

Meeting Date: July 24, 2025

**To:** Public Works Commission

**Through:** Carla Short, Public Works Director

Scott Anderson, Deputy Director for Project Delivery

From: Sherry Katz, Project Manager

**Subject**: Approval of Issuance of Notice to Proceed to Clark Construction –

California, LP for Construction of San Francisco Police Department Surge

Facility (1828 Egbert Avenue) (Ingleside District Police Station

Replacement CM/GC Contract) -

**Director's Recommendation**: Approve issuance of Notice to Proceed for construction services to Clark Construction – California, LP, for the SFPD's Surge Facility construction project, located at 1828 Egbert Avenue.

Contract Background: On July 21, 2023, the Public Works Commission approved Resolution No. 2023-0068, awarding Clark Construction – California, LP ("Clark Construction") \$295,000 for pre-construction services for the Ingleside District Police Station Replacement Construction Manager/General Contractor ("CM/GC") Contract. The Commission anticipated that the Commission would consider approval of the construction portion of the Ingleside District Police Station Replacement project following the completion of environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"). A Categorical Exemption Determination was issued for the Surge Facility construction project located at 1828 Egbert Avenue in San Francisco's Bayview. Surge, which precedes the construction of the Ingleside District Police Station Replacement project, is a tenant improvement project that will serve as the temporary home for the officers and staff at the Ingleside Police Station during construction of Ingleside, as well as the SFPD's Phase 1 Property Control Division ("PCD Phase 1"). Separately, CEQA review is underway for Ingleside, the historic renovation and new police station replacement project located at 1 Sgt. John V Young Lane in Balboa Park.

The Notice To Proceed ("NTP") for pre-construction services was issued on July 22, 2024.

Public Works requests approval of NTP for construction services only for tenant improvements for SFPD's Surge Facility, inclusive of PCD Phase 1. The tenant improvement work consists of temporary offices for SFPD Ingleside District Police Station ("SFPD Ingleside Station"), and PCD evidence storage. The construction contract amount is not to exceed \$20,000,000 for construction services and other contract expenditures under the CM/GC contract, plus \$2,000,000 in construction contingency. A budgeted estimate in Clark's contract totals approximately \$15.3 million. The estimates in the RFQ/P issued in 2022 have been impacted by escalation. Escalation, running at about 5% per year, is factored to the midpoint of construction. For the Surge PCD, midpoint of construction is scheduled for mid to late 2026. Esacalation is adding an overall factor of 1.15-1.21% to the \$15.3 million estimate. In addition, the client

SFPD Surge Facility – Approval of Notice to Proceed Public Works Commission Meeting: July 24,2025

requested a freight elevator which added about \$1.5 million to the cost of the work. Public Works is in the process of value engineering the scope of work with the client and Clark Construction to contain costs to bring the project in under \$20 million.

The contract time allocated for construction of the Surge Facility will be 500 days.

On September 18, 2024, the Planning Department issued a Categorical Exemption determination for the Surge Facility Construction project.

Upon completion of the Surge Facility tenant improvements, the police officers and staff stationed at the SFPD Ingleside Station will move out of the SFPD Ingleside Station and into Surge Facility. This temporary move will facilitate and enable the construction of the replacement SFPD Ingleside Station. In addition, SFPD evidence will be moved into 1828 Egbert Avenue as part of PCD Phase 1.

When the replacement SFPD Ingleside Station project is completed, SFPD will move back into the renovated building, and new replacement station at 1 Sgt. John V. Young Lane. SFPD's Property Control Division will then occupy the entire facility at Egbert Avenue, and the Surge space will be repurposed for PCD. Under a future bond and as part of a separate future project, the entire facility at 1828 Egbert Avenue will be repurposed for use by PCD for their office and storage needs.

The only construction work to be performed under this contract is located at 1828 Egbert Avenue, San Francisco.

### **Solicitation Process:**

On October 15, 2021, San Francisco Public Works advertised a Request for Qualifications for CM/GCs to provide services for the Ingleside District Police Station Replacement and Surge Facility Construction projects.

On October 27, 2022, Public Works invited three prequalified firms to submit proposals responding to a Request for Proposals for the projects.

