BLOCK 3 PARK
Community Meeting #4 (4/29/21) Survey Results
SURVEY RESULTS POST COMMUNITY MEETING #4

WHO? 58 total respondents

- live in the neighborhood: 86%
- work in the neighborhood: 53%
- have children: 26%
- have dogs: 16%

Age of Respondents:

- 55+: 34%
- 35-55: 37%
- 20-35: 26%

If you are a parent or caretaker, which age bracket is your child/children?:

- 0-3 years: 25%
- 4-5 years: 17%
- 6-10 years: 25%
- 11-15 years: 33%

DID YOU ATTEND OUR COMMUNITY MEETINGS?

- I have not attended any community meetings for this project:
  - Community Meeting #1 (March 4, 2020, in-person, 50 attendees): 26%
  - Community Meeting #2 (September 9, 2020, 77 attendees): 37%
  - Community Meeting #3 (November 18, 2020, 67 attendees): 30%
  - Community Meeting #4 (April 29, 2021, 51 attendees): 53%
- I have attended community meetings:
  - Community Meeting #1 (March 4, 2020, in-person, 50 attendees): 74%
  - Community Meeting #2 (September 9, 2020, 77 attendees): 63%
  - Community Meeting #3 (November 18, 2020, 67 attendees): 70%
  - Community Meeting #4 (April 29, 2021, 51 attendees): 47%

I have not attended any community meetings for this project: 30%
For the Stewardship/Maintenance Building concepts, which option are you most drawn to?

**Option 1: Envelop**
- Prismatic form
- Offset location within grove
- Responds to new architectural forms nearby
- Perforated metal skin
- Can glow internally at night

**Option 2: Bow**
- Organic form frames views to each corner
- Central location within grove
- Replicates curves from site design
- Earthy materials and color palette
- Integrated with landscape

**Public Comments:**
- “More harmonious with the site. “Bow” looks like it belongs there!”
- “Very strong preference for the more organic form of Option 2.”
- “I like the curves for the Bow option but the glowing internally at night tipped the scale to the Envelop option.”
PLAYGROUND

For the Playground concepts, which option are you most drawn to?

Option 1: Integrated Play

Supports mixed-age play on park level and provides school age play at elevated corner.

Option 2: Zoned Play

Separates toddler play from school age play by a stepped 18" elevation change.

Public Comments:

“Lots of toddlers in this area. Feels nice to have a separate section for their needs.”

“I think toddlers need their own play space. Older children can be too rough and tumble around tots.”

Block 3 Park

Community Meeting #4 Survey Results
PLAYGROUND - MAIN PLAY STRUCTURE

Which children’s main play structure are you most drawn to?

Option 1: Integrated Play

SCULPTURAL WATERFOWL PLAY STRUCTURE  
OR  
TIMBER PLAY STRUCTURE - ALTERNATE

17% Timber Play Structure
68% Sculptural Waterfowl Play Structure

Option 2: Zoned Play

SCULPTURAL WATERFOWL PLAY STRUCTURE  
OR  
TOWER PLAY STRUCTURE - ALTERNATE

19% Tower Play Structure
19% Sculptural Waterfowl Play Structure
62% Either Option
PLAYGROUND - SWINGS

Do you have a preference on the multi-user swing?

**Multi-user Swing**

- A. Saucer Swing
- B. Rope Swing
- C. 3-Tier Swing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multi-user Swing</th>
<th>Preference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Saucer Swing</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Rope Swing</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 3-Tier Swing</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is it important to have a toddler swing in the playground?

**Toddler Swing**

- Not Important: 15%
- Very Important: 29%
- Neutral: 56%

- A. Saucer Swing
- B. Rope Swing
- C. 3-Tier Swing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Toddler Swing</th>
<th>Importance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Saucer Swing</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Rope Swing</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 3-Tier Swing</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**PARK DESIGN**

*Which area of the park would you likely spend the most time in?*

![Park Design Diagram]

- **Playground**: 27 votes
- **Deck Area**: 23 votes
- **Open Multipurpose Paved Space**: 21 votes
- **Benches/Seatwalls**: 10 votes
- **Other**: 2 votes