 653:11 certain 646:18,19,19 652:1 657:25 658:9 659:11 664:3 694:13 712:6.8 713:1 726:14 certainly 650:11 659:7 701:7 712:13 716:4 720:2 721:23 723:3 724:2 **CERTIFICATE** 729:1 Certified 729:5 certify 660:9 729:5,11 cetera 666:17 697:12 CFO 660:15 challenge 701:8,11 713:24 challenges 667:18 challenging 711:8 champion 687:19 700:22 701:18 chance 624:24 **change** 619:18 628:5,12 628:14,19 629:11,20 630:2 631:12 633:4 637:15,20 643:25 644:1 649:11 660:8 665:5 671:2 676:18 677:13,14,17 678:9,10 678:21 685:10 687:24 688:7 689:9 691:7.15 693:12,20 700:15 701:14 720:19 723:22 724:13,20 725:12 changed 661:13,20 688:10 changes 629:10,17,24 635:23 665:3,5,6,16,20 665:25 666:3.16.17 667:23 671:10 681:8 681:17,18,19,21,22 688:5 692:18 693:1,3,6 693:9 725:10 changing 665:11 702:15 **charge** 631:17 637:2,23 642:19 644:1,12 646:22 652:5 669:1 673:9,18 679:25 charged 640:11 641:1 678:2 charges 644:5 646:23 647:4 719:12 charging 719:13 chart 639:10 641:10,11 655:18 706:9,14 719:11 724:11.14 charts 709:16 722:8 chase 722:3 chasing 714:1 check 654:18 **chunk** 630:7 636:20 chute 619:23 688:8,12 688:17 690:17,25 691:2,5,8

chutes 688:7,8,14,23

**citing** 702:6

citizens 710:7 city 617:1,7 618:4,9,9,10 619:17,18,19,20,21 620:3,4,5 621:6 623:7 625:21 626:2 627:4 628:9 629:5 630:19 631:13 632:19 639:14 640:8 643:5 653:10 675:12 684:5,9 685:14 687:9 706:12,19 710:18 714:11,24 715:15 717:16,21 727:8 citywide 711:3 City's 623:1 648:11 707:16 714:21 Civil 622:3,8 clarification 674:11 701:6 **clarify** 674:18 681:16 682:2 700:25 701:2 704:15 711:7 clarifying 694:20 clarity 667:22 classified 694:23 clear 681:25 713:2 716:1 721:12 clearly 621:23 628:4.12 629:16 691:5,25 693:10 717:14 clerk 622:1 718:20 clients 668:16 cling 628:8 clip 686:20 close 648:17 728:6 closely 698:8 closely-correlated 648:12 closer 635:15 709:12 Code 726:23 coded 633:7 708:4 codes 633:15 COLA 666:23 698:21 699:8,12 colleague 725:21 collect 707:15 collected 649:12 650:25 711:16 collecting 689:3 collection 621:12 622:21 629:2 636:3.5 649:9 650:18 667:14 668:9 668:19 669:1,21 673:4 677:3 694:17 696:18 696:25 697:21 703:6,8 703:13,21 705:14,23 707:5,10 711:11,22 715:8 716:16,16 723:6 723:7,19 color 708:3 712:23 **colored** 720:10 column 633:3,6 640:9 646:12 655:21 combination 642:9 674:23 675:6 combinations 645:1,5 combine 712:15 713:18

713:24 combined 704:13 710:12 715:14,17 716:21 717.15 **combines** 712:19 combining 706:3 707:11 708:21,25 711:8 713:12 716:2,4 come 621:4,22 628:13 640:13 647:16 662:16 667:24 669:12 682:16 693:1 695:18 704:2,9 704:17,23 708:13 709:11,21 710:1 711:12,16 716:12 718:21,23 comfortable 661:6 713:19 coming 623:21 692:1 701:18 709:17 711:19 713:22 comment 619:10,11,11 623:13 715:23 718:14 718:17,17 719:1,24 726:2 728:6 comments 623:14 commercial 689:17 694:22.23 695:1.4.7 704:13 commercial-classified 703:22 communication 619:22 683:4,19,25 684:12 Communications 683:17 **communities** 635:17,23 649:4 community 622:17 720:11 companies 623:8 649:23 650:18 667:15 668:20 669:1,21 676:3 677:4 725:9,12 727:25 company 626:7,21 627:7 650:19 653:8 660:11 668:8,9,21 679:11 683:16,17 722:3 727:24

comparable 658:8

comparison 619:20

comparisons 628:1

compensate 669:22

competitive 658:8

692:10

685:9

complaining 727:20

completing 622:14

complexes 687:15

complex 712:16

689:22,24

complete 622:13 687:24

completed 622:20 684:5

compensation 626:14

637:24 639:11.13

compared 638:11 655:7

667:19 669:23 722:15

651:9 655:2 672:8,19

660:10

compliance 622:11 complicated 665:10 comply 622:2 687:12 component 647:18 648:18 662:8 666:25 667:12 668:14 669:11 713:16 components 652:5 composing 634:9 compost 633:13 675:15 727:13 compostable 692:13 compostables 631:19 692:4 696:22 composters 668:23 composting 628:5 629:2 629:19,20 633:11 637:24 644:5 663:15 664:13,20,25 665:4,16 665:25 666:7,10,18,21 667:1.13.16.18.25 668:11 670:4 676:8,17 678:2,18 679:1,24 681:8 686:9 723:21 composting's 665:9 composting-per-ton 629:21 comprise 636:9 640:7 comprising 646:10 conceptual 675:16 concern 642:18 703:15 concerning 622:1 concerns 670:2,6,8,9 677:20,23 714:21 conclude 650:22 659:5,6 700:2 conclusion 697:24 confidence 687:11 confident 693:19,19,24 configuration 722:12,13 confirm 675:7 congestion 710:6 consequences 723:3 consider 621:18 671:5 677:3 707:11,21 715:5 715:7,24 consideration 708:25 considerations 672:4 considered 630:14 669:15,20 695:1,4 considering 712:17 consistency 656:22 676:11 consistent 635:20 637:13 645:8 668:17 669:8 698:20,22 708:16 consistently 648:6 699:5 699:6 constant 708:14 constraints 629:24 consultant 623:1 consultants 725:6 consulting 624:20 626:23,24 627:1 consumer 671:17 consumers 671:4

consuming 634:7 635:7 consumption 641:22 642:7 contacted 684:11 contain 669:3 container 633:8,10,11,14 633:16,19 634:6,20 635:4 636:1,13,15 637:9,17 638:14,19,20 640:4 647:25 653:22 655:6 656:2,9,10,12 671:19 692:6 695:3 719:16 720:25 721:3 721:10 containers 633:16 634:9 634:11 636:15 654:1,2 654:24 655:12,16 671:22,22 689:6 691:12 692:2 contains 661:9 contemplate 688:1 contemplated 690:10 697:5 715:25 contemplates 701:13,14 Contingent 659:24 669:9 724:13.22 continue 634:21 655:11 655:19 656:11 683:1 710:2 716:20,24 723:25 728:7 continued 709:7 continues 648:5 continuing 621:10 694:3 710:9 contract 726:22 727:17 727:18 contracts 727:25 contractual 664:7 680:14 contrast 664:12 **CONTRERAS** 617:24 729:4,21 **CONT'D** 620:1 convenience 689:7 convenient 689:6 692:3 conversation 639:8 conversations 660:25 661:5 662:17.25 699:24 convert 685:15 689:25 692:13 convey 623:13 convinced 700:18 Copies 621:15 corporation 622:3 correct 624:11 627:18 630:20 634:17,18 638:25 641:6 645:22 646:4 652:4 653:15,22 653:24 655:1,3,8,9,20 656:13,19 657:13,18 657:21,22 658:1,2,6,8 658:9 662:19 664:16 665:12 666:23,24 668:23,24 669:2 677:9 679:13 680:2,6 681:1 684:10 686:2 693:16

693:17 695:19.23.24 696:3,4,9 698:3 702:4 702:5 704:5 724:14 729:9,16 correctly 721:17 corresponding 700:12 702:25 704:3 **corridor** 712:10 cost 625:12 626:11 628:11 629:20 634:23 636:3 640:17,24 645:24,25 647:13,19 648:13 649:6,16 650:12,23 651:13,21 659:7.9 665:17 668:2 669:11 673:4,6,10,14 673:15 677:25 678:1 678:11 681:23 695:10 697:7 698:2 702:23 703:9 723:18 727:19 costs 628:5,6,18 629:19 635:13,18 641:4 645:23 648:18 649:10 649:23,25 650:24 651:2,6,17 658:18 659:3 660:22 666:1 668:7 677:23 681:2,10 681:11.18 696:20.21 696:22,23 697:3,21,23 702:3,8,12 722:24 723:4,5,8,17,22 counsel 618:10 675:12 count 639:6 counts 628:18 County 617:1 621:7 623:24 **couple** 643:20,24 648:1 653:6,16 656:14,15,15 670:17 677:10 687:16 694:24 707:3 715:24 716:2 721:15 course 641:1 679:7 court 617:21 718:22 cover 647:19 668:2,11 700:4,4709:11 715:17 coverage 715:18 covered 711:17 covers 666:14 **CPI** 698:5 cream 698:11 create 667:22 created 625:18 627:25 688:24 creating 701:14 creative 691:17 credit 634:25 638:24 639:1 674:15,20 690:5 720:20 726:12,13 crew 707:6 715:2,8,19 crews 707:7 708:16 711:18 713:17,19 715:13,16 717:11 criteria 658:1,13 687:16 critical 693:13 cross-examination 621:17 623:7 679:17 682:5 700:7 718:4

670:14 718:10 **CRV** 726:8,9 CSR 617:24 729:4.22 **curb** 673:16 curbside 629:12 636:5 current 633:6 636:18 638:19 639:23 640:10 640:13 644:4 648:11 655:16 669:14 670:3 670:24 677:7 716:9 currently 633:20,24 634:19 636:1,12,16 637:14 642:2,9 643:23 648:10 650:17 653:25 655:25 656:7 666:4 671:3,14 674:25 686:24 699:7 702:17 709:2 714:3 721:17 curve 649:3 customer 619:22 630:12 631:16 632:9,11 633:12 636:7 637:8 641:8 645:3,3 646:7 647:20 648:1 655:15 655:25 683:3,17,19,25 686:7 694:21 702:24 703:22 customers 631:3,21,25 632:1,2,4,23 633:19,20 634:5,15 637:5,7,10,19 638:1,2,5,5,23 639:2,3 639:3 640:15 642:2,9 643:13 645:16 647:7 651:18,25 655:7,11 656:11 672:7 674:14 674:21,23 684:11,22 686:17,23 689:17 694:6,12,23 695:6,18 695:21 696:6,9,24 697:4,8,15,25 698:2 702:18,18 703:18 720:19,21,25 722:3,7 cut 717:22 **cutoffs** 716:25 **cycle** 722:19 c'est 693:23 D **D** 619:1 621:2 Dan 618:18 619:9 704:22 705:7

cross-examine 652:12

705:7
data 622:15 689:22
699:23 707:15,15
712:19 713:4 714:15
Dated 729:17
dates 654:16
David 618:20 619:11
623:23 719:24,25
720:5
Dawson 618:3 619:3,8,9
623:17,20 624:6,17,18
624:20,24 625:2,22
631:4,6,10,14 632:13
632:21 633:5 639:16
643:6 652:6,9,10
656:16 663:7,12 683:6

700:6,9 704:22 705:1,6 705:10,11 706:6,8,21 706:23 710:15,19 718:1 day 620:3,4 623:13 689:2 697:16,19 705:24 706:8,9,12,16,19 707:6 707:18 709:1,11 711:14 717:2,22 728:9 729:17 days 708:10,11 day-to-day 713:22 deal 673:1 713:21 **December** 683:5,18 708:7 712:1 decide 726:8 declare 729:14 decline 700:15 decrease 632:3 637:13 637:23 decreases 638:13 646:18 722:23 decreasing 678:16 default 637:21 definitely 701:8 715:7 717:24 degree 695:11 delta 695:12 demand 665:6 677:13 678:14,15,18,24 679:10 682:1 702:24 708:10,11,12 712:7 713:2 demonstrate 640:21 662:4 demonstrating 660:7,20 denser 717:21 **Department** 617:2 618:2 618:6 621:6,13,14 622:11 674:7 Department's 622:2 dependent 703:16 depending 645:13 654:4 670:7 685:18 **Depends** 678:25 depicted 693:6 depreciation 657:1.7 659:4 661:14,21,24 662:7,7 **Deputy** 618:3,9 describe 626:20 628:15 683:9 described 623:3 627:22 689:15,21 712:20 716:11 describing 688:2 description 650:21 designed 689:8 desirable 725:15 detail 625:15 627:11 632:7 634:4 663:6 676:11 detailed 627:3 642:21 643:16 650:8 681:4 detailing 661:13,16

details 670:23 721:13

683:11.23 699:22

determined 658:11 determining 698:12 developed 664:24 developing 665:11 development 658:25 de-emphasizing 647:12 difference 624:12 637:2 695:13 723:24 differences 717:10 different 631:5,7,25 639:18 643:12,12,20 647:4 648:25 653:14 669:6 681:21 689:4 700:18 705:19 707:4 710:21 717:11,11,12 723:11 724:16 differential 715:20 differently 630:25 707:22 710:25 714:11 difficult 689:8 693:11 694:21 695:5 701:19 703:23 dig 663:17 665:8 digester 623:24 **Dilger** 618:12 619:4 623:14 670:16 673:22 705:5 direct 649:1 719:19 directed 692:15 direction 647:11 directly 630:2 643:8 673:10,14 706:15 Director 618:2,3621:3,6 622:23 652:8,10 658:14 670:13 674:3,7 679:16 682:4,11,18 683:1 704:20 705:10 718:3,7,12 719:22 720:4 725:17,24 728:3 **Director's** 617:3 621:10 657:19,24 660:12 668:5 698:19 720:15 dis 720:21 disagree 698:13 discount 726:25 727:7,9 727:11 discounts 692:24 discourage 721:2 discouraged 721:11 discovering 698:5 discretionary 630:15 discuss 671:11 discussed 634:16 667:24 669:9 671:7 677:12 discussing 642:16 659:8 discussion 625:8 630:22 642:22 656:23 659:23 667:4 677:19 694:4 704:21 705:23 707:12 710:11 714:6 723:2 726:16.20 discussions 657:4 659:2 660:24 702:14 disparity 648:16 719:16