Following a competitive process, Clark Construction Group – California, LP received the highest combined cost and non-cost criteria scores for its CM/GC contract proposal.

### **Contract Details:**

Contract Title:	Surge Facility construction portion of SFPD Ingleside District Police Station Replacement and Surge Facility Construction projects
Contract Award Amount for Surge Facility Construction:	\$20,000,000
Cost Estimate:	\$15,400,000

Contract Funding Sources:	Earthquake Safety and Emergency Response Bond 2020 (Surge) and Certificates of Participation (Property Control Division)
Anticipated Project Schedule:	Notice-to-Proceed: August 2025 (long lead equipment procurement) Construction: March 2026 – November 2026
Contract Duration:	500 days
Contractor Name:	Clark Construction Group – California, LP
Compliance with Chapter 14B Local Business Enterprise Ordinance:	The Contract Monitoring Division ("CMD") established a Local Business Enterprise ("LBE") subcontracting requirement of 22% for this contract. The contractor committed to LBE participation of 22% in its bid submittal, and San Francisco Public Works received CMD's recommendation to award.
Environmental Determination (if applicable):	CEQA Categorical Exemption, Case No. 2023-003238ENV (issued September 18, 2024)
Other Compliance:	12B Equal Benefits Ordinance Compliant
Additional Information:	N/A
Attachments:	Attachment 1: Resolution Attachment 2: CEQA Categorical Exemption (1828 Egbert Avenue)

# PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

RESOLUTION NO.	

WHEREAS, On July 21, 2023, the Public Works Commission approved Resolution No. 2023-0068, awarding Clark Construction – California, LP ("Clark Construction") \$295,000 for pre-construction services for the Ingleside District Police Station ("Ingleside Station") Replacement Construction Manager/General Contractor ("CM/GC") contract, which contract includes the replacement of Ingleside Station located at 1 Sgt. John V. Young Lane in Balboa Park and the Surge Facility located at 1828 Egbert Avenue in the Bayview of San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, When the Commission approved Resolution No. 2023-0068, the Commission anticipated that the Commission would consider approval of the construction of any portion of the Ingleside Station Replacement project following the completion of environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"); and

WHEREAS, The Surge Facility tenant improvement work precedes the construction of the replacement of Ingleside Station and includes interior remodeling of the structure; codemandated upgrades; new mechanical HVAC equipment and electrical systems; plumbing renovations; fire sprinkler and fire alarm upgrades; new security, data and phone systems; new and renovated restrooms and showers; new interior partitions for new offices; a new generator with a fuel tank capacity that meets the 72 hour run time protocols for first responder facilities, new fencing, and ADA upgrades; and

WHEREAS, Clark Construction's contract dated July 21, 2023, was executed for an amount not to exceed \$295,000 for pre-construction services, and an amount not to exceed \$67,148,820 for construction services for "SFPD Ingleside Station Replacement project and Surge Construction projects," for a total contract amount not to exceed \$67,443,820 and a total contract duration of 1,450 consecutive days for construction services, subject to the Commission's approval of a Notice to Proceed for any project construction; and

WHEREAS, The Notice to Proceed for pre-construction services was issued on July 22, 2024; and

WHEREAS, The tenant improvements for the Surge Facility pertains only to the Surge Facility and requires approval of a construction contract amount that is not to exceed \$20,000,000 for construction services and other contract expenditures under the Ingleside District Police Station Replacement Construction CM/GC Contract, plus \$2,000,000 in construction contingency, and the contract time allocated for the Surge Facility construction will be 500 days; and

WHEREAS, This portion of Clark Construction's contract is estimated at \$20,000,000 out of the total \$67,148,820, and the contract duration is 500 consecutive calendar days out of the total 1,450 contract days; and

WHEREAS, the Contract Monitoring Division ("CMD") established a Local Business Enterprise ("LBE") subcontracting requirement of 22% for this contract, and the contractor committed to LBE participation of 22% in its response to the RFQ/P submittal, and San Francisco Public Works received CMD's recommendation to award; and

