

Meeting Date: November 4, 2022

To: Public Works Commission

Lauren Post, Chair Fady Zoubi, Vice Chair Lynne Newhouse Segal

Paul Woolford

Through: Carla Short, Interim Public Works Director

Ronald Alameida, Acting Deputy Director & City Architect

From: Lisa Zhuo, Project Manager

Subject: 911 Call Center Renovation – Award Construction Contract

Director's Recommendation: Award Contract #1000027188, 911 Call Center Renovation - ESER Bond 2020 in the amount of \$3,395,000 for 360 consecutive calendar days to Buhler Commercial (Buhler) to perform an interior renovation of the 911 Call Center at 1011 Turk Street.

Contract Background: San Francisco's 911 call center (911 Call Center) is operated and managed by the Department of Emergency Management and located on the 2nd floor of 1011 Turk Street and houses public safety dispatchers who answer all calls made to 911. These dispatchers are the initial point of contact for all of San Francisco's first responders, 24 hours a day. They serve as the communications hub that dispatches first responders to the scene of accidents, crimes, fires, and other emergency situations as well as non-emergency situations.

The 911 Call Center is one of the top 25 busiest 911 call centers in the United States and receives an average of 3,700 calls each day. Since 2011, the 911 Call Center has experienced a 43 percent increase in 9-1-1 call volume. It is critical that the dispatch center can answer all calls for emergency and non-emergency service quickly.

Based on projected growth in 911 call volume, a 20 percent increase in 911 workstations is needed to keep pace with call volume demand and to meet national standards. The renovation of the 911 Call Center is necessary to increase the number of dispatcher workstations and to reconfigure the supervisor bridge to allow better visual oversight of all the dispatchers.

On March 03, 2020, San Francisco voters approved the \$628.5M Earthquake Safety and Emergency Response (ESER) Bond 2020 to fund seismic upgrades and much needed improvements to aging first responder facilities and capital infrastructure, including the 911 Call Center. The ESER 2020 Bond consists of five components with the following approved budgets:

| ESER 2020 BOND COMPONENTS | BUDGET |
|---|-----------------|
| Emergency Firefighting Water System | \$153.5 million |
| Neighborhood Fire Stations & Support Facilities | \$275 million |
| Police Facilities | \$121 million |
| Disaster Response Facilities | \$70 million |
| 911 Call Center | \$9 million |
| Total | \$628.5 million |

Solicitation Process: The project is a design-bid-build project. The project was advertised on May 26, 2022; on June 29, 2022 the department received three (3) bids (see bid tabulation in the attachment). Rubecon General Contracting Inc. was the apparent lowest bidder, however, on October 14, 2022 Public Works determined Rubecon was a non-responsible bidder. Buhler was the second lowest bidder. Staff reviewed Buhler's bid and determined Buhler to be the responsible bidder with the lowest responsive bid.

Contract Details

| Contract Title: | 911 Call Center Renovation – ESER Bond 2020 |
|---|--|
| Contract Award Amount: | \$3,395,000 |
| Cost Estimate: | \$4,390,000 |
| Contract Funding Sources: | Earthquake Safety and Emergency Response (ESER) Bond - 2020 |
| Anticipated Project Schedule: | Anticipated Notice to Proceed: December 2022 Substantial Completion: October 2023 Final Completion: December 2023 |
| Contract Duration: | 360 consecutive calendar days |
| Contractor Name: | Buhler Commercial |
| Compliance with Chapter 14B Local Business Enterprise Ordinance: | A 20% LBE Sub-Contracting participation requirement was established by the Contractor Monitoring Division (CMD); Buhler Commercial's bid exceeds the 20% requirement and commits to achieve 23.94% in LBE-Sub-Contracting participation. |
| Environmental Determination (if applicable): | Categorically Exempt (Class 1, Existing Facilities) as determined by the Board of Supervisors (Resolution No. 280-19, approved June 11, 2019), in accordance with the Planning Department's written determination dated May 3, 2019 (Planning Department File No. 2019-005262ENV). |

| Other Compliance: | Office interior tenant improvement (no ground/street level); exempt from Planning Dept Review per DBI IS G-20 |
|-------------------------|---|
| Additional Information: | N/A |
| Attachments: | Attachment A: Bid Tabulation Attachment B: Non-Responsible Determination Attachment C: Contract Monitoring Division (CMD) Memo Attachment D: CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination |

PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

RESOLUTION NO. 2022-

WHEREAS, On June 11, 2019, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors ("Board") approved Resolution No. 280-19, determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the construction, acquisition, improvement, renovation, and seismic retrofitting of disaster response facilities and infrastructure for earthquake and public safety, including the Department of Emergency Management's 911 Call Center located at 1011 Turk Street ("Call Center Project"), and other and related costs necessary or convenient for the foregoing, and adopting findings including that the Call Center Project is exempt from environmental review under a Class 1 Categorical Exemption, as determined by the Environmental Review Officer in the Planning Department pursuant to a written determination dated May 3, 2019, and contained in Planning Department File No. 2019-005262ENV; and

WHEREAS, On July 9, 2019, the Board finally passed Ordinance No. 159-19, calling and providing for a special election to be held on March 3, 2020, for the purpose of submitting to San Francisco voters a proposition ("Proposition B") to incur the following bonded debt of the City and County: \$628,500,000 to finance the construction, acquisition, improvement, renovation, and seismic retrofitting of disaster response facilities and infrastructure for earthquake and public safety, including the Call Center Project, and other and related costs necessary or convenient for the foregoing purposes; and

WHEREAS, On March 3, 2020, San Francisco voters approved Proposition B, authorizing the issuance of the Earthquake Safety & Emergency Response Bond, 2020 ("2020 ESER Bond"); and

WHEREAS, Following the sale of various series of the 2020 ESER Bond, Bond proceeds are available to finance the completion the Call Center Project; and

WHEREAS, On April 26, 2021, San Francisco Public Works ("Public Works") entered into an agreement with the San Francisco Department of Emergency Management ("DEM") to manage the design and construction of the Call Center Project; and

WHEREAS, On January 6, 2022, Public Works submitted a construction permit application for the Call Center Project to the San Francisco Department of Building Inspection; the application was approved in April 2022; and

WHEREAS, On May 26, 2022, Public Works advertised the ESER Bond 2020 contract for the Call Center Project; and

WHEREAS, The Engineer's estimated construction cost for the Call Center Project is \$4,390,000; and

WHEREAS, The proposed contract duration is 360 consecutive calendar days to achieve final construction completion; and

WHEREAS, On June 29, 2022, Public Works received and opened publicly three (3) contract bids; and

WHEREAS, Public Works staff and Contract Monitoring Division ("CMD") staff have reviewed the bids and have determined that Buhler Commercial is the responsible bidder with the lowest responsive bid; and

WHEREAS, CMD established a Local Business Enterprise ("LBE") subcontracting participation requirement of 20% for this contract; and

WHEREAS, Buhler Commercial has committed to an LBE subcontractor participation of 23.94% in its bid submittal; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That this Commission hereby approves the award of Contract #1000027188, 911 Call Center Renovation – ESER Bond 2020, in the amount of \$3,395,000, with a duration of 360 consecutive calendar days to achieve final construction completion, to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder, Buhler Commercial.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Public Works Commission at its meeting of November 4, 2022.

Commission Affairs Manager, Public Works Commission

City and County of San Francisco Department of Public Works TABULATION OF BIDS

Sourcing ID: 0000006932

Contract Title: PW 911 CALL CNTR RENO

Full Title: 911 CALL CENTER RENOVATION - ESER BOND 2020

Bids Received: June 29, 2022

| BIDDERS (in the order received & opened): | LBE Status Claimed | Base Bid Price | Alternate 1 | Alternate 2 | Total Bid Price |
|---|---------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Rubecon General Contracting, Inc. | Micro-LBE 10% | \$2,832,100.00 | \$81,800.00 | \$27,600.00 | \$2,941,500.00 |
| CWS Construction Group Inc. | N/A | \$3,970,000.00 | \$97,000.00 | \$45,000.00 | \$4,112,000.00 |
| Buhler Commercial | Micro-LBE 10% | \$3,255,000.00 | \$95,000.00 | \$45,000.00 | \$3,395,000.00 |
| | Average Bid: | \$3,352,366.67 | \$91,266.67 | \$39,200.00 | \$3,482,833.33 |
| | Engineer's Estimate: | \$4,200,000.00 | 140,000.00 | 50,000.00 | \$4,390,000.00 |
| | % of Engineer's Estimate: | 80% | 65% | 78% | 79% |
| % of Engineer's Estim | nate vs. Low Bid Received | 67% | 58% | 55% | 67% |

The following announcement was made prior to bid opening: "The Construction Budget for this Project is \$4,200,000, and the priority of alternates is as follows: 1, 2"

= Indicates a correction of the bid price after review.

cc: Lisa Zhuo Carla Short Ronald Alameida All Bidders

Richard Gee Ivan Oldenkamp Regina Chan Jason Chin Ken Nim Albert Ko Julia Laue Chris Vergara Pansy Lam