725:4 disproportionality disproportionate 720:23 distance 719:14 distinction 719:15 distinguish 677:11 distributed 712:7 distributing 641:3,5 distribution 631:2,15 644:2,11,19 645:2 647:10 709:15 distributions 631:23 643:13 644:21 diversion 638:20 644:16 664:9 685:15,18,18 693:10 701:9 divided 640:12 dividing 653:21 document 622:10 624:1 624:11,13,15 626:9 643:2 719:6 documentation 626:13 627:17 documents 627:2 doing 627:8 643:11 647:20 650:13 686:21 687:4 707:15 715:3,6 726.6 dollar 674:15,20 720:20 726:12 dollars 631:17 637:1 641:20,25 642:10,20 643:25 654:4,8,25 695:23 697:20,21 done 627:11 640:10 643:7 662:6 664:1 689:4 693:21 697:9 701:20 711:9,11,14 715:22 717:9 724:2 door 667:21 691:4 725:21 dots 716:5,5 720:10 double 645:19,25 down 637:17 640:4 653:18 671:5,11,16 672:3,24 688:11,16,17 689:3 691:9,13 692:9 712:10 downgrade 636:23 downside 678:24 679:1 679:5 **downsize** 641:22 downsizing 634:10 635:6 636:23 638:18 684:22 downtown 717:18 Dr 617:8 618:5 dragged 727:4 dramatic 694:1 724:20 draws 664:11 Drew 694:7,9 696:5 **Drew's** 701:25 driven 630:12

683:16 694:17 696:23

697:22 723:17,19

disposed 724:20,23

driver 677:25 drivers 619:17 625:13,20 626:11,12 627:19 628:2 629:11 713:19 driving 637:16 649:7 drop 724:23 drop-off 628:25 dual-stream 628:7 due 628:23 629:24 637:22 681:18 684:3 684:22 690:5 710:6 duly 624:22 682:21 705:8 720.6 during 651:20 656:24 662:21,23 709:11 dwellings 639:25 640:1 dynamic 711:20 dynamically 716:11 E 618:1,1 619:1,14 620:1 621:2.2 each 623:13 626:5 631:19 633:14 635:4 713:18 716:6

earlier 674:13 702:1,14 709:19 717:5 720:13 early 662:21 earn 658:5 663:14 earning 669:22 ease 625:17 643:1 easiest 688:7 easily 723:6 economic 692:25 education 629:15 653:3 673:25 687:22 688:15 effect 654:13 655:6 667:14 711:15 720:23 effective 632:1 effectively 645:14 efficiency 716:6,19,21 717:22 721:7,8 efficient 716:13,14 efficiently 711:17 713:14 713:20 716:8 effort 687:21 689:5 692:5 693:11 700:21 efforts 622:2 690:3,11 693:11,25 713:15 eight 653:12 either 632:2 634:9 641:9 676:7,20 684:13 686:8 687:8,15 692:6 FI 653:11 Electronics 715:9 element 694:5 elements 701:18 707:4 elevator 691:24,25 elevators 688:24 eligible 674:14,25 eliminate 645:16 Embarcadero 618:14 **employee** 729:12 end 630:10 633:12 645:5 650:6 686:17 702:17 715:2 **Ending** 728:14

disposal 621:12 650:19

652:1 664:12,19

enjoying 654:24 727:6,7 enough 659:16 676:14 ensure 622:16 627:5 656:21 entail 629:8 enter 683:12 718:22 entire 621:24 626:9 669:24 671:10 672:8 **entirely** 723:14 entirety 626:3 633:22 entities 668:22 669:6 680:16 entitled 655:15 683:15 entity 658:3,4 **Environment** 618:6 621:14 674:8 equal 622:9 675:4 equally 712:7 equal-size 687:2 equation 671:6 equipment 657:17 696:20 equitable 622:9 equivalents 655:2 error 724:6 errors 724:9 especially 694:22 Esq 618:13 essentially 633:1 650:23 651:19 653:21 687:7 716:8.10 established 658:18 666:22 668:2 726:15 establishing 661:18 et 666:17 697:12 evaluate 704:8 evaluated 643:17 644:15 670:1 evaluates 710:24 evaluating 646:22 707:3 evaluation 623:2 627:5 647:3 707:20 even 643:13 646:2 648:10 651:16 662:23 707:23 717:15 720:12 721:1,11 722:25 evening 671:8 710:3 evenly 647:25 648:8,15 event 724:19 every 647:18,19 691:23 707:6 everybody 682:7 726:20 everyone 621:5,22 622:12 728:10 everything 627:1 663:8 evidence 621:19 623:9 624:5 625:21 631:13 632:20 639:15 643:5,9 649:9 683:21 684:4 690:18,21 706:13,20 710:18 exact 654:16 exactly 630:25 647:1 662:9 678:8 **EXAMINATION** 619:3,4,4 619:5,5,7,8,9 625:1

engagements 668:16

652:16 670:15 674:9 675:9 682:23 700:8 706:22 **examine** 721:25 examined 624:23 682:22 705:9 720:7 example 672:21 691:6 699:20 708:6 716:13 **examples** 687:8,14 689:12 690:12 exceed 685:20 except 707:23 716:11 exception 627:14 635:8 excess 695:2,3 702:13 exclusive 714:22 715:7 exclusively 626:24 715:10 excuse 697:2 executed 719:17 **exhibit** 623:21,25 624:3 624:4,7,15,19 625:15 625:18,19,20 627:11 630:24,24 631:9,12,15 632:7,12,14,16,18,22 637:4 638:2 639:9,12 639:13 642:23 643:1,3 643:4 649:14,16 653:19 657:15 659:22 660:17 662:10 674:19 683:3,6,15,19,22 684:18 690:14,17,19 690:24 691:19 694:4 705:17 706:10,11,17 706:18 708:3,22 709:9 710:16,17,20 711:23 exhibits 625:6 705:12 720:1 722:9 exist 687:14 existing 628:14 685:18 713:15 exists 625:15 **expand** 701:8 expansion 703:4 expense 656:24 662:5,5 676:12 680:4 696:8,11 702:25 704:3 expensed 658:12 expenses 623:3 625:11 625:25 626:7 694:11 694:16 696:14 702:7 expensing 659:4 660:21 experience 626:20 627:7 632:1.4 634:15 647:22 650:9 664:1,14 678:15 experienced 646:13 689:15,19 693:5 experiences 647:20 **experiencing** 645:4,6,12 645:17 experiments 666:17 explain 629:23 633:7 663:5 explained 630:3,6

668:10

explicitly 661:4

explore 670:18,24

explanation 661:13,16

710:21 711:4 720:18 721:10 725:23 explored 671:1 725:14 exploring 716:24 extent 663:6 677:6 695:25 709:23 722:21 723:13 extra 634:22 655:6 extracted 642:24 extraordinarily 692:20 extremely 717:17 e-mail 684:13 face 676:16 facilities 659:3 675:24 676:8,17,22 677:11 678:3,19 679:1,9,24 facility 675:15 fact 641:18 650:2 667:17 672:9 677:25 692:12 721:8 722:15 716:21 factors 626:15,15 629:13 677:12 694:24 facts-and-circumstan... 703:16

factor 698:5 699:4.11 fail 666:17 fair 636:2 648:17 676:14 fairly 649:2 familiar 675:25 family 654:1 674:25 far 633:20 646:16 676:6 688:12 714:1,18 fascinating 685:12 favorite 698:10 fee 646:22 666:21,25 668:1 670:4 678:9 679:25 680:4,8,21 feedback 692:17 feel 693:24 724:25 fees 663:15 680:13 719:13,14 725:20 few 649:12 705:12 707:13 720:25 fewer 638:10,11 641:16 707:7 field 627:8 652:25 653:1 653:6 708:17 710:4 figure 627:21 644:20 figures 643:7 **FILE** 617:25 final 621:16 623:11 642:8 650:14 684:3,6 685:23,25 finance 618:3 653:3 financed 662:5 financial 623:1 627:3 660:8 676:2,6,21 financially 729:13 financing 656:25 657:11 657:21,25 658:13 659:2,8,10,23 660:21 661:7,14,21,25 662:3 find 622:19 647:9 700:23 finding 647:5 701:18

findings 685:12 firm 663:24 first 623:17,18 624:22 632:23 634:25 643:15 656:18 657:16 659:25 688:6 703:3,17 708:5 711:22 718:23 720:6 720:16 724:8 726:4 fiscal 708:4,5 713:10 five 638:3,8 669:14 672:11 718:16 727:2 five-unit 640:7 fixed 631:17 635:13,16 635:18 641:4 642:19 645:23,25 648:13,18 649:6,10,16,24 650:2,4 650:6,23 651:19 652:5 673:10 702:3,8,12,22 723:2,4,8,12 flavor 698:11 floor 618:15 691:23 692:24,24 floors 689:2 focus 621:16 632:22 689:17,19 701:21 712:11 focused 687:21 700:21 712:8 713:2 focusing 656:8 folks 634:18,21 635:25 636:17 637:15,21 642:2 651:12 655:19 662:1,17 671:10,11,21 692:1 714:25 follow 675:13 679:21 705:18 follows 624:23 682:22 705:9 720:7 follow-on 646:5 follow-up 701:23 705:3 forecast 666:5 foregoing 729:6,9,15 foremost 688:6 form 625:16 644:21 684.8 Formalities 720:8 format 671:13 forms 622:20 684:12 formulas 650:4 forth 672:19 729:8 forward 621:22 666:23 698:19,23 718:21,24 found 627:16 646:24 foundational 708:19 four 639:24 640:7,14 644:24 653:10 655:6 669:14 four-hour 713:25 four-unit 672:22 618:8,15 621:7 622:9 626:4 633:24 635:21 650:17,20 665:15

Francisco 617:1,9 618:5 668:21 669:2,21 680:1 680:3,10,22,22 681:6 681:10 685:14,17 710:7 712:8

Francisco's 681:9 frankly 685:12 frequently 691:14 Friday 712:2 friend 725:21 from 621:13 622:25 623:4,14 627:1,20,24 628:7 633:1,4 634:25 636:5,23 637:10,14 638:18 640:12 642:18 642:19 643:8 653:6,13 656:5,21 657:3 660:15 660:18 661:13,17,19 661:20 662:1,17 663:4 664:18 666:15 668:22 671:7 672:3,19 673:7 673:12 674:7 677:11 678:23 680:13 685:16 686:13,23 692:17,24 692:25 696:1 701:9 702:19 708:7 711:5,19 712:1 713:8 716:17 717:16,23 719:5 724:20 front 649:21 697:16 frontier 701:10 front-end 691:7 front-load 703:7 full 689:20 fully 642:23 679:8 700:18 703:8 725:14 fun 726:10 fundamentally 671:2 **funding** 693:14 further 626:18 634:4,24 636:22 640:3 641:21 642:5 648:15 660:7,23 661:18 662:25 663:1,5 670:11 721:9 729:11 Furthermore 723:15 future 660:12 665:24 667:22 669:13 677:13 677:14,18,20 723:24