WHEREAS, Under the parameters of their CM/GC contract, Clark Construction advertised the Surge Facility project on May 26, 2025, and received multiple bids on June 5, 2025, and continues to negotiate other bid packages; and

WHEREAS, On September 18, 2024, the Planning Department issued a Categorical Exemption Determination under CEQA for the Surge Facility construction project, finding the project to be categorically exempt as a Class 1 categorical exemption (Existing Facilities) under CEQA; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That this Commission approves the Notice to Proceed for the Surge Facility construction component of the San Francisco Public Works "SFPD Ingleside Station Replacement project and Surge Construction Projects" Construction Contract to Clark Construction in the amount of \$20,000,000, with a contract duration of 500 consecutive calendar days to perform tenant improvements at Surge Facility.

I hereby certify that the foregoin meeting of	g resolution was adopted by the Public Works Commission at its
eeg ey	
	Commission Affairs Manager Public Works Commission



# **CEQA Exemption Determination**

# PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address			Block/Lot(s)	
1828 EGBERT AVE			5434B005	
Case	Case No.		Permit No.	
2023-003238ENV				
_	ldition/ teration	☐ Demolition (requires HRE for Category B Building)	New Construction	
Project description for Planning Department approval.  San Francisco Public Works, on behalf of the San Francisco Police Department, proposes a series of tenant improvements to the existing SFPD storage facility at 1828 Egbert Street so that it can serve first, temporarily, as a donning and doffing facility during the rehabilitation of the historic Ingleside Police Station, and then as an evidence-and-storage facility. Public Works would undertake interior remodeling of the structure, involving reconfiguration of the existing space, and including any code-mandated upgrades. Proposed work includes, mechanical, electrical, and plumbing (MEP) maintenance, pending assessment, which may include: in-kind replacement of rooftop mechanical equipment; ventilation system upgrades and balancing; fire sprinkler and fire alarm upgrades or modifications; electrical system equipment and service upgrades and installations of photovoltaic panels and battery storage systems; installation of new conduit and wiring; lighting replacement and upgrades; security, data, and phone system installation or modification; and replacement of components of integrated electrical and electronic systems such as alarms, telecommunications, and climate controls. These may necessitate alterations to interior ceilings as part of alterations or replacement of MEP scope. Minor Repair and modification of the building, FULL PROJECT DESCRIPTION ATTACHED				
	The project has been determined to be exempt under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).  Class 1 - Existing Facilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additions under 10,000 sq. ft.			
		onstruction. Up to three new single-family reside e structures; utility extensions; change of use und	-	
	sq. ft. and meets (a) The project is policies as well a (b) The proposes substantially sur (c) The project s (d) Approval of the water quality. (e) The site can FOR ENVIRONM	I Development. New Construction of seven or most the conditions described below: so consistent with the applicable general plan designs with applicable zoning designation and regulated development occurs within city limits on a project rounded by urban uses. It is has no value as habitat for endangered rare or the project would not result in any significant effect the adequately served by all required utilities and particularly served by all required utilities and particularly served by all required utilities.	gnation and all applicable general plan ons. et site of no more than 5 acres threatened species. ets relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or	
	Other			
		Exemption (CEQA Guidelines section 15061(biblity of a significant effect on the environment. For	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

STEP 2: ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING ASSESSMENT TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