For complete subcontractor listings, check: https://bidopportunities.apps.sfdpw.org/CaseLoad/Details/2368

Reviewed By: Robert Loftus Ben Washington
(Insert Name) (Insert Name)

Sourcing ID: Short Title: 0000006932

PW 911 CALL CNTR RENO

Full Title: 911 CALL CENTER RENOVATION - ESER BOND 2020

Bid Date: June 29, 2022

| | Rubecon General Contracting, Inc. | CWS Construction Group Inc. | Buhler Commercial |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| BID ITEMS | EXTENSION | EXTENSION | EXTENSION |
| 1 | \$2,677,400.00 | \$3,855,000.00 | \$3,090,000.00 |
| 2 | \$139,700.00 | \$100,000.00 | \$150,000.00 |
| 3 | \$15,000.00 | \$15,000.00 | \$15,000.00 |
| BASE BID PRICE: | \$2,832,100.00 | \$3,970,000.00 | \$3,255,000.00 |
| ALT-1 | \$81,800.00 | \$97,000.00 | \$95,000.00 |
| ALT-2 | \$27,600.00 | \$45,000.00 | \$45,000.00 |
| TOTAL BID PRICE: | \$2,941,500.00 | \$4,112,000.00 | \$3,395,000.00 |

⁼ Indicates a correction of the bid price after review.



Carla Short, Interim Director | Director's Office

carla.short@sfdpw.org | T. 628.271.3078 | 49 South Van Ness Ave. Suite 1600, San Francisco, CA 94103

VIA EMAIL ONLY: office@rubecon.com

October 14, 2022

Rubecon General Contracting, Inc. 3450 3rd Street, Building 1B San Francisco, CA 94124

Attn: Ruben A. Santana – President

Subject: Sourcing ID No. 0000006932

911 Call Center Renovation – ESER Bond 2020 Final Determination of Non-Responsibility

Dear Mr. Santana:

The City and County of San Francisco ("City") has received Rubecon General Contracting, Inc.'s ("Rubecon") response to the City's Preliminary Determination of Non-Responsibility letter, sent October 6, 2022. Rubecon's response confirms that Rubecon is no longer pursuing the contract award for the above-referenced project. As a result, the City has made a final determination that Rubecon is non-responsible.

Yours truly,

DocuSigned by:

Bruce Robertson

10/14/2022 | 4:40:06 PM PDT

Bruce Robertson

Deputy Director, Finance & Administration

San Francisco Public Works

cc: Lisa Zhuo – Project Manager Ivan Oldenkamp – Contract Monitoring Division Yadira Taylor – Deputy City Attorney



CONTRACT MONITORING DIVISION OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR



London N. Breed, Mayor Carmen Chu, City Administrator

Romulus Asenloo, Director

MEMORANDUM

Date: October 7, 2022

To: Ben Washington, SF Public Works

Lisa Zhuo, SF Public Works

From: Ivan Oldenkamp, Contract Monitoring Division

Subject: Sourcing ID: 0000006932 911 Call Center ESER 2020 Bond – CMD Review

Memo REVISED

The Contract Monitoring Division ("CMD") has reviewed the bids submitted for the above referenced project, applied the appropriate bid discounts, and determined responsiveness to the Chapter 14B pre-award requirements. An LBE subcontracting requirement of 20% was established for this project. Below is a summary of CMD's review.

SF Public Works made the following announcement before bid opening: "The Construction Budget for this Project is \$4,200,000.00, and the priority of alternates is as follows: 1, 2."

In the bid instructions, SF Public Works also stated that:

If the Total Bid Price of the responsible Bidder who submits the lowest responsive Bid does not exceed the construction budget amount, then the Contract will be awarded to the responsible Bidder who submits the lowest responsive Bid and whose Total Bid Price and greatest number of additive alternates selected by the City in descending order of priority do not exceed the construction budget amount.

| Bidder | LBE Status | Total Bid with Alternates | LBE Bid Discount | Adjusted Bid with Bid Discount |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Rubecon Builders* | SF LBE - MBE (Micro) | \$2,941,500.00 | 10% | \$2,647,350.00 |
| CWS Construction Group Inc. | Non-LBE | \$4,112,000.00 | 0% | \$4,112,000.00 |
| Buhler Commercial | SF LBE - OBE (Micro) | \$3,395,000.00 | 10% | \$3,055,500.00 |

*Rubecon Builders was determined by Public Works to be non-responsible.