G G 621:2 gained 662:25 gaining 714:2 gallon 686:7 gallons 631:18,19 655:7 656:1 726:21 727:1,1 gamut 686:22 gap 648:2,5,8 **garage** 691:9 garbage 628:7 665:8 726:5 728:1 Garth 618:16 619:3 623:20,24 624:21 720:14 gate 626:5 650:18 668:20 678:2 683:3,15 gave 657:11 general 663:25 672:16 686:22 722:20 723:10

generally 663:24 664:9

671:20 672:1 675:20

725:23 727:18,20,25

621:21.25 622:12.17

623:11 624:10 627:12

679:10 683:9 708:10 709:14 710:7 714:25 generate 698:1 727:5 generated 724:17 generating 727:3 generation 638:18 generator 648:3,4 generators 634:17 635:19 645:20,22 648:16,19 671:21 721:9 Gentlemen 682:25 geographically 716:4 getting 628:9 635:15 639:18 673:1 684:11 686:19 701:9 721:22 721:23 726:25 727:9 727:11 Gigantic 723:10 Giusti 692:16 give 681:22 685:6 686:20 687:8 690:5 716:13 given 630:18 647:11,22 651:15 667:17 681:5 725:2,2,22 726:13 gives 687:11 **giving** 677:3 go 625:14 626:18 639:8 642:20,25,25 643:14 652:2 655:8 663:4 671:5,11,11,16 672:18 672:22,24 673:8 674:3 683:11 689:1 691:12 692:1 704:20 711:18 711:19,24 721:3,19 726:14 727:17 goal 686:15 725:5 goals 630:19 685:11 721:8 727:8 goes 632:8 654:17,19 679:10 691:8 709:3 713:11 716:22 going 625:5,9,14 629:7 630:22 632:1,3,4,10,22 634:15 636:9 641:25 642:24 649:14 656:1 658:22 659:15,19 666:23 667:6 669:3 671:25 673:9 682:15 688:11 691:18,21 696:6 698:24 699:18 702:16 703:21 705:13 705:15,22 706:1,24 708:20,22 709:23 710:13,14 711:24 712:10 714:4 721:4 727:22 Golden 650:18 668:20 683:3.15 gone 625:17 629:22 688:14,15 693:9 good 621:5 625:3,4 627:17 652:18,19 661:22 662:9 663:10 669:25 670:17 675:11 691:6 705:17 719:2 720:11 728:1

Goodlett 617:8 618:5 gotten 692:16 governments 653:4 graph 644:21 graphically 645:1 gray 705:22 great 642:16 692:5 709:23 724:24,25,25 greater 632:4 638:16 667:7 694:25 703:21 green 630:1,9 691:20 705:22 719:9 722:6 723:16,19,23 grounded 626:17 group 626:23,24 634:15 638:3 grouped 630:25 **grouping** 633:19 groupings 631:7 633:3 groups 694:21 grow 648:5,7 growing 648:15 grows 648:9 growth 628:23 629:1,5 guess 667:6 672:15 693:8 709:25 715:20

н H 619:14 620:1 habits 671:17,18,20 Haley 618:7 619:5 674:6 674:7,10 675:8 half 644:7 652:24 717:22 Hall 617:7 618:4,10 hand 664:12,13 691:25 handing 690:7,12 handle 676:22 691:4 714:16 handled 667:21 687:25 handles 667:1 handling 651:10 668:6 hands 718:14 happening 635:11,21 673:2 happens 709:24 711:15 happy 701:7 hard 706:25

hard 706:25 harder 700:23 having 624:22 637:20 650:9 667:3,20 669:23 670:3 679:6 682:21 705:8 717:11 720:6 726:25 727:10 Hay 668:22,25 675:23

679:25 680:7,12,20 727:14 hazard 693:8

hazardous 651:8 692:5 702:21 head 628:18 health 626:14 hear 621:17 662:22 698:25 700:24 heard 642:17 694:7 702:6,14 hearing 617:3 621:3,9,10

675:13 680:25 699:25 728:7 hearings 621:17 623:12 656:24 662:21 665:14 690:9 heavily 717:19 held 722:5 help 664:8 706:25 713:7 helpful 653:18 661:17 711:21 721:13 724:3 helps 645:25 her 623:17 **HHW** 673:12 723:10 Hi 726:3 high 645:6,11 670:20 684:25 697:14 higher 645:17,18 648:4,4 648:9,18 663:20 664:4 671:24 675:18 677:5 723:20 highest 708:10 highlight 703:17 highlighted 634:13 635:3 highly 692:25 high-level 628:1 715:24 high-risk 675:18,19 Hill 691:22 727:14 him 720:4 hindrance 714:1 historically 689:16 history 658:20,21 hole 665:8 home 656:1 679:6 homes 653:21 654:1,12 hope 700:23 hopefully 673:23 704:17 Hour 620:4 706:16,19 hourly 711:4 hours 651:20,21 696:19

ice 698:11 idea 671:16 686:21 722:20 727:19 728:1 identify 690:5 illustrate 711:2 image 714:5 images 710:21 711:3 immensely 726:5 impact 635:10 645:16 . 646:25 677:21 691:15 696:2 impacted 633:4 717:20 impacts 619:19 632:9,16 632:18 638:9 647:2 694:2 703:9 717:12 720.22 implementation 629:8

696:20 709:18 717:3,5

household 651:8 692:5

housekeeping 624:7

702:21

653:2 654:16 implemented 722:25 important 687:17,20,23 727.23 impressed 724:1 impressive 653:17 improvement 716:15 **iMRF** 659:1 **INC** 617:21 incentive 725:9,12,16 incentivize 721:1 include 624:16 639:2 661:24 666:25 included 624:10,13 627:15 636:4 644:9,12 645:15 650:1 657:8 665:13 675:3 676:13 685:22 686:16 694:16 694:18 695:6 722:2,10 727:16 includes 628:24 632:12 658:24 668:14 including 621:19 624:15 627:2 628:19 645:23 648:11 653:4 658:2 668:1.7 income 648:7 inconsequential 695:14 inconsistent 659:11 661:23 incorporated 680:3 increase 619:17 621:11 625:20 627:20,22

inconie 640.7 inconsequential 695:14 inconsistent 659:11 661:23 incorporated 680:3 increase 619:17 621:11 625:20 627:20,22 628:15 630:4 631:24 632:1,5 634:16 636:21 641:9,20 642:1,10,19 645:4,7,7,17,24 646:12 646:16 647:8,17,20,25 648:6 649:7 669:11 678:1 680:7,21 681:9 686:12,13 687:1 693:23 702:9 703:1 720:15 721:24 increased 665:17 669:22 670:21 673:18 677:23

629:14.15 631:16 635:1 638:14 644:19 645:12 646:18 648:2 678:2.11 720:23 722:23 increasing 641:25 651:16 664:9 678:20 678:24 680:13 687:5 687:10 702:15 723:23 incur 635:13 659:10 Indeed 623:20 independent 716:1 indicate 633:15 640:23 indicated 633:2,20 657:24 674:13 694:10 indicates 633:7,15 643:19 680:17 686:10 726:12 indicating 633:12 640:14

677:15

681:23 702:19.24

increases 628:23 629:13

indication 658:16 674:22 694:8 indicators 658:10 individual 626:7 640:15 inducement 664:5 industry 635:21 647:22 650:13 665:4 inflation 698:5,13,14 699:4,11 information 622:18 626:16 633:1 639:21 640:11 653:18 654:3 662:16 676:20 677:15 701:25 706:1 714:2 724:15 infrastructure 651:5,17 651:21 initial 707:7 708:25 innovation 666:9 681:19 instance 652:3 instead 696:7 714:1 720:20 727:20 institute 690:11 insurance 626:14 integrate 671:12 intend 681:25 718:18 intercompany 658:3 interest 662:4.5 interested 714:9 717:4 729:13 interesting 691:17 711:8 714.18 **internal** 693:11 internally 645:19 interrupt 691:18 introduce 623:25 625:14 626:19 630:23 639:9 642:25 705:12 706:1,5 introduced 623:22 627:10 643:9 661:2,15 705:19 720:1 introducing 632:7 inversion 714:18 invest 664:3 investigate 639:22 investing 677:4 investment 676:7,17,19 700:21 investments 629:18 662:6,8 investor 675:17.25 investors 675:23 invite 623:20 652:11 involved 622:17 653:2 675:22 676:3,15 involves 689:5 isolation 694:21 703:19 704:12 **issuance** 660:14 issued 621:14 issues 699:16 715:20 725:15 item 672:18 696:24 697:2 702:22,23 704:21 707:9 711:9

712:19 713:6 714:20

714:25 716:7

629:12,13 630:11

make 622:1 627:12

661:8 663:3,8 676:25

677:7 690:15 691:7

makes 626:10 659:13

722:22,25

693:18 695:16 706:4

663:23 703:23 710:4

708:1 719:10,15 725:9

items 650:3 652:1 699:20 702:8 720:13 728:9

**J** 618:13 janitorial 689:1 January 683:4,18 **job** 687:5 701:20 John 618:17 619:7 662:18 682:16,18,20 689:21 705:2,6 joined 653:7 Jose 618:4 622:7 624:24 Julia 618:3 623:18 July 708:7 712:1 jump 659:19 jurisdictions 647:24 648:21 650:9 668:18 679:5 just 625:12,17,23 628:11 631:7 632:13 636:5,10 637:16 639:6 643:1.20 643:25 644:24 645:20 646:6 647:17 649:12 650:8,14 651:3,22 655:24 663:17 664:13 670:17 672:15 673:15 674:11,18,20 679:21 680:23 681:16 682:2 683:9 688:9 689:7,25 691:3,18,18 692:13 694:18 695:16 697:5 697:21 699:10 700:23 701:1,4,21 702:12 703:17 704:15 706:4,4 708:6 712:5 715:5,24 716:17 717:9,19,22 720:3,22 721:4 722:12

#### K

justification 660:7,20

723:6 724:17 726:10

728:10

justifies 661:3

Kate 618:14 keep 636:18,25 642:4 645:25 651:17,19 655:5,15 656:2,9,12 659:18 672:4 681:3 keeping 709:9 716:1 726:24 kept 644:7 721:10 kind 625:24 626:19 635:11 638:2 642:20 644:20 646:6,7 647:5 647:16 649:18 650:14 651:25 685:20 686:24 688:1 689:13,19 701:13 703:23 706:24 707:12,23 708:15 709:16 710:11,22 711:2,4,5 714:13,14,17 714:20 715:13,18 716:23 know 626:15 630:10,11

645:9 647:15 649:25

654:15 656:23 658:24 663:15,22 664:11 665:13 666:6 671:18 671:18 675:21 676:7 676:25 677:6 680:14 680:16 686:23 689:12 692:7,16,23 693:3 695:11 696:12 697:10 697:14 698:8,15 701:20 703:15,22 705:2 706:25 707:25 710:16 711:23 714:12 715:25 725:2 726:13 726:15,18 727:25 knowing 693:24 knowledge 660:19 661:15 663:25 669:5 known 726:20

la 693:23

labor 696:20 723:4,5 lack 667:22 laid 629:16 658:14 landfill 628:5 630:3,5 664:12,19,23 665:1,21 Landfilling 665:8 landfills 677:11 678:15 large 627:22 642:15 657:5 658:25 687:12 687:15 693:9 702:2,12 702:24 703:6 722:23 722:23 largely 635:19 665:2 702:22 716:12 larger 634:15 635:19 637:8 638:14 640:4 641:12 667:8,15 671:20 688:12 689:23 704:10,18 largest 636:8 639:24 647:7 685:13,17 686:11 688:20 694:1 last 623:12 624:10 627:11 628:20 642:17 643:9 660:3 694:7 700:13 711:3 727:2 728:5 lastly 696:23 late 710:3 later 673:23 708:20 724:6 later-shifted 709:17 laws 729:15 layer 695:9 layering 694:18

leader 635:22

725:7

learn 705:12

leased 658:22

leading 663:1 707:13

leads 712:14 716:23

lease 656:18,20,25 657:4

657:11,20,24 658:12

660:21 661:7,14,21,25

658:16,19 659:2,23

leases 658:7 660:9 leasing 658:3,4,18,21 660:11 662:2,4 least 625:23 642:20 644:15 699:23 707:6 leave 637:25 659:14 704:25 705:2,6 left 633:4,20 640:2 left-hand 631:22 legislative 678:21 less 634:7 635:7,7 637:6 640:17,18,19 641:1,20 656:11 693:19 703:1 707:24 714:19 716:13 716:13 721:22,23 let 621:3,7 622:24 645:9 653:16 655:24 656:14 663:11 690:23 710:15 718:23 letter 660:15 719:5 **letting** 671:5 let's 627:19 630:21 637:25 644:18 649:5 663:17 664:13 690:24 696:5 698:4 705:13 706:4 level 640:25 645:4 651:5 667:13.14 668:9 670:19 675:5 676:10 687:11 703:19 710:2 713:25 725:16,22 levels 636:19 637:20 651:16,16 689:2 lieu 715:1 lifts 721:6 light 708:2 like 621:25 623:16,20,25 626:14 628:4,24 630:24 631:20 632:6 639:5,8 642:20,25 643:14 644:21 645:20 647:16,19 649:12,13 650:8 651:8 652:9,11 652:12,15 653:4 654:10 660:5 664:8 670:10 671:9,17 679:17 682:12 683:2 689:10.21 699:21 700:10,17,23 702:21 703:1,17 704:16,22,24 706:2 707:18 709:7 710:6,11 711:3,5 713:6 713:11 714:24 716:25 716:25 718:4,10,19 723:11 724:23 726:19 728:10 liked 628:17 665:7 likely 629:4 697:17 702:25 723:25 limit 720:22 **limited** 630:17 limits 702:20 710:4 line 626:5 646:17 650:3,7 lines 626:7 705:20 linked 628:4 629:9 list 728:9 lists 719:11

literally 691:14 little 627:19 628:16 631:22 632:6,10 639:5 644:18,22,23 647:21 647:23 649:5,6 663:18 664:14 675:3 687:5 688:25 698:10 700:18 700:24 712:16 713:7 714:14 717:8 live 686:25 **LLP** 618:14 loader 697:17 local 653:4 678:21 located 703:11 locations 697:10 logical 626:9 627:6 long 652:22 659:16 689:9 699:5 709:8,25 717:15 726:13 longer 637:11 look 627:21 630:8 639:4 639:5,19 643:11 644:21 649:18 653:19 655:21 659:21 660:3 672:23 675:2 678:25 694:21 696:7 703:18 707:17,18,22 708:7 709:7 710:5 711:2.5 712:21 715:25 716:25 716:25 717:3,25 719:11 723:12 looked 639:23 643:24 644:3,6,8,10,20 645:1 646:11 650:1 709:6 looking 626:7 630:19 635:23 639:6 657:14 672:18.19 674:18 678:5 689:22,22 695:5 707:11,25 708:21 710:12,24 712:6 713:9 714:3,9 716:3 looks 660:5 671:17 679:17 706:2 713:11 714:24 724:25 lose 666:18 loss 676:16 684:21 700:12 727:22 lost 667:9 lot 625:6 642:17 689:5 691:3 714:8 717:18 721:13 723:3,4 727:3,5 lots 705:20,20 low 636:1 lower 638:15 648:3,3,9 648:16,17 671:25 708:11 lowest 708:12 723:18 low-waste 645:20,22