	<b>Air Quality:</b> Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone? Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g. use of diesel construction equipment, backup diesel generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks, etc.)? (refer to The Environmental Information tab on the https://sfplanninggis.org/pim/)
	Hazardous Materials: If the project site is located on the Maher map or is suspected of containing hazardous materials (based on a previous use such as gas station, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy manufacturing, or a site with underground storage tanks): Would the project involve 50 cubic yards or more of soil disturbance - or a change of use from industrial to residential?  Note that a categorical exemption shall not be issued for a project located on the Cortese List if box is checked, note below whether the applicant has enrolled in or received a waiver from the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, or if Environmental Planning staff has determined that hazardous material effects would be less than significant. (refer to The Environmental Information tab on the https://sfplanninggis.org/pim/)
	<b>Transportation:</b> Does the project involve a child care facility or school with 30 or more students, or a location 1,500 sq. ft. or greater? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities?
	<b>Archeological Resources:</b> Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? If yes, archeology review is required.
	Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment: Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? (refer to The Environmental Information tab on the https://sfplanningais.org/pim/) If box is checked. Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.
	Average Slope of Parcel = or > 25%, or site is in Edgehill Slope Protection Area or Northwest Mt.  Sutro Slope Protection Area: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) New building construction, except one-story storage or utility occupancy, (2) horizontal additions, if the footprint area increases more than 50%, or (3) horizontal and vertical additions increase more than 500 square feet of new projected roof area? (refer to The Environmental Planning tab on the https://sfplanninggis.org/pim/) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is likely required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.
	Seismic Hazard: Landslide or Liquefaction Hazard Zone:  Does the project involve any of the following: (1) New building construction, except one-story storage or utility occupancy, (2) horizontal additions, if the footprint area increases more than 50%, (3) horizontal and vertical additions increase more than 500 square feet of new projected roof area, or (4) grading performed at a site in the landslide hazard zone? (refer to The Environmental tab on the https://sfplanninggis.org/pim/) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.
Com	ments and Planner Signature (optional): Don Lewis
	planning department's staff archeologist conducted preliminary archeological review on 7/28/2023 and mined that Public Works Standard Archeological Measure I (Discovery during Construction) is required.

# STEP 3: PROPERTY STATUS - HISTORIC RESOURCE TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER PROPERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: (refer to Property Information Map) Category A: Known Historical Resource. GO TO STEP 5. Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 4. Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 6. STEP 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER Check all that apply to the project. 1. Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included. 2. Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building. 3. Window replacement that meets the Department's Window Replacement Standards. Does not include storefront window alterations. 4. Garage work. A new opening that meets the Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts, and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines. 5. Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way. 6. Mechanical equipment installation that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way. 7. **Dormer installation** that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under *Zoning* Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows. 8. Addition(s) that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a П single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features. Note: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding. Project is not listed. GO TO STEP 5. Project does not conform to the scopes of work. GO TO STEP 5. Project involves four or more work descriptions. GO TO STEP 5. Project involves less than four work descriptions. GO TO STEP 6. STEP 5: ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW TO BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER Check all that apply to the project. 1. Reclassification of property status. (Attach HRER Part I) П Reclassify to Category A Reclassify to Category C a. Per HRER (No further historic review) b. Other (specify): 2. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4. 3. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces that do not remove, alter, or obscure character defining features. 4. Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character. 5. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.

	6. <b>Raising the building</b> in a manner that does not remove, alter, features.	or obscure character-defining
	7. <b>Restoration</b> based upon documented evidence of a building's photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.	historic condition, such as historic
	8. Work consistent with the Secretary of the Interior Standards f (Analysis required):	or the Treatment of Historic Properties
	9. <b>Work compatible</b> with a historic district (Analysis required):	
	10. Work that would not materially impair a historic resource (A	attach HRER Part II).
	Note: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Prese	rvation Planner MUST sign below.
	<b>Project can proceed with exemption review</b> . The project has be Preservation Planner and can proceed with exemption review. <b>G</b>	
Comm	ents (optional):	
Preser	vation Planner Signature: Rebecca Salgado	
	EP 6: EXEMPTION DETERMINATION BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER	
	No further environmental review is required. The project is exunusual circumstances that would result in a reasonable poss	
	Project Approval Action:	Signature:
	Planning Commission Hearing	Don Lewis 08/02/2023
	Supporting documents are available for review on the San Francisco Property Information Map, which can be accessed at https://sfplanninggis.org/pim/. Individual files can be viewed by clicking on the Planning Applications link, clicking the "More Details" link under the project's environmental record number (ENV) and then clicking on the "Related Documents" link.  Once signed and dated, this document constitutes an exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31 of the SF Admin Code. Per Chapter 31, an appeal of an exemption determination to the Board of Supervisors shall be filed within 30 days after the Approval Action occurs at a noticed public hearing, or within 30 days after posting	

on the Planning Department's website a written decision or written notice of the Approval Action, if the approval is

not made at a noticed public hearing.

### **Full Project Description**

San Francisco Public Works, on behalf of the San Francisco Police Department, proposes a series of tenant improvements to the existing SFPD storage facility at 1828 Egbert Street so that it can serve first, temporarily, as a donning and doffing facility during the rehabilitation of the historic Ingleside Police Station, and then as an evidence-and-storage facility. Public Works would undertake interior remodeling of the structure, involving reconfiguration of the existing space, and including any code-mandated upgrades. Proposed work includes, mechanical, electrical, and plumbing (MEP) maintenance, pending assessment, which may include: in-kind replacement of rooftop mechanical equipment; ventilation system upgrades and balancing; fire sprinkler and fire alarm upgrades or modifications; electrical system equipment and service upgrades and installations of photovoltaic panels and battery storage systems; installation of new conduit and wiring; lighting replacement and upgrades; security, data, and phone system installation or modification; and replacement of components of integrated electrical and electronic systems such as alarms, telecommunications, and climate controls. These may necessitate alterations to interior ceilings as part of alterations or replacement of MEP scope. Minor Repair and modification of the building, pending assessment, which may include: New or replaced exterior signage; other interior/exterior maintenance including exterior surface repair and repainting; lighting replacements, with associated wiring and minor alterations to architectural finishes; and repair and replacement of windows, exterior doors, and garage entry vehicle doors; and ADA barrier removal including required changes to site walkways, stairs and ramps.

The structure may also require minor alterations to the parcel for improved functionality, including installation of ADA actuator buttons, safety lighting, and any replacement paving. The soil disturbance is isolated to the area of the new sidewalk and the generator pad that will have less than a foot of disturbance. There would be no work related to stormwater management, it will not replace the perimeter fencing and vehicular gates. It is possible that there may be some underground utilities would need to be replaced on-site. The project would require approximately 45 cubic yards of soils disturbance.

### STEP 7: MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT

### TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA.

### **MODIFIED PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

Modif	fied Project Description:		
DE1	TERMINATION IF PROJECT (	CONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION	
Com	pared to the approved project, w	ould the modified project:	
	Result in expansion of the build	ding envelope, as defined in the Planning Code;	
	Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code Sections 311 or 312;		
	Result in demolition as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005(f)?		
	Is any information being presented that was not known and could not have been known at the time of the original determination, that shows the originally approved project may no longer qualify for the exemption?		
If at I	east one of the above boxes is	checked, further environmental review is required	
DET	ERMINATION OF NO SUBSTAN	NTIAL MODIFICATION	
	The proposed modification wo	uld not result in any of the above changes.	
If this box is checked, the proposed modifications are exempt under CEQA, in accordance with prior project approval and no additional environmental review is required. This determination shall be posted on the Planning Department website and office and mailed to the applicant, City approving entities, and anyone requesting written notice. In accordance with Chapter 31, Sec 31.08j of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of this determination can be filed to the Environmental Review Officer within 10 days of posting of this determination.			
Plani	ner Name:	Date:	