М

689:17 692:18 693:3

702:11 703:3,17 708:1

made 657:20 688:5

main 641:12 646:9

639:11,13

majority 723:8

major 619:20 626:11

making 621:19 626:8 635:23 667:10 689:6 693:10 701:4 727:7 manage 636:24 managed 650:10 692:14 Management 624:2 manager 618:7 653:11 689:10 691:10 managers 692:17,21 693:15 managing 669:19 mandatory 637:10,17 672:3 Manu 618:9 632:13 many 635:22 641:16 645:3 647:24 648:21 666:3 688:18 693:5 717:24 map 711:22 712:18,22 maps 711:1 714:8 March 624:1 margin 663:20 667:8,15 mark 618:17 619:7,9 631:8 632:13 682:16 682:18,20 700:19 704:23 710:16 marked 639:12 660:17 market 618:7 658:8 660:9 714:23 marketplace 629:25 658:10 **marking** 691:19 match 722:24 matching 709:16 material 630:5 636:5,24 649:11 651:3 689:3 691:12 692:11,12 708:13 714:19 716:18 718:19 720:9 721:5 materials 629:22 650:25 668:6 673:5 679:7 692:5 699:21 705:14 707:5,9711:11,13,22 712:25 713:5 717:6 math 626:9 674:16 697:14 715:3 mathematical 626:8 matter 624:6 661:19 698:9.11 matters 626:25 **Mattress** 715:9 mature 664:19 665:12 MAXIMILLIAN 617:24 729:4,21 maximized 679:8 may 623:11,13 651:10

654:18 662:16 671:4

696:18 698:25 700:22

677:4 683:1 692:15

705:10 712:15 728:7
729:17 <b>maybe</b> 662:22 681:15
682:6 690:4 700:17
714:9 717:8,10 <b>MEA</b> 715:9
mean 627:24 669:2 671:20 681:15 694:15
699:5 704:11 712:4,12
725:11 meaningful 725:12
means 654:22 667:14
686:6 meant 628:1
measuring 698:13
mechanism 662:1 meet 685:11
meeting 643:9 728:11 member 623:22
members 618:19 705:18
memo 624:2 Memorandum 619:16
624:4
mention 659:25 727:24 mentioned 635:12 672:2
690:7 693:2 703:3 716:25
met 658:13
method 688:7 692:11 699:9
methodology 650:2
657:6 671:12 698:15 698:22 699:3,8,11,12
metric 704:18 Michael 618:13
microphone 621:23
middle 644:14 717:21 midpoints 643:24
might 639:5 666:6
670:10 675:2 677:21 695:25 708:1 710:5
711:7,21 714:5,16 715:5,5 717:7,12,17
720:22 727:19
migrate 636:14 migration 637:10,17
685:1,2,4,5,9,11,19,25
686:6,13,18 689:16 693:19,22 700:12
722:11 mind 690:23 722:23
minimal 651:9
<b>minimum</b> 671:23 697:20 721:15,18,22,23 722:4
722:5,6
minute 698:24 706:1 minutes 707:13 718:16
Mission 712:11
mitigate 635:1 673:18 717:12
mix 633:6 641:15 646:13 646:16 654:4
mixes 619:20 633:3
638:10,10 639:11,14 639:23,24,25 640:3,7
646:19 721:14
Mm-hmm 714:7

```
model 626:6 633:2
  670:22 697:6
modeling 724:2
models 628:17
moderate 665:3
modify 648:24
Mohammed 618:2 621:5
moment 683:14 724:5
Monday 712:1
Mondays 708:9
Monday-through-Frida...
  712:3
money 666:18 667:9
month 637:1 641:21,25
  642:10,17 654:5
monthly 619:18 631:12
  634:1,2 639:7 640:11
  640:14,21,22 655:19
months 708:7
month-to-month 651:11
  658:17
more 630:14,21 631:1
  632:7,10 634:7 636:2
  638:9,14 639:7 640:25
  642:23 643:13 644:18
  645:8.23 647:16
  648:19,19 649:5,7,12
  656:15 661:3.10
  664:19,19,24,24
  665:10,12,24 668:10
  673:10,14 687:5
  691:11,13,17,17
  693:19 694:8 695:8
  699:25 700:18,24
  701:8,11,19 702:18
  703:20 709:11,14
  711:20 712:16 713:2
  713:13,20 714:19
  716:18,22 717:8
  722:18,20,21
more-than-one-unit
  632:11
morning 621:5 625:3,4
  652:18,19 670:17
  675:11 709:3 719:2,3
  719:18
most 641:14 646:6
  648:10 649:1 687:23
  688:7,23,23 692:1,12
  694:1 698:12 715:17
motivate 664:2
motivated 687:19 692:25
move 630:16.21 635:12
  638:1 639:8 642:3
  645:23 672:15 692:23
  698:18 705:23 708:22
  710:11 714:4 718:13
moved 637:12
moves 631:25 686:8
moving 623:24 655:23
  656:5 685:16 692:11
  698:23 720:13 723:17
much 637:16 644:1
  646:24 672:11 676:25
  685:10 690:1 691:11
  703:12 704:6 711:12
  712:3 716:12 723:22
```

```
723:23 725:7 726:1
  728:13
multiple 633:16 671:22
multitude 697:3
multi-unit 636:11 639:18
  640:1,14 641:7,11
  642:14 673:3,17
  721:21
multi-units 672:10,14,15
  673:8
municipal 626:24
municipalities 679:4
must 704:2
muster 657:25
myself 627:9
N 618:1 619:1 621:2
name 718:21 719:2
  726:3
namely 658:25 664:23
  694:5 699:14
name's 675:11
narrative 650:16
natural 628:23 629:1
nature 696:13
near 665:24 691:25
nearly 646:10 712:5
necessarily 673:2 721:3
need 651:5 652:2 659:10
  660:7,20 675:2 685:15
  689:8 692:13 696:1
  697:10 699:1 701:11
  701:21 703:9 704:17
  714:21 718:18 720:2
needed 647:18 662:3
needing 716:23
needs 660:23 661:10
  707:25 717:8 724:10
negotiate 669:5 681:2
```

negotiations 727:18 Negron 618:18 619:9 704:22 705:7 706:24 708:15,18 713:6,9 new 625:15 628:5,6 629:8,13,17 630:12 631:6 632:14 639:9 640:21,22 655:4,19 659:1 666:11 673:1 693:16 694:5 695:17 696:6 701:25 702:18 newer 664:25 691:2 659:16 680:8 681:4 707:13 708:22 711:14 717:1 719:23 722:18 non-application 658:2 northeast 710:25 712:9 714:10,17,22 715:11

noted 657:19 700:10 notes 655:21 729:10 notice 634:13 637:4 712:5 **noting** 690:6 notwithstanding 721:8 number 619:20 633:8,9 633:25 638:11,16 639:11,14 640:9,13 643:16 645:6,11,11 646:7 647:7 653:14 654:13,25 672:7 675:3 675:5 689:4 694:5 701:25 704:10,18 721:19 numbers 685:24 710:10 722:12 724:11,17,25 Nuru 618:2 621:3,5 622:23 652:8,10 670:13 674:3,7 679:16 682:4,11,15,18 683:1

704:20 705:10 718:3,7

718:12 719:22 720:4

725:17,24 728:3 0 O 621:2 obvious 722:18 obviously 691:8 692:3 occasion 721:15 occur 677:18 681:8 occurred 665:16 677:18 occurring 666:10 Off 682:9 offer 623:6 652:9 683:2 offered 637:12 638:24 664:4 offering 697:17 **OFFICE** 618:9 officially 683:12 728:6 offset 698:2 off-hours 710:5 often 664:8 671:21 okay 621:3 627:10 628:13 629:7,18 630:21 631:11.15 637:25 638:4 639:4 642:12,16 646:5 649:5 650:14 652:6,8 655:18 655:24 663:25 672:2 672:17 673:22 674:3 675:2,8 678:8,13 679:16 682:4,6,17,18 684:19 685:5 698:24 699:4 701:23 704:11 704:20 706:24 707:2,3 708:19 709:5 713:4 718:1,3,7,9,13,16 old 624:16 631:6 older 691:3 one 627:3 631:7 632:23 633:14 634:13 639:12 644:8 647:2 648:2 650:14 655:13 661:23 663:11 664:12 667:21

669:6,8 674:11 676:20

677:12,13 678:14

680:16 681:21 686:7 687:13,15,18 688:4 689:20 691:18 694:24 697:16,19 700:1,25 702:11 705:16,20 706:5 707:20 708:24 709:16 713:18 714:8 721:6 723:5 ones 631:21 641:17 666:6 727:3 one's 691:17 one-unit 632:9 633:12 637:25 one-units 638:5 online 695:18 704:2 only 624:12 648:15 657:6 673:17 674:24 685:15 691:6,14 696:8 698:18 700:14 706:16 712:2 726:18 open 651:19 659:18 662:12 667:21 opened 725:21 operate 651:3 operates 650:17 operating 665:17 675:15 677:5 681:22 operation 667:25 668:11 669:11 681:19 717:3,5 717:10 operational 627:2 664:23 713:8 714:13 operationally 715:1,22 operations 650:10,11 653:2,8,10 664:24 666:8 667:2,19 677:6,7 677:17 678:11 681:9 opinion 635:20 657:12 661:4 663:24 668:8,15 695:9 698:16 opportunities 715:4 opportunity 623:6,9 642:3 652:12 669:12 688:20 689:23,23 701:10,15 704:8 713:13 opposed 654:2,12 659:4 opt 656:3,11 optimal 647:11 optimizing 634:8 **option** 636:14 optional 622:14 options 641:21 644:14 opt-in 656:5 orange 630:9 order 621:4 622:24 639:22 640:13 659:9 668:2 685:11 686:15 703:8 711:23 720:16 727:15 orders 620:3,4,5 706:7 706:12,19 710:18,23 713:4 Ordinance 640:20 organic 629:22

organics 641:19,23

668:25 671:23 676:23

705:12

nice 712:25

notable 647:3

next 628:13 629:7

699:19 700:1,2,5

725:25 727:22

night 710:9 717:18

note 638:17 657:23

700:19 724:14

```
677:11.17 679:3
  688:19 725:20
organized 652:15
original 667:7
originally 657:8 712:17
other 622:18 625:7
  628:12 629:12,15
  633:14 634:11 635:17
  635:22 636:3,6,8 638:1
  641:8,15 642:13,15
  644:3,6 645:1 649:4
  650:8,8 651:6 657:10
  657:17 658:4 659:12
  663:11 664:13 667:12
  667:19 668:4,17
  671:22,23 673:6,12,15
  678:14 679:16 680:16
  681:1,13 682:1,4
  687:11 688:22 689:1
  691:16 692:4 694:4
  697:22 699:16 711:1.4
  712:12,13 713:3
  715:13,14 716:6 718:9
  721:15 725:8 727:24
  728:4
others 651:9 667:16
out 624:8,9,16 629:16
  636:7 638:9 641:8
  642:15 646:17 650:7
  655:5 656:3,11 658:14
  673:5,16 679:2 688:14
  690:7,12 692:1 700:13
  705:17 708:16 709:9
  711:18 724:6 726:22
  727:5
outcome 644:24
outcomes 643:12
outline 622:24
outlined 633:5 650:5
outreach 629:14 653:3
  673:25 687:10,22
  688:1 689:5 690:11
  693:15 701:13
outside 668:6 675:12
over 628:11,20 631:21
  632:8 641:5 642:17
  651:14 655:11 665:11
  685:19.19.21 686:3.4
  686:13 689:16,24,25
  694:6 702:24 722:25
  725:4
overall 626:22 627:5,13
  627:17 629:2 635:7.12
  635:16,24 636:9,20
  637:23 638:7,10 640:4
  640:8,18,19 641:13
  642:14 644:2,10
  646:11,15,20,20
  651:10 655:23 656:4
  680:4 723:18
overhead 690:24 696:21
  697:23
overlaid 712:24
overlap 712:25
overlay 712:18,22
overview 625:12 628:1
own 650:9 668:7 702:1
```

owner 689:10 701:15 o'clock 709:20 711:18 717:17 728:8 O.R 663:14 667:14 669:22,23 670:3 725:22