49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94103 www.sfplanning.org

# **CEQA Exemption Determination**

# PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address			Block/Lot(s)
1828 EGBERT AVE			5434B005
Case	Case No.		Permit No.
2023-	003238ENV		
Ad	dition/	Demolition (requires HRE for	New
Alt	eration	Category B Building)	Construction
Project description for Planning Department approval.  San Francisco Public Works, on behalf of the San Francisco Police Department, proposes a series of tenant improvements to the existing SFPD storage facility at 1828 Egbert Street so that it can serve first, temporarily, as a donning and doffing facility during the rehabilitation of the historic Ingleside Police Station, and then as an evidence-and-storage facility. Public Works would undertake interior remodeling of the structure, involving reconfiguration of the existing space, and including any code-mandated upgrades. Proposed work includes, mechanical, electrical, and plumbing (MEP) maintenance, pending assessment, which may include: in-kind replacement of rooftop mechanical equipment; ventilation system upgrades and balancing; fire sprinkler and fire alarm upgrades or modifications; electrical system equipment and service upgrades and installations of photovoltaic panels and battery storage systems; installation of new conduit and wiring; lighting replacement and upgrades; security, data, and phone system installation or modification; and replacement of components of integrated electrical and electronic systems such as alarms, telecommunications, and climate controls. These may necessitate alterations to interior ceilings as part of alterations or replacement of MEP scope. Minor Repair and modification of the building,			
	Class 1 - Existing under 10,000 sq.		and exterior alterations; additions
	six dwelling units	onstruction. (CEQA Guidelines section 15303) Up to to in one building; commercial/office structures; utilingipally permitted or with a CU.	-
	additions greater (a) The project is policies as well a (b) The proposed substantially surr (c) The project si (d) Approval of the water quality. (e) The site can be	Development. (CEQA Guidelines section 15332) New than 10,000 sq. ft. and meets the conditions design consistent with the applicable general plan designs with applicable zoning designation and regulation development occurs within city limits on a project counded by urban uses. It is no value as habitat for endangered rare or the project would not result in any significant effective adequately served by all required utilities and project would not result in any significant effective adequately served by all required utilities and project would not result in any significant effective adequately served by all required utilities and project would not result in any significant effective adequately served by all required utilities and project would not result in any significant effective adequately served by all required utilities and project would not result in any significant effective adequately served by all required utilities and project would not result in any significant effective adequately served by all required utilities and project would not result in any significant effective adequately served by all required utilities and project would not result in any significant effective adequately served by all required utilities and project would not result in any significant effective and project would not result in any significant effective and project would not result in any significant effective and project would not result in any significant effective and project would not result in any significant effective and project would not result in any significant effective and project would not result in any significant effective and project would not result in any significant effective and project would not result in any significant effective and project would not result in any significant effective and project would not result in any significant effective and project would not result in any significant effective and project would not result in any significant effective and project would not result in any significant	cribed below: nation and all applicable general plan ons. et site of no more than 5 acres threatened species. s relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or
	Other		
		Exemption (CEQA Guidelines section 15061(b) bility of a significant effect on the environment.	(3)). It can be seen with certainty that

ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING ASSESSMENT			
Comments:			
The planning department's staff archeologist conducted preliminary archeological review on 7/28/2023 and determined that Public Works Standard Archeological Measure I (Discovery during Construction) is required.			
Planner Signature: Don Lewis			
PROPERTY STATUS - HISTORIC RESOURCE			
PROPERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:			
Category A: Known Historical Resource.			
Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age).			
Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age).			
PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST			
Check all that apply to the project.			
Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included.			
Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building.			
Window replacement that meets the Department's Window Replacement Standards.			
Garage work. A new opening that meets the <i>Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts</i> , or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines.			
Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.			
Mechanical equipment installation that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.			
Dormer installation that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under Zoning Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows.			
Addition(s) not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; or does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure, or does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features.			
Façade or storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character -defining features.			
Restoration based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.			
Note: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding.			
Project is not listed.			

Project involves scope of work listed above.

# ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW

Check all that apply to the project.		
	Reclassification of property status. (Attach HRER Part I relevant Planner approval)	t analysis; requires Principal Preservation
	Reclassify to Category A	Reclassify to Category C
		Lacks Historic Integrity
		Lacks Historic Significance
	Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A	N)
	Project does not substantially impact character-defining features	of a historic resource (see Comments)
	Project is compatible, yet differentiated, with a historic resource.	
	Project consistent with the Secretary of the Interior Standards for	the Treatment of Historic Properties
	Note: If ANY box above is checked, a Preservatio	n Planner MUST sign below.
	<b>Project can proceed with EXEMPTION REVIEW</b> . The project hat Preservation Planner and can proceed with exemption review.	s been reviewed by the
Comm	ents by Preservation Planner:	
Preser	vation Planner Signature: Rebecca Salgado	
EXE	MPTION DETERMINATION	
	No further environmental review is required. The project is excunusual circumstances that would result in a reasonable poss	=
	Project Approval Action:	Signature:
	Planning Commission Hearing	Don Lewis
		08/02/2023
	Supporting documents are available for review on the San Francisco Praccessed at <a href="https://sfplanninggis.org/pim/">https://sfplanninggis.org/pim/</a> . Individual files can be viewed link, clicking the "More Details" link under the project's environmental re	by clicking on the Planning Applications
	the "Related Documents" link.  Once signed and dated, this document constitutes an exemption pursua	nt to CEQA Guidelines and chapter 31 of
	the San Francisco Administrative Code. Per chapter 31, an appeal of an	exemption determination to the Board of
	Supervisors shall be filed within 30 days after the approval action occurs days after posting on the planning department's website (	