Р **P** 618:1,1 621:2 page 619:2,15 620:2 639:12 643:15 653:20 657:15 659:25 662:10 662:13,14 684:17 694:3 698:4 724:7 pages 617:14 642:24 643:2 659:19 paid 668:7 680:22 paper 624:9 705:20 paragraph 660:4 parameters 681:5 pardon 656:10 parking 688:18 691:9 part 626:2 630:1 637:21 643:10,17 666:22 671:6 680:4 684:1 693:14 712:9,13 714:10 participating 622:12 728:11 participation 622:4,13

622:15 628:14,24,25 651:8,15,16 673:11 particular 624:9 632:9 636:16 648:22 649:15 653:20 660:3 662:18 664:17 684:2,20 698:9 700:19 708:11 712:9 722:8,13 724:19 particularly 685:10 parties 729:12 partners 681:3

parts 711:1 712:6,9 713:2 pass 657:25 667:24 680:23 681:11 724:8 727:21

passed 624:9 680:24 past 661:24 665:3 684:2 689:16 701:16 pay 636:2.4 637:6 648:13

651:21 656:11 673:14 680:9 722:21 paying 634:1,2 648:17

673:3,6 pays 629:21 penalty 729:14 people 648:13 666:10

671:15 698:25 701:4 717:24 718:14 726:19 727:1,4 per 631:17 639:7 640:14

640:24 641:21 654:5 685:21 686:1 701:1,5 718:16 721:18

percent 646:12 689:20 689:20

percentage 619:18

631:12 633:25 647:18 684:24 685:1 perfect 704:19 perform 625:25 704:12 performed 642:21 perhaps 631:8 704:8 720:17 721:9 724:5 725:23 period 623:12 629:4

666:14 689:24 694:6 699:25 700:13 709:12 718:14 725:4 periodically 689:1 perjury 729:14 person 662:18 718:16 personally 652:21,23 personnel 688:2 perspective 627:24 671:8 693:1 711:6 713:8

pervasive 713:1 per-dwelling-unit 643:23 per-tip 668:1 670:4 per-ton 630:5 677:22 678:9,10

per-unit 639:20 640:15 640:17 641:2 644:13 645:24 646:22.22 673:9

photo 691:16,19 photograph 619:23,24 690:17.20 photographs 690:13

photos 690:2 693:7 pick 713:21 727:10 728:8 picked 717:16 pickups 697:16 721:6

picture 692:7 pie 627:20 678:7 piece 649:6 678:7 pieces 657:17 705:20 pilferer 726:8

Pilpel 618:20 619:11 623:23 719:24,25,25 720:5,8 725:19 726:1

pink 713:21 716:5 pinkish 712:22

pioneers 654:22 656:9 place 617:8 618:5 622:20 639:24 640:8 671:3 727:18 729:7

placed 673:16 688:23 692:2 718:19 places 713:3 717:11

plan 651:4 714:13 planning 627:2 728:1 play 643:22 646:15 playing 645:15

please 621:3 622:5.20 623:18 626:19 631:10 643:18 698:7 699:1 718:21 719:23 725:25

point 635:22 650:14 657:7 661:17 665:4 666:3 685:7 696:7

700:13 702:11 703:17 709:9 725:8

pointed 624:8 655:5 724:6 points 707:21 policy 630:13,18 647:11

656:22 668:15 719:12 719:17,17 popular 692:22

population 686:21 Porter 618:14,17 619:7 662:18,20 663:4 682:16,20 683:9,24 684:10 690:6 693:2,18 699:17 700:25 702:5 704:24 705:6

Porter's 701:24 portion 644:8 649:9,23 702:18

position 634:5 668:15 679:12

positive 692:20 possibility 669:20 possible 647:15 665:23 666:2 681:22 717:5

potential 636:22 664:4 702:10 710:24 717:3 potentially 634:10 637:1

638:19 641:22 661:23 681:2 700:22 710:22 712:10,16 714:10

practical 698:11 practice 661:24

Pradhan 618:9 624:1,14 624:19 625:19 631:4,8 631:11 632:15 639:10 643:2 690:13,16 706:4 706:9,14 710:15

preferable 698:15 premise 667:7 prepared 626:6 632:25

649:17 684:3 701:12 present 683:13 718:18 presentation 720:10

724:10 presented 626:6 677:15 724:9

Presently 716:6 pretty 630:6 646:24 662:9 710:2 711:12 721:25

previously 630:3 669:9 682:21 690:8 705:8 pre-planned 711:20

pre-route 716:22 pre-routed 716:7,16,19 price 653:25 655:11 672:20

prices 655:18 679:12 primarily 637:8,22 687:15 703:5

primary 639:23 641:17 688:5 principal 662:8

prior 623:12 635:16 641:10 644:25 658:19 668:5 700:13

private 653:8 probability 677:16 probably 631:1 673:22 687:23 688:20 690:6 694:20 699:23 703:7 724:17 728:1 procedure 681:1 proceed 622:5 623:18

705:10 proceeded 633:9,10 proceeding 675:23 676:4,16 678:1

proceedings 669:3 728:11 729:6 process 622:18 630:6

669:13 679:3 684:2 687:20 processed 650:25

processing 629:22 649:10 650:19 651:3 659:1 666:10,16,17 668:21 676:23 679:4 694:17 696:22 723:16 723:19.21 procurements 627:1

produce 636:25 651:12 produced 632:8 643:8 producers 671:24 **produces** 679:11 producing 635:7 product 679:11 production 727:25 productivity 716:15 products 679:11

649:19 profit 658:3,5 660:10 663:20 664:4 666:25 667:8,10,12,15,21,25 668:7,9,11,13,14 670:3 670:4 675:18 676:25

professional 626:20

677:6 program 618:7 622:10 628:25,25 629:12 635:18 651:7 654:11 656:4 690:9 705:14 707:5.10 712:20 713:18 715:1,14 716:1 716:19,21 719:4

725:11 programatic 628:6 629:15 678:21 programs 628:14 629:8 630:12 636:3,4 650:16 650:23 651:4,18,24 664:10 673:12,12,13 690:11 702:23 706:3 707:4 708:21 709:1 710:12,22 711:5,9 712:15 713:13,25 715:21 716:14 723:11 725:10

project 626:3,22 658:21 projected 695:17 722:15 722:16 projection 694:5,9

695:19 projections 658:17 projects 658:25 660:22 promptly 682:8 properly 721:16 properties 693:3,4 property 689:9,10 691:10 692:17,21 701:15 proportion 702:2 proportionate 720:22 proposal 623:5 634:20 634:24 640:23 644:4 646:17 670:2,7 676:13 687:25 692:22 696:4 707:8 proposed 617:3 619:17 623:2 625:20 628:6,19 629:10 631:16 634:25 637:6 638:22 643:24 645:20 646:3,12 647:8 655:4 661:6 671:9 674:20 685:1 699:9 708:25 721:18,24 724:7,10 proposing 692:23 provide 622:15 623:9 660:6 688:2 704:7 provided 658:15 660:20 660:23 670:23 695:5 709:2.2 provides 667:18 providing 662:2 673:15 public 617:2 618:2,19 619:10,11,11,16 621:6 621:13,19 622:1,3,9,13 623:13,17,23 624:4 642:18 652:2 661:3,8 661:11 715:10 718:14 718:17 719:1,24 726:2 728:6 Pujol 618:4 622:5,7,7,23 pull 643:7 691:4 purple 709:16 purposes 622:19 644:15 **pushed** 666:4 put 624:25 665:8,9 673:5 673:5 701:21,21

putting 688:16 690:23

711:24

qualify 696:24 quantified 696:13 697:7 quarter 722:17 quarterly 722:11,14 question 647:16 657:1 659:23 660:18 661:22 667:6 669:25 672:6,13 673:23 675:17,21 683:25 692:15 695:8 701:19,23 703:24 720:14,24 725:1,5,22 questions 623:16 649:13 652:7 653:16 656:14 656:15 663:6,7 670:17 675:14 679:18,22 700:7 705:4 quite 642:15 678:4 707:20 712:8

R R 618:1 621:2 633:10 raise 679:12 range 638:8 643:25 645:9,13 654:10,24 686:23 687:6 723:17 723:24 ranging 685:16 rate 617:4 619:19,21 622:17 623:2,5 625:9 625:12 626:4,17 627:5 628:2,6,19,20,21 629:21 630:5,20,22 631:2,16,24 632:3,16 632:18 633:1,4 634:1,2 634:5,8,16,22 635:1,6 635:12,17,17 636:17 636:21 637:6,13,15,16 637:21 638:13,14,18 638:23,25 639:7,19 640:11,14,22,22,23 641:20 642:1,5 643:4 643:10,12,17,23 644:4 644:16,19 645:4,7,7,12 645:15,20,25 646:12 647:8,18,25 648:3,3,4 648:5,10,11,14,23 649:7 650:8 653:3 657:4 660:12 661:25 665:14 666:13,15,22 667:14 669:13,15 670:18,22 671:9,13,13 671:25,25 673:1 675:3 675:13,22 676:13,16 677:19 678:1 679:24 680:25,25 681:4 684:2 684:3 685:20,22 696:2 697:6 700:13 701:14 702:4 707:8 713:10,11 720:15 722:2,16,19 723:2 724:21 726:11 727:16

ratepayers 618:11 648:17 671:4 680:23 696:2 726:11 727:21 rates 617:3 619:18 621:12 631:13 634:3 636:4 639:4 642:10,17 644:12,14,17 648:9,9 648:13,19 652:5 655:4 655:19.22 656:4.18.20 658:8,16,19,22 660:10 669:5 671:5,15 673:14 678:2 685:16 722:24

ratepayer 618:12 623:8

671:16 705:3 718:7

623:14 642:18 670:14

rate-setting 626:21 rather 689:20 694:9 695:18 699:19 709:25 721:6 722:18 ratio 644:4,6,7,9675:15 677:5

683:20 684:25 690:18 690:20 703:25 704:6 rationale 657:8,10 706:15 707:10,16 ratios 644:6,10 708:24 712:24 719:5 read 706:25

real 650:12 712:14 realigning 722:24 realistic 725:1,7,13,15 realistically 635:5 651:6 really 625:6,9 626:15 628:10 629:9 630:15 630:16 637:13 644:7 645:19 647:10 657:5 659:15 661:15 668:1,8 668:12 687:16,18,24 688:4,10 689:14,18,19 689:24 691:6,14 692:4 693:13 697:12 700:14 700:17 701:22 703:8 709:20 712:11,21 717:19 721:21 722:1 723:13 725:6 726:21 727:6,9 728:2 real-time 713:22 rear-loader 715:8 reason 635:3 669:7

673:8 676:18 reasonable 626:10 627:6 650:22 661:1 694:8 698:14 704:10,18 reasonableness 656:21 661:18

reasonably-consistent 651:15 reasons 668:9 684:10 702:7 705:16 rebuttal 621:18 recalling 656:3 receive 634:21,22,24 636:17 640:16 654:2

673:7 received 640:12 673:13 676:21 692:19 710:24 712:1 713:5 719:5

receives 707:16 receiving 635:2 recent 658:20,21 677:24 678:16

receptacles 719:8,9,9,21 recognize 668:19 Recology 619:22,23,24 623:4,7 626:1,4,12 628:6.19 629:10.21 630:4 633:24 634:25 640:12 647:4 649:8,15 650:16.20 652:11 656:21 657:4,10 658:15 659:8 660:6.15 660:19,23 661:1 662:3 662:17 663:14 664:11 666:18,20 667:1 668:20 669:1,5,10,17 669:21 670:9,23 671:14 675:7,22 676:3 676:8,15,22 677:15 678:12 679:25 680:3 680:10,12,12,20,20,21 680:22 681:4,6,9,10

719:19 727:9.17 Recology's 621:11 623:3 626:3 627:16 630:19 631:16 633:1 635:11 639:17 640:23 648:14 650:11 658:3 660:11 661:17.24 663:16 682:12 684:20 695:18 712:19 719:12 724:7 recommend 661:12 recommendation 621:18 621:20 663:13 698:20 recommendations 621:16 627:13,15 682:13 recommended 660:11

668:17 694:11 699:2 recommends 684:25 reconfigure 691:10 719:19 record 621:7 661:3.9.11 682:9,10 683:12 704:7 718:19,22 recover 659:9 662:4 recovered 723:7

recovering 662:8 recyclable 637:1 692:13 recyclables 631:19 636:25 688:12,16 692:4 696:23 726:9 recycle 686:20 recycling 619:23,24

626:25 628:8,8 629:2 633:10 634:6,8 636:15 637:3,24 641:19,23 642:4,5 644:5 653:12 656:6 671:23 683:16 686:8,12 687:10 688:19 690:17,19,25 691:5 697:2 702:22 707:9 711:9 712:20,21 713:6 714:20,25 716:7

723:22 recycling/32 633:13 redemption 726:9 reduce 634:5,8 635:6 638:17 642:5 673:18 673:20.24 696:1 reduced 636:24 654:23 669:23

reduces 721:7 reducing 634:6 638:20 641:22 642:5.6 reduction 634:11 725:3 refer 649:14 reference 625:17 references 668:5 referred 660:16 reflect 635:11,13 645:25 reflected 642:22 652:4 reflecting 647:13 678:1 reflection 701:24 710:23

reflects 645:19 678:8,10 reframe 665:1 reframing 665:7 refuse 617:3,4 621:12

622:17 623:2 626:21 regard 657:16 664:23 regarding 672:13 684:21 727.12 regardless 646:21 649:11 670:19 regards 625:11 regional 679:2 regularly 684:8 720:3 regulations 677:21 regulatory 629:24