### **Full Project Description**

San Francisco Public Works, on behalf of the San Francisco Police Department, proposes a series of tenant improvements to the existing SFPD storage facility at 1828 Egbert Street so that it can serve first, temporarily, as a donning and doffing facility during the rehabilitation of the historic Ingleside Police Station, and then as an evidence-and-storage facility. Public Works would undertake interior remodeling of the structure, involving reconfiguration of the existing space, and including any code-mandated upgrades. Proposed work includes, mechanical, electrical, and plumbing (MEP) maintenance, pending assessment, which may include: in-kind replacement of rooftop mechanical equipment; ventilation system upgrades and balancing; fire sprinkler and fire alarm upgrades or modifications; electrical system equipment and service upgrades and installations of photovoltaic panels and battery storage systems; installation of new conduit and wiring; lighting replacement and upgrades; security, data, and phone system installation or modification; and replacement of components of integrated electrical and electronic systems such as alarms, telecommunications, and climate controls. These may necessitate alterations to interior ceilings as part of alterations or replacement of MEP scope. Minor Repair and modification of the building, pending assessment, which may include: New or replaced exterior signage; other interior/exterior maintenance including exterior surface repair and repainting; lighting replacements, with associated wiring and minor alterations to architectural finishes; and repair and replacement of windows, exterior doors, and garage entry vehicle doors; and ADA barrier removal including required changes to site walkways, stairs and ramps.

The structure may also require minor alterations to the parcel for improved functionality, including installation of ADA actuator buttons, safety lighting, and any replacement paving. The soil disturbance is isolated to the area of the new sidewalk and the generator pad that will have less than a foot of disturbance. There would be no work related to stormwater management, it will not replace the perimeter fencing and vehicular gates. It is possible that there may be some underground utilities would need to be replaced on-site. The project would require approximately 45 cubic yards of soils disturbance.

Modified Project Description (9/18/2024) - The modified project includes the installation of an emergency generator.

### **MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT**

### TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA.

# MODIFIED PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The modified project includes the installation of an emergency generator. The generator would be a Kohler 300REOJZ diesel generator rated at 300 KW. The generator would operate once per month, for testing and maintenance purposes, and whenever there is a power outage. The monthly testing would be a minimum of 30 minutes in accordance with NFPA. The generator would rest on a new concrete pad approximately 44' long by 19' deep, on the south side of the building, facing Egbert Avenue. Surrounding the generator would be an acoustic barrier wall 18' high from the top of the concrete pad. The acoustic barrier wall would attenuate the noise when the
generator is operating. The acoustic barrier wall would be supported by wide flange steel columns spaced approximately 7' apart. Spanning between the columns would be 4" thick metal panels that are perforated on the inside face and solid on the outside face. The metal panels are factory finished with a coating of a neutral color. The steel columns would be painted to match the panels.

### **DETERMINATION IF PROJECT CONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION**

Compared to the approved project, would the modified project:		
	Result in expansion of the building envelope, as defined in the Planning Code;	
	Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code Sections 311 or 312;	
	Result in demolition as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005(f)?	
	Is any information being presented that was not known and could not have been known at the time of the original determination, that shows the originally approved project may no longer qualify for the exemption?	
If at least one of the above boxes is checked, further environmental review is required		
DETERMINATION OF NO SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION		

The proposed modification wou	uld not result in any of the above changes.		
If this box is checked, the proposed modifications are exempt under CEQA, in accordance with prior project approval and no additional environmental review is required. This determination shall be posted on the Planning Department website and office and mailed to the applicant, City approving entities, and anyone requesting written notice. In accordance with Chapter 31, Sec 31.08j of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of this determination can be filed to the Environmental Review Officer within 10 days of posting of this determination.			
Planner Name:	Date:		
Don Lewis	09/18/2024		