664:18 665:6,16,24 666:3,4,16 676:18 677:13,14,16,23 678:11 681:17,21 relate 676:12

related 623:1 629:20 630:2 673:11 690:10 703:5 705:14 723:5,9 relates 701:24

relationships 664:7 676:1 680:15 relative 695:14 729:11 relatively 645:4 678:17 695:14 701:3 708:14 709:22

remain 713:1 remainder 708:13 remained 662:23 remaining 678:17 715:12 remember 659:15 remind 621:22

**Removal** 712:20 repeatedly 708:9 rephrase 645:9 report 619:22 621:14,15

623:4,10 624:8,12,20 625:16 627:15 642:23 643:8,16 644:16 646:6 649:18,20 650:5 656:17,17 657:2,15,19 657:24 659:18,21,22 660:1,12,14,16 662:10 663:17 682:14 683:4 683:17,20 684:1,2,8,14 684:18 685:8 694:3,10 695:17,22 698:4,19 699:3 700:11 720:15

722:16 723:14 724:5,7 reported 617:24 722:14 729:7

reporter 718:22 729:5 **RÉPORTERS** 617:21 REPORTER'S 729:1 reporting 684:1 722:10 reports 668:5 722:11 representation 643:21 represented 647:5 678:12

represents 633:22 720:10

reproduce 647:6 repurposed 691:5 request 707:16 711:6 719:18

requested 623:22 requests 626:13 660:6 same 636:17 637:14

638:13 640:15 647:20

707:18 10 712:12 13
707:18,19 712:12,13 712:23 714:20
require 659:7 696:17
703:4,14
required 622:14 640:19
685:10 696:14 698:2 requirement 684:1
requirements 678:22
721:16 722:10
requires 622:8 700:21
reserve 623:12
residence 672:24 residences 639:19
672:25
residential 621:11 631:2
631:20 633:23 636:10
636:11 638:5 674:14
696:2 721:1,14,18 residents 622:16 688:15
688:25 689:9 692:8
722:5 726:18,22
resource 651:23
respect 664:9 669:9
677:14 678:13,25
respectively 633:22 responded 666:7
response 623:10 665:5
677:23 678:20 716:24
responsibility 673:24
responsible 651:25
653:11 responsive 626:12
rest 700:4 714:11,24
restrain 680:12
restrained 680:18
restriction 668:3
resubmit 649:14 result 627:12 630:4
634:11 635:25 650:6
685:19 696:18 721:4
resulting 686:17
results 688:4 721:5
724:23 return 675:18
revenue 626:11 676:12
684:21 694:11 695:12
695:23 696:1,1,8 697:5
698:1,1 700:12,16
703:1 722:1 revenues 623:3 625:11
625:25 626:8
reversal 692:10
review 625:25 638:2
642:21 643:1,10 657:20 658:11 660:18
661:19 664:7 675:13
675:23 681:4
reviewed 626:3,5 656:20
675:6 676:10,11
reviews 627:2,3 650:8
653:3 revised 675:4 724:10
rewards 667:23
RE-EXAMINATION 619:6
679:19
right 630:15,21 634:19
635:10 636:21 641:3

```
644:6 645:7 646:2.24
  647:10 651:24 655:12
  655:16 656:8 659:20
  667:3,5 674:15 676:21
  678:13 682:25 686:15
  691:21,24 692:2
  694:12 696:5 704:14
  707:4,23 710:1 711:9
  712:19 713:17 714:3
  718:5,13
Rights 622:3,8
right-hand 655:22
rigorous 697:9
rise 681:22
rising 702:7 709:20
risk 666:19 667:9 668:11
  668:12 669:17,22
  676:16 677:5,8 678:14
  679:2,6 681:6,12,17,18
  725:7,22 727:12,13,16
  727:20,23
risks 663:21 667:7,19
Road 668:22,25 675:23
  679:25 680:7,12,20
  727:14
Robert 618:7 674:7
  724:25
robust 697:13 701:16
  703:4,10
role 626:22 627:4
rolled 704:3
rolling 658:18,19 699:9
  699:14,15
room 617:8 618:4,10
  728:8,8
rooms 695:1 703:20
Rosie 618:12 623:14
rotated 691:13
roughly 638:12 697:19
  716:15
round 649:24
route 696:19,19 697:17
  697:19 713:14 716:8
routed 711:14,14,17
  716:11 717:1
routes 629:11 697:19
  702:16 703:5,11
  715:25
routing 711:20 714:2
row 644:13 708:5 709:18
rows 634:14 640:4
RSF 680:15
RSS/RGG 674:19
running 679:2
runs 686:22,24 707:5
R3 625:11,24 626:23
  632:8 642:21 643:8,17
  652:21,23 653:7
  656:17 659:12 663:14
  668:16 698:8 721:12
  722:8 724:2,14,16
R3's 624:20 625:16
```

**S** 618:1 619:14 620:1

621:2

salary 626:15

```
648:6,7 661:9 689:13
  699:12 710:2 717:15
  721:5 728:8
San 617:1,9618:5,8,15
  621:7 622:9 626:4
  633:24 635:21 650:17
  650:20 665:15 668:21
  669:2,21 680:1,3,10,22
  680:22 681:6,9,10
  685:14,17 710:7 712:8
satisfied 661:9
satisfy 657:25
Saturday 712:3
save 637:1,14,22
savings 654:8,24 727:6
saw 644:25
saying 702:6
says 660:5
scale 650:11
Scavenger 650:18
  683:16
Scavenger/Golden
  626:5
scenario 619:21 643:5
  645:14 655:14 716:9
  726:17
scenarios 644:19 646:15
  646:18,19
schedule 669:15 674:19
scheduled 623:11
  711:10 712:24
Schedules 659:24
  669:10 724:13,22
Scholer 618:14
Schultz 618:16 619:3
  623:21 624:21 625:3
  625:24 632:24 652:18
  674:12 675:11
score 659:5
screen 624:25 705:21
  706:5
season-to-season
  651:11
second 624:6 633:3
  660:5 681:22 687:21
  691:18 706:14 709:18
  712:18 720:17 724:11
secondly 681:17
section 660:4,4 698:5
  710:25 714:17,22
  715:11
sections 644:14
sectors 694:22
see 627:20,21 631:23
  632:3 633:14 635:21
  638:4,13 641:12
  644:11,13 646:17
  647:7 648:7,21 650:7
  651:10 659:7 664:6,8
  664:17 665:24 668:4,4
  668:12 669:10 671:5
  677:22 691:24,24
  692:6,7,18 693:1,10
  694:1 700:4 705:13,21
  708:2,23 709:15,19,24
```

```
712:22 714:18.20
  715:1 716:20,20
  718:14 720:19 722:14
  725:3
seeing 635:10 649:3
  702:23 708:16 717:13
seem 708:15 717:6
seems 630:16 672:20
  702:24 704:9 708:9
  721:2 726:19 727:15
seen 635:18 653:13
  659:12,12 661:2 676:2
  680:11,17 688:3,13
  689:13 714:8
self-explanatory 629:19
sense 626:10 659:13
  663:23 693:5 708:1
  710:4 719:10 722:22
  722:25
sentence 660:5
separate 625:18 668:21
  716:14
separately 716:20
seriously 701:12
serves 626:24
service 619:20 620:3.4.5
  633:3,6 637:20 638:8
  638:10.10 639:11.13
  639:23,23,25 640:3,7
  640:16,18,19,24,24
  641:1,15 645:24
  646:13,16,19 647:13
  648:13 654:4 655:10
  655:20 670:20 672:8
  672:12,21,21 673:15
  675:5 684:22 687:2,3
  693:12 695:2,3 697:11
  697:15,17 698:2
  702:10 703:12,19
  706:7,12,19 707:17,18
  707:23,24 708:12
  709:1,2,10,13,17 710:1
  710:5,17,23 711:2,5,12
  711:19,25 712:7,11,25
  713:4,25 720:19
  721:14,16,18,22,24
  722:4,13 726:5
serviced 633:24 712:23
services 622:10 636:10
  636:11 652:1 673:4,6
  686:8.9 688:24
servicing 694:12 696:8
set 729:8
sets 643:22
setting 653:3
settled 664:19,24
setup 693:12
several 641:5 653:13
  654:19 689:16 690:8
SFE 689:12
shape 708:23
share 635:8 636:2
  648:17 672:12
shared 633:2 719:6
shift 635:11,20 637:14
  687:24 709:1,6
shifted 709:14 717:18
```

shifting 709:18 717:4 **shirt** 720:12 shorthand 729:5,10 show 621:7 628:1 633:25 640:10 641:11 676:7 690:4 708:9 710:13 711:22 721:20 722:16 showed 712:2 showing 708:6 shown 640:2,9 656:4 **shows** 631:15 637:4 643:16 644:20 646:6 708:3,4709:16,18 710:23 711:25 713:4 724:12,15 shut 691:4 side 631:22 638:1 655:21 655:22 691:25 721:1 sidewalk 727:5,10 side-load 703:6 signage 687:22 688:14 689:6 significant 630:4,7 646:7 649:9 665:15 677:16 691:11.15 710:10 significantly 638:11 678:20 687:1 709:20 723:20 sign-in 622:19 similar 625:16 635:23 638:4,7 644:25 645:5 646:20,25,25 647:1,1 648:24 665:2,20 690:12 723:17 **simple** 691:6 simply 640:10 647:24 662:7 since 628:20 653:1 660:14 662:24 665:14 700:13 718:17 single 653:25 672:23 674:24 688:8 723:13 single-family 633:23 639:19 653:20 654:12 656:1 672:23.25 721:21 722:4 726:18 726:21 single-stream 628:8 single-unit 636:10 637:5 638:12 639:25 640:6 sir 725:18 sittina 676:14 situation 667:11 711:20 six 710:20 size 633:8,9,11,17 634:6 634:20,21 636:13 637:18 638:24 653:22 654:23 694:25 695:14 697:12,18 703:20,20 sizes 633:19 635:4 637:9 638:14,15,19,20 640:5 671:19,22 slams 691:4 slightly 631:7 645:13

651:2 707:6,24 714:11

tells 671:19

724:15
slightly-corrected 630:23
<b>small</b> 672:7,9 688:11 701:3
smaller 637:17 638:24 646:2 650:10 653:9
678:7 685:1 709:22
723:24 smallest 634:20 635:4
small-quantity 634:17 solely 635:13
solid 626:25 653:1,11,12 some 627:14 628:12,23
638:13 639:18 641:18
646:14,15,17,18 648:25 650:3,4,23
656:23 658:25 661:12 661:23 665:5,15 666:7
666:9,11,11 667:22,23
669:7 670:2,6,8,9 671:10,11 675:14
676:17 677:20 679:6 679:18 681:1 685:16
686:20,25 687:9,10,14 688:22 689:12,15
690:2,2,3 691:20
695:11 699:16,23 700:6,21 702:7,9,9,13
702:18 704:1,3,18 705:25 707:12,25
710:5 711:1,13 717:12
717:21 720:13,21 721:7,21 722:9,22
724:9 someone 635:8 687:18
something 624:25 628:22 647:4 661:2
663:24 664:3 665:9
668:13 670:25 671:1 671:19 674:5 676:18
700:19 704:9 717:24 <b>sometimes</b> 663:20 664:2
664:4 667:8 somewhat 629:19
630:12,17 647:6 651:9
710:9 723:20 sophistication 724:1
<b>sorry</b> 639:2 678:5 685:3 709:5
sort 646:5 653:25 661:12 661:16 693:16 716:17
sorts 693:6
<b>SOs</b> 706:15 <b>sought</b> 633:18 648:25
679:3 sound 663:9
sounds 654:10 670:10
South 714:23 space 689:7
<b>speak</b> 621:23 644:22 647:23 654:23 714:14
718:15 speaker 718:23 719:23
725:25 728:5
<b>speakers</b> 728:4 <b>speaking</b> 708:10 710:7

```
speaks 621:22 692:12
specific 633:16 646:13
  646:16,21 650:1,3
  672:13 677:22 680:14
  705:24
specifically 670:1 671:13
  690:10 695:9 696:12
spend 632:10
sphere 629:3 665:6
split 702:15 719:8,20
split-billing 719:4
spoken 713:23
spot 647:5
spread 638:9
staff 621:13,14 623:4,7
  623:10 624:8,11
  625:16 626:12 627:4
  627:15 642:22 651:20
  656:17 659:8,21,22
  660:1,6,14 661:1
  662:24 663:14 671:7
  682:14 684:14,17,24
  684:25 685:8 689:1,1
  694:3,10 695:17,22
  698:4,9 699:3,19
  700:11 713:23 720:17
  721:9,24 724:5,5,7
  725:6
staffing 628:17 629:13
  629:14 651:5,17
  713:15
Staff's 621:18 694:8
stakeholders 622:16
stand 636:7 641:8
  642:15 659:16 663:5
  682:19
standard 628:11 639:25
  669:23
standpoint 663:4 664:18
  678:23
start 621:25 631:20
  636:2 643:14 683:2
  690:23 704:21 705:13
  706:2 709:20,22
  710:14 711:19 716:17
  717:14
started 690:3 707:14
  709:7
starting 699:24 709:3,10
  710:8 717:11
starts 666:18
state 678:20 718:21
stated 624:17 649:8
  662:15 663:16 702:2
  719:17 721:14
statement 663:23 719:12
  726:11
statements 676:3,6,22
states 662:10 684:24
static 678:17
Station 619:24 690:19
stations 629:1 652:3
  688:25 691:23 727:14
statistics 709:25
stay 704:23 717:19
steep 638:14
stenographically 729:7
```

```
step 687:6
still 669:21 682:25 701:3
  713:1 714:12 715:12
StopWaste 619:16 624:4
straight 685:25
straightforward 647:17
strategies 634:12 649:1
strategy 649:1
stream 688:10
streams 635:5 687:2
  697:12,15 723:6,7,10
  723:16
street 618:7 673:5 691:2
strikes 724:19
structure 619:21 623:2
  625:9 630:22 631:17
  633:5 634:3 635:12,17
  637:6,16 643:4 645:16
  645:21 646:25 647:1
  648:11 670:24 671:2,9
  671:13,25 673:1
  679:23 701:14 702:4
structures 635:17
  643:12,17 648:10,23
  670:18.22
studies 702:2
stuff 651:12
subject 666:23 668:6
  675:15 694:7 698:16
submitted 649:15 684:4
  684:5,9
submitting 684:4
subscribed 636:1 641:16
subscriber 636:9 641:24
subscribers 636:13
  638:12 674:17
subscribes 675:5
subscribing 633:21
  655:10
subscription 636:18
  637:20 641:13 642:6
subsequent 684:6 685:8
  698:22
subsidiaries 675:22
676:1,9,15
subsidiary 667:13
substantively 631:5
substituting 624:16
success 687:10 688:13
  688:20 689:13
successful 688:4 690:3
successfully 689:25
sufficient 660:20
Suite 618:7
summarize 649:19
summarized 663:17
summarizes 649:22
summarizing 633:1
summary 619:19 632:8
  632:16,18 650:16
```

```
713:14 714:21 715:2
supportable 627:7
supported 627:16
supporting 626:13
sure 625:6 626:8 630:14
  643:19 647:15 661:8
  663:3.8 685:8.24
  690:16 695:16 698:8
  701:4,4 706:4 710:20
  720:17 725:14
surprised 644:23 705:11
surprisingly 672:9
surrounding 703:10
survey 622:4,13,14,19
  622:20
suspected 707:19
sustain 693:12
swear 718:20 720:4
sweet 647:5
swinging 651:11
switch 628:7 672:3
sworn 624:22 682:21
  705:8 718:18 720:2,3,6
system 671:10 702:13
  702:17 715:13 716:8
  719:6,7,19
T 619:14 620:1 633:9
  632:17,24,25 636:7
  638:1 640:2 641:11
  643:15,15,18 644:10
```

```
table 621:9,15 622:20
  644:25 646:5,8 663:8
  688:1 722:2
tables 643:3 653:17
tail 709:25 714:1
take 647:24 682:6,19
  687:13 699:1 701:11
  719:8 727:22
taken 640:6 729:10
takes 684:12 686:7
talk 627:19 631:22 632:6
  644:18 649:5,8 651:7
  664:13 682:1 686:19
  708:20 719:13 724:4,5
talked 639:5,17 647:21
  672:11 700:11 717:4
  725:20
talking 631:20 654:7
  663:21 667:13 681:20
  682:1 685:2,5 697:19
  697:20,23 698:10,25
talks 650:16 653:20
  684:20 719:15
target 689:18
targeted 685:13 693:25
  715:19 717:7
targets 724:4,8,12 725:8
technically 671:24
techniques 664:23
technological 681:18
technologies 665:2
technology 725:10
tell 637:7 653:5 691:21
  694:14,15 695:5
  713:12
```

ten 713:20 727:2 tenants 692:17,21 693:15 tend 723:22 Tenderloin 714:22 tension 725:13 ten-minute 682:7 term 685:20,22 686:4 701:1 terms 638:22 647:3 649:3 651:7 653:17 660:24 679:8 689:5 696:12 708:12 710:4 714:15 715:5 tested 643:20 testified 624:23 662:20 682:22 705:9 720:7 testify 694:7 testifying 654:18 testimony 621:17,19,24 622:25 623:4,9 625:10 639:17 662:23,25 682:13 700:7,20 701:24 702:1,14 thank 622:22.23 627:10 652:10 663:10 670:12 670:13 671:15 674:2 675:8 679:15 682:3 683:7,22 684:14 700:5 701:6 706:21 718:23 719:21,22 725:24 726:1,3 728:2,3,10,13 than-average 634:16 their 626:16 634:5,6,8,8 635:6 636:2,5,15,18 637:12,20 638:17,18 638:19,19 641:19,22 642:4,5,5,23 646:17 648:23 649:8,9 652:5 654:4,23 655:5,16 656:9,10 660:7 668:7 669:11,19 671:5,17,19 671:20 673:11,17,18 673:20,24 676:1 677:22 679:8 680:8,13 684:22 685:18 686:12 688:16.17.18 692:9 698:9,19 703:19,20 719:19 722:4 724:24 themselves 699:1 thing 669:6 681:13 700:25 711:7 things 626:13 628:4,24 630:15,25 647:2 648:2 651:8 653:12 666:11 677:10 687:16 702:21 708:24 710:6 714:9 715:24 716:3 723:9 725:13 think 624:7 627:13 630:11 631:1 636:8 638:16 641:10 647:2 649:1,2650:22651:1 654:17 655:16 659:17 659:25 660:19,22 661:16 663:15,23

662:9,15

Sundays 707:7

712:4

Sunday 707:24 708:11

Sunset 626:5 650:18

668:20 683:3,16

support 651:25 695:5

664:17 666:2 670:1 671:1,7 674:3 675:19 682:11 688:6 689:14 690:1,2 692:11 693:25 694:9 695:12 697:16 697:24 698:9,16 699:17 700:1 701:4,11 701:13,17 702:10 703:16 705:13 706:6 711:21 713:23 714:5 715:4 717:2,5,8 718:13 720:1,1,10,11,14,24 721:12,15,25 722:1,6,9 723:3,11,15,22 724:8,9 725:5 727:23 thinking 699:19 706:2 715:16 thinks 713:7 third 687:23 **Thomas** 618:10 **Thompson** 618:20 619:11 726:2,3,4 thorough 657:20 though 635:25 654:15 668:19 669:7 694:20 thought 647:9 662:21 705:16 714:4 thoughts 685:6 714:15 three 618:14 621:16 635:5 641:12,13 643:2 646:9 660:22 662:11 675:21 676:3,8 686:3 686:13 701:17 703:21 720:1 723:5,9,15 three-year 685:20,21 686:4 689:24 threshold 692:24 through 627:1 630:5 632:24 639:20 640:7 640:19 642:21,25 643:18 646:8 658:12 662:6 666:15 669:13 673:13 683:5,18 706:25 707:16 708:8 710:2 712:2 713:7 717:20 throughout 626:9 638:9 645:5 648:22 671:10 689:2 691:23 Thursday 719:4 tied 663:3 Tier 724:21 till 709:7 710:2.9 time 628:11,20 632:10 651:14 657:20 665:5 665:11 666:14 682:6 682:11 689:15 694:13 699:1,25 700:19 705:5 705:24 709:1,12,17,19 711:16 713:14 717:15 723:1 725:17 726:14 728:6,14 729:7 times 690:8 716:24 717:12 tiny 705:16 tip 663:15 666:21,25 679:25 680:4,8,13,21

today 621:8,10 622:25 676:14 718:11,12 720:12 722:8 today's 621:8,21 622:12 together 640:6 682:16 701:18 710:23 713:5 713:20 715:10 Tom 618:19 619:10 675:12 719:1,3 tonnage 724:23 tons 724:20 725:4 top 644:13 665:9 708:4 709:16 topic 649:13 650:15 topics 718:9 total 633:25 686:3,13 722:12 touch 625:10,23 649:6 686:17 toward 723:17 towards 645:23 709:14 710:12 town 710:25 711:1 712:6 712:9.10.13 713:2 714:10 to-five 674:14 tracking 619:22 683:4,17 683:20 684:1 tracks 684:10 Tracy 618:20 619:11 726:2.4 traditional 692:11 traditionally 688:9 723:18 transcribed 621:21 729:8 transcript 729:10 transfer 629:1 652:2 transition 687:20 translate 695:22 transparent 649:2 trash 629:2 631:18 633:8 633:19,21 634:6,23 636:12.14 637:9.23 638:14,19,24 641:19 642:4 644:4,16 647:12 653:22 654:1.12.23 655:7,12,20 656:1 671:20 672:3.12 686:8 687:25 688:9,17,19 692:9 727:3,6 trash/64-gallon 633:13 treat 659:11 treating 710:25 treatment 660:8 trend 646:20 723:15,25 trends 638:7,12 640:25 644:25 triggered 724:13,22 truck 715:9 trucks 629:11 657:17 696:18 697:11 702:15 703:8.12

725:20

tipping 630:5

titled 649:16

Title 622:2,8,11

true 630:18 647:13 651:13 664:22 665:4 666:9,12 709:5 729:9 729:15 trying 635:12 647:12 659:20 666:11 707:21 717:19 turn 684:17 698:4 **Turning** 650:15 two 638:3,8 659:24 662:1 667:22 672:11 674:13 676:17,22 677:12 680:15 681:14 681:20 687:15 688:5 690:13 692:18 693:6 695:13 702:10 703:23 705:13,19,19 706:3 710:12,21 711:3,5,8 713:13,17,18,24 715:20,25 716:14 719:7 721:5.6 two-and-a 652:23 two-sided 632:22 two-to-five 638:6 two-unit 641:17,18 642:8 type 619:19 632:17,19 633:16 671:16 705:16 719:16 721:4.10 types 628:2 635:23 641:8 646:7,10 648:1,1 693:9 703:13 720:19 722:13 typically 688:8 689:10 691:9 T-20 674:17 675:5

#### U

**ultimate** 670:19 ultimately 645:2 657:3 unclear 662:24 under 631:16 634:20 637:6 640:24 655:4,13 662:11 671:25 673:1 692:22 716:9,21 721:24 726:19,22 729:14.14 undergoing 665:5 understand 644:1 659:13 666:13 669:4 681:20.24 695:16 699:18 700:10,17 702:9 703:9,15 704:16 710:3 713:16 719:7 721:17 724:11 understandable 661:1 understanding 650:12 654:14,15 655:17 661:5,20,22 663:1 665:18,19 666:24 674:16,18,24 679:23 702:19 703:19 704:19 714:12 716:24 721:13 understood 657:3 659:9 undue 669:10 unique 667:18,19 unit 631:18 632:23 639:7 640:14,24 642:19

644:1.11 672:23 674:14,23 675:6 721:18 units 619:20 633:8 638:3 638:6,8 639:11,14 640:13,25 641:5 672:11 704:1,10 721:20 universe 633:23 university-based 653:9 unless 675:4 693:12 718:18 unpredictability 669:18 unreasonable 698:17 until 653:6 700:2 709:3 709:20 727:21 un-routed 716:16 upside 678:24 679:7 **upsize** 636:15 use 624:14 657:6 660:21 685:13 697:14 698:22 699:10,12 713:15 716:8 721:1,2 722:20 727:18 used 622:16,18 684:25 688:9 693:21 727:1 user 726:8 users 654:8 655:5 727:11 using 638:23 657:11 658:21 661:7 693:25 699:7,9 704:8 714:25 Usual 627:23 628:3,10 utilities 651:22 utilization 679:8 utilize 673:20 utilized 671:14 utilizing 634:9 638:21 641:23 642:6 U-1 637:25 U-2/U-5 639:3

#### ٧ validate 724:17 Valley 668:22 669:1 675:24 679:25 680:7 680:12.21 value 676:19 values 645:15 variability 651:4 variable 635:14,16 643:21 649:16,25 650:2,4,7 651:13 702:10 723:2,12 variables 643:20,22 644:3 702:3 variance 722:17 variation 651:7 variations 651:11 varied 707:20 varies 694:24 variety 627:13 various 633:2 638:9 644:13,14 645:5 653:17 684:12 720:18 vary 650:24 651:2 varying 707:6

venture 663:21 version 624:11,14,16 630:23 722:9 versions 624:9 versus 634:2 650:2 656:25 694:24 698:11 699:4 714:24 716:16 723:12 727:1 very 644:13,25 645:13 646:20 653:5,18 655:22 663:10 672:7 672:20 687:19 688:11 690:1 692:22 693:24 704:6 717:6 721:12,13 724:1 726:1 728:13 VI 622:2,8,11 via 634:24 645:15 647:8 661:25 662:25 vie 693:23 view 631:1 636:7 649:19 661:17 viewpoint 667:20,25 visited 693:4 volume 617:13 620:3,4 635:14 636:17 640:16 649:11 650:24 688:11 688:12 691:12 706:6 706:11.18 707:18.19 712:5 719:14,15 volumes 636:1 709:22 volumetric 637:23 644:5 644:12,16,17 646:23

#### w

vs 649:16

wait 698:24 705:2 waiting 722:18 walk 632:24 639:20 643:18 646:8 706:25 713:7 want 621:22 625:10 649:8 659:21 661:8 669:2 670:14 674:5 683:12 689:18 692:6 697:14 698:25 701:1 719:18 724:4 726:4,10 726:13.17 727:12 wanted 663:12 670:23 675:13 679:21 681:13 681:16 682:2 704:15 707:17 709:8 719:3 wants 705:3 warrants 667:8 wasn't 625:6 630:24 646:16 662:6 681:25 694:13 726:20 waste 618:7 620:3,4 624:2 626:25 634:11 635:7,19 638:17,20 648:3,4,16,18 651:8 653:1,11,12 671:24 673:19,20,24 688:10 701:10 702:22 706:6 706:11,15,16,18 716:10 721:9

waste-generating

	Ī	l	1	l .
638:15	642:17 643:7,10	year 635:1 653:9 654:9	<b>11:10</b> 728:14	24-month 658:18
way 623:25 625:7 631:24	645:18 646:24 660:25	654:25 666:15 680:8,8	<b>110</b> 689:25	<b>24-months</b> 658:19
642:15 648:6,7,15,20	661:5 663:1,3 672:11	685:21 686:1 694:6	<b>111</b> 633:11	<b>25</b> 694:6,9,18 695:10,19
659:11 667:21 673:17	676:11 688:13 689:19	701:1,5 708:4,6,8	<b>115</b> 689:25	695:21 696:7,14 697:8
676:20 680:16 681:16	702:14 707:3,21 708:3	713:10,10,11 720:16	<b>12:1</b> 644:6	697:15,25 704:1,17
687:24 688:7,10	713:23 717:3,18	720:17 722:16 724:22	<b>1200</b> 618:7	<b>25%</b> 670:19 692:24
	725:14			
692:14 698:12,14	-	years 627:7,9 652:24	<b>121</b> 633:15	720:14,16,18
705:1 708:8 719:6	while 623:21,24 690:7	653:6,10,12,14 654:13	<b>122</b> 633:15	<b>26</b> 617:12 621:1,8
723:7,25 724:16 725:2	702:8,23	654:19,25 669:14	<b>13876</b> 617:24 729:4,22	<b>28</b> 724:7,12
ways 639:18 689:4	who're 634:15	677:24 678:16 686:3	<b>14th</b> 621:12	<b>28</b> % 627:22 630:9 678:1
710:21 714:10 723:12	<b>Williams</b> 618:19 619:10	686:14 689:16 698:22	<b>1455</b> 618:7	<b>288-3376</b> 617:22
wearing 720:12	719:1,2,3	708:8 727:2	<b>15</b> 627:7 684:17 694:3	<b>290</b> 726:23
Wednesday 617:12	willing 689:11	year-over-year 699:7,14	<b>15%</b> 686:23	
621:1,8 728:7	Wing 658:25 659:24	year/fiscal 713:11	<b>15-plus</b> 627:8	3
week 620:3 694:7 699:19	660:4	yellow 634:14	<b>150</b> % 719:13	<b>3</b> 623:11 695:2,3 697:15
699:19,24 700:2,4,5	wish 693:21	yield 700:3	<b>16</b> 636:23 655:8,23 656:6	1
706:8,9,12 707:19	wishing 718:15	yields 699:3	708:4 713:10,10 722:5	723:10
708:14		yields 000.0	727:1	<b>3rd</b> 728:7
	witness 619:3,7,9			<b>3%</b> 685:21 686:3,13,15
weekdays 707:22	623:18,19 674:2	z	<b>16%</b> 636:21	699:4
weekends 707:22	683:24 704:24	zero 618:7 660:10	<b>16-gallon</b> 634:22 636:14	<b>3:1</b> 644:9
708:10	wondering 625:23	701:10	642:3	<b>3:30</b> 709:3
well 623:4 625:4 626:11	647:22 714:12,14	zero-waste 727:8	<b>16.4</b> 632:2,4 642:1,11	<b>30%</b> 645:9,12,17 716:15
629:5,12 630:13	720:3	<b>zone</b> 715:13	647:19	<b>31</b> 683:5
636:24 637:22 640:1	wood 701:21	zones 713:20	<b>16/16/4</b> 721:17	<b>311</b> 707:16
641:23 645:2 647:16	word 630:14	<b>ZWI</b> 724:4.10.12.24	<b>16/16/8</b> 721:19	<b>32</b> 631:18,19 633:21
655:13,15,18 657:2,3	words 657:10 658:4	, -, ,	<b>17</b> 698:4 708:6	
658:17 659:15 662:20	667:12 711:4	725:8,11	<b>18%</b> 713:11	636:23 637:2 641:19
665:6 669:4 675:2	work 623:23 625:10,16		<b>18,000</b> 642:14	650:16 653:22 654:13
678:21 681:25 687:12	625:24 626:2,8 627:10	\$	10,000 042.14	655:13,23 656:1,5,7
687:16 688:2 692:22	627:12 642:21 643:10	<b>\$10</b> 643:25 654:3	2	721:4
		<b>\$10.44</b> 631:18		<b>32-gallon</b> 636:12,25
694:15 696:16 700:3	643:17 664:1 665:13	<b>\$100,000</b> 697:21	2-through-5-unit 640:1	654:2 655:2,12,20
705:1 715:23 717:7	670:23 671:12 689:11	<b>\$116.53</b> 672:22	<b>2.67</b> 699:3	656:2,12
722:7	691:10 693:23 710:22	<b>\$12</b> 641:20 654:4	<b>2/10ths</b> 689:20 700:15	<b>32/32/32</b> 636:16 640:3
well-calculated 627:6	710:22 713:8,20,20	<b>\$12</b> 041.20 054.4 <b>\$120</b> 654:8,25	<b>2:1</b> 644:4,7	642:9
were 624:9 626:12 628:3	715:5,10 717:6,7,8,18		<b>20</b> 633:21 642:20 643:15	<b>32/64/32</b> 642:2
628:19 629:16 635:8	717:18 725:11	\$14 654:4	653:23 713:19 722:5	<b>348</b> 618:4 685:15,17
636:18 637:14 643:11	worked 646:14 647:9	<b>\$15</b> 643:25	726:21 727:1	686:11,17 693:3,4
643:22 644:3,15,23,25	650:10 652:25 653:1,8	<b>\$150</b> 654:8,25	<b>20%</b> 630:1,9	<b>36%</b> 632:3
645:14 646:21 647:6	653:9,10 689:12 698:8	<b>\$156,072</b> 695:23		
651:12 657:6 658:12	workers 626:14	<b>\$19</b> 641:25	<b>20-gallon</b> 634:19,21	<b>38%</b> 649:24
658:16,18,22 662:21	working 626:12 627:8	<b>\$20</b> 631:17 642:10	654:1,8,12 655:5,6	<u> </u>
677:2,12,12 680:21	647:3 648:23 652:21	643:24	656:10 674:21,23,25	4
The state of the s		<b>\$5</b> 637:1 642:19 643:23	720:20 726:7,7,17,24	<b>4</b> 724:21
682:22 685:12 688:3	662:1 666:11 714:13	674:15,20 720:20	727:2,11	4-gallon 726:25
695:6,9 698:18 702:7	717:20,23	726:12	<b>20/32/32</b> 640:3	<b>4.3</b> 685:19 686:14,18
712:1 714:9 715:16	works 617:2 618:2 621:6	<b>\$5-a-month</b> 634:24	<b>200</b> 691:2	<b>4:1</b> 644:10
717:4 722:17 724:8	621:13 622:1,9 623:17	\$5-dollar-per-month	<b>2000</b> 641:14 653:1,6	<b>4:30</b> 709:4,5 711:15
729:6,8	715:10 721:7	•	<b>2001</b> 654:17	
weren't 628:4,12	worth 690:6 694:20	639:1	<b>2006</b> 657:19,23 658:14	716:12 717:1
West 658:25 659:24	699:23	<b>\$5.22</b> 631:18	660:12	<b>40%</b> 649:25 650:7 724:23
660:4	wouldn't 705:1	<b>\$500,000</b> 697:20	<b>2013</b> 665:14 700:14	725:3
we'll 650:19 690:4	written 661:12 663:1	<b>\$56.54</b> 672:24		<b>400</b> 617:8 728:8
693:23 699:17,25	wrong 630:25 654:18		720:15	<b>409,000</b> 724:20
700:3 706:16 708:20	655:25 678:5	0	<b>2014</b> 628:21	<b>43</b> 649:14
	www.depo.com 617:22	<b>0%</b> 632:2	<b>2016</b> 683:4,5,18 712:1	<b>49</b> 657:15
710:16 713:14 716:3	www.depo.com 617:22	<b>0.2</b> 700:15,25	724:21	
718:13		<b>0.2</b> 700.15,25	<b>2017</b> 617:4,12 621:1	5
we're 621:10 632:10,22	X	l —	624:2 658:13 713:11	
639:6 642:24 647:12	X 619:1,14 620:1	11	729:17	5th 729:17
647:20 649:3 654:7	·	<b>1</b> 617:8 618:5 683:4	<b>2018</b> 666:15	<b>5:1</b> 644:10
663:20 667:3,13	Υ	<b>1A</b> 674:19	<b>21</b> 666:15	<b>50</b> 694:8 695:17 696:6
682:15 684:4 686:25	·	<b>1%</b> 685:11,21 686:1	<b>22</b> 659:25 666:15 724:22	704:17
687:6 691:19 697:18	yards 695:2,3 703:21	689:15 693:19,21	<b>22</b> 039.23 000.13 724.22	<b>50%</b> 636:9 693:10
697:20,23 698:10	yeah 628:17 643:19	700:15	<b>22-gallon</b> 656:8,9	51%-or-greater 687:6
699:7,8 701:12 707:11	646:9 647:24 674:6		1	<b>53</b> 662:10,14
708:19 722:24 726:5	686:4,16 691:1,22	10 713:19 715:17,17	<b>23</b> 629:10	<b>55%</b> 631:25
727:22	693:24 694:15 704:11	10th 618:15	<b>234</b> 618:10	-2.0 0020
we've 634:16 639:4	715:23 717:24 725:19	<b>10%</b> 686:23 692:24	<b>235</b> 724:21	6
	727:13	<b>10%-to-15</b> 686:24	<b>236,000</b> 724:21	•
640:10 641:13 642:16	1	<b>100%</b> 716:7	<b>24%</b> 628:15 630:9	<b>6</b> 617:13 717:17
		ĺ		ĺ

	l	<b> </b>	I	1
% 678:6,7,8	<b>88</b> 619:19 632:16,18			
<b>2.1</b> 662:11 <b>00</b> 709:7,23	653:19 672:18 722:9 <b>89</b> 619:20 639:12,13			
: <b>1</b> 644:10	722:9			
<b>0</b> 697:18				
<b>0%</b> 646:10 649:22 650:6	9			
<b>00</b> 695:1 703:20	<b>9%</b> 632:2			
<b>17</b> 617:14 <b>2%</b> 649:23	<b>9:42</b> 682:9			
<b>24</b> 619:16	<b>9:55</b> 682:8,10			
<b>25</b> 619:3,17	<b>90</b> 619:21 643:3,4 <b>91</b> 619:22 683:6,7,15,19			
<b>31</b> 619:18	683:22			
<b>32</b> 619:19	<b>92</b> 619:23 690:14,15,16			
<b>39</b> 619:20	690:17,24			
<b>4</b> 633:21 637:2,9,11,15	<b>93</b> 619:24 690:15,19			
641:19,19 653:22 672:3 721:4	691:19			
4-gallon 637:12,19	<b>94</b> 620:3 706:10,11			
642:4 656:6	<b>94102</b> 617:9 618:5 <b>94103</b> 618:8			
<b>4/64/32-gallon</b> 672:21	<b>94111</b> 618:15			
<b>13</b> 619:21	<b>95</b> 620:4 706:17,18			
<b>5</b> 643:15	<b>96</b> 620:5 637:11,15			
<b>5%</b> 685:16,17 686:12,20	653:22 710:16,17			
687:1 <b>52</b> 619:4	<b>96es</b> 637:9			
<b>70</b> 619:4	<b>96-gallon</b> 633:13,21			
<b>74</b> 619:5	637:10,11,15 672:3,4 720:25 721:2			
<b>75</b> 619:5	720.23 721.2			
<b>79</b> 619:6				
<b>8</b> 630:24				
<b>82</b> 619:7 <b>83</b> 619:22				
<b>90</b> 619:23,24				
00 010.20,24				
7				
<b>%</b> 637:5				
<b>,000</b> 642:13				
<b>,554</b> 713:10				
<b>:1</b> 644:10				
<b>0%</b> 630:10				
00 619:8 06 619:9 620:3,4				
<b>0</b> 620:5				
<b>9</b> 619:10,11				
<b>26</b> 619:11				
<b>29</b> 617:14				
5 697:16				
<b>5%</b> 685:16,18 686:12,20				
687:7 3 624:7,15,18,19 659:22				
684:18 694:4				
624:20 657:15 662:10				
8				
709:20 711:18 728:8				
% 637:5				
<b>000</b> 689:21				
<b>933</b> 713:12 <b>2</b> 660:4				
<b>:00</b> 709:3,10 710:8,9				
: <b>09</b> 621:1				
<b>0%</b> 640:7				
00 617:22				
<b>5</b> 619:16 624:3,4				
<b>6</b> 619:17 625:19,20				
<b>7</b> 619:18 631:11,12		ī l		i