Buhler Commercial ("Buhler") is the lowest responsive bidder. Buhler satisfied the Good Faith Outreach requirement by exceeding the subcontractor participation requirement by counting its own participation as an LBE prime contractor.

Buhler met the 20% LBE subcontracting requirement by listing the following LBE firms for the base bid items:

| LBE Subcontractor | Scope of Work | Status | Percent LBE | Listed Amount | Amount Credited | Percent of Work |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| McKee & Company Electric | Electrical | SF LBE - MBE (Micro) | 100% | \$729,739.00 | \$729,739.00 | 22.42% |
| Bacon Plumbing | Plumbing | SF LBE - OBE (Small) | 100% | \$49,990.00 | \$49,490.00* | 1.52% |
| | Base Bid: | \$3,255,000.00 | TOTAL | \$779,729.00 | \$779,229.00 | 23.94% |

^{*}Bacon Plumbing indicated on CMD Form 6 that they will further subcontract out \$500 of work to Powell's Coring & Cutting, a non-LBE firm.

Buhler has also listed the following non-LBE subcontractors for base bid items:

| Non-LBE Subcontractor | Scope of Work | Status | Listed Amount |
|------------------------|------------------------------|---------|------------------|
| Conflo | Demo | Non-LBE | \$92,705 |
| James Hewatt Inc. | Metals | Non-LBE | \$262,000 |
| BK-Mills | Millwork | Non-LBE | \$48,195 |
| Heritage Doors | DFH | Non-LBE | \$62,377 |
| Glass & Sash | Glass & Glazing | Non-LBE | \$32,492 |
| Cal West Acoustic | Acoustical ceiling | Non-LBE | \$76,255 |
| DS Baxley | Flooring | Non-LBE | \$97,780 |
| Valdez Painting | Painting | Non-LBE | \$45,482 |
| Service Metal Products | Restroom accessories/lockers | Non-LBE | \$43,910 |
| Battalion One | Fire sprinklers | Non-LBE | \$24,627 |
| Aire Sheet Metal | HVAC | Non-LBE | \$154,200 |
| | | TOTAL | \$940,023.00 |

Buhler listed the following LBE firms for Alternate bid items:

| LBE Subcontractor | Scope of Work | Status | Percent LBE | Listed Amount |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| McKee & Company Electric | Electrical Alternates #1 and #2 | SF LBE - MBE (Micro) | 100% | \$13,611 |

Buhler has also listed the following non-LBE subcontractors for alternate bid items:

| Non-LBE Subcontractor | Scope of Work | Status | Listed Amount |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|------------------|
| Conflo Services | Demo | Non-LBE | \$13,185 |
| Heritage Doors | DFH | Non-LBE | \$7,500 |
| Cal West Acoustics | Acoustic ceiling Alternates #1 and #2 | Non-LBE | \$9,150 |
| DS Baxley | Flooring | Non-LBE | \$6,372 |
| Valdez Painting | Painting | Non-LBE | \$3,748 |
| Aire Sheet Metal | HVAC | Non-LBE | \$31,000 |

Based on the foregoing, CMD has determined that Buhler Commercial has complied with the Chapter 14B pre-award requirements. Should you have any questions, please contact Ivan Oldenkamp at ivan.oldenkamp@sfgov.org

CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination

PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

| Project Address | | | Block/Lot(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| DPW: 1011 Turk Street | | | | | | |
| Case No. | | | Permit No. | | | |
| 2019-005262ENV | | | | | | |
| Addition/ Demolition (requires HRE for | | Demolition (requires HRE for | New | | | |
| Alt | eration | Category B Building) | Construction | | | |
| · - | Project description for Planning Department approval. | | | | | |
| | | renovate the second floor of the existing Departure of the proposed to provide tenant improvements to | | | | |
| ٠, | gency-dispatch service | | ennance the operational eniciency of | | | |
| | ,,p | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| STE | P 1: EXEMPTION | CLASS | | | | |
| | | CLASS ies, an <i>Environmental Evaluation Applicati</i> o | n is required.* | | | |
| | : If neither class appl | | <u> </u> | | | |
| | : If neither class appl Class 1 - Existing Fa | ies, an Environmental Evaluation Application acilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additionation truction. Up to three new single-family residen | ions under 10,000 sq. ft. | | | |
| *Note | : If neither class appl Class 1 - Existing Fa | ies, an Environmental Evaluation Application acilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additionation truction. Up to three new single-family resider /office structures; utility extensions; change of | ions under 10,000 sq. ft. | | | |
| *Note | Class 1 - Existing Factors Class 3 - New Cons building; commercial permitted or with a C | ies, an Environmental Evaluation Application acilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additionation truction. Up to three new single-family resider /office structures; utility extensions; change of | ions under 10,000 sq. ft. nces or six dwelling units in one use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally | | | |
| *Note | Class 3 - New Cons building; commercial permitted or with a C Class 32 - In-Fill De 10,000 sq. ft. and m | ies, an Environmental Evaluation Application acilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additional truction. Up to three new single-family resident /office structures; utility extensions; change of SU. velopment. New Construction of seven or more eets the conditions described below: | ions under 10,000 sq. ft. nces or six dwelling units in one use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally re units or additions greater than | | | |
| *Note | Class 3 - New Cons building; commercial permitted or with a C Class 32 - In-Fill De 10,000 sq. ft. and m (a) The project is co | ies, an Environmental Evaluation Application acilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additionation acilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additionation acilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additionation acilities. Interior and exterior alterations; change of acilities acidities acidi | ions under 10,000 sq. ft. inces or six dwelling units in one use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally re units or additions greater than nation and all applicable general plan | | | |
| *Note | Class 3 - New Cons building; commercial permitted or with a C Class 32 - In-Fill De 10,000 sq. ft. and m (a) The project is co policies as well as w | ies, an Environmental Evaluation Application acilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additional truction. Up to three new single-family resident /office structures; utility extensions; change of SU. velopment. New Construction of seven or more eets the conditions described below: | ions under 10,000 sq. ft. inces or six dwelling units in one use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally re units or additions greater than nation and all applicable general plan ons. | | | |
| *Note | Class 3 - New Cons building; commercial permitted or with a C Class 32 - In-Fill De 10,000 sq. ft. and m (a) The project is co policies as well as w (b) The proposed de substantially surrour | ies, an Environmental Evaluation Application acilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additionation truction. Up to three new single-family resident office structures; utility extensions; change of acilities. New Construction of seven or more eets the conditions described below: Insistent with the applicable general plan designificable zoning designation and regulation evelopment occurs within city limits on a project and of the project and | ions under 10,000 sq. ft. Inces or six dwelling units in one use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally The units or additions greater than Ination and all applicable general plantons. It site of no more than 5 acres | | | |
| *Note | Class 3 - New Consbuilding; commercial permitted or with a Class 32 - In-Fill De 10,000 sq. ft. and m (a) The project is copolicies as well as w (b) The proposed de substantially surrour (c) The project site h | ies, an Environmental Evaluation Application acilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additicatruction. Up to three new single-family resident for fice structures; utility extensions; change of actuary. velopment. New Construction of seven or more eets the conditions described below: Insistent with the applicable general plan designith applicable zoning designation and regulation evelopment occurs within city limits on a project and by urban uses. | ions under 10,000 sq. ft. inces or six dwelling units in one use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally re units or additions greater than nation and all applicable general plan ons. t site of no more than 5 acres threatened species. | | | |
| *Note | Class 3 - New Consbuilding; commercial permitted or with a Class 32 - In-Fill De 10,000 sq. ft. and m (a) The project is copolicies as well as w (b) The proposed de substantially surrour (c) The project site h | ies, an Environmental Evaluation Application acilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additionation truction. Up to three new single-family resident office structures; utility extensions; change of acilities. New Construction of seven or more eets the conditions described below: Insistent with the applicable general plan designificable zoning designation and regulation evelopment occurs within city limits on a project and of the project and | ions under 10,000 sq. ft. inces or six dwelling units in one use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally re units or additions greater than nation and all applicable general plan ons. t site of no more than 5 acres threatened species. | | | |
| *Note | Class 3 - New Consbuilding; commercial permitted or with a Class 32 - In-Fill De 10,000 sq. ft. and m (a) The project is copolicies as well as w (b) The proposed de substantially surrour (c) The project site h (d) Approval of the pwater quality. | ies, an Environmental Evaluation Application acilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additicatruction. Up to three new single-family resident for fice structures; utility extensions; change of actuary. velopment. New Construction of seven or more eets the conditions described below: Insistent with the applicable general plan designith applicable zoning designation and regulation evelopment occurs within city limits on a project and by urban uses. | ions under 10,000 sq. ft. inces or six dwelling units in one use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally re units or additions greater than nation and all applicable general plan ons. t site of no more than 5 acres threatened species. s relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or | | | |
| *Note | Class 1 - Existing Factorial Class 1 - Existing Factorial Class 3 - New Consider building; commercial permitted or with a Class 32 - In-Fill De 10,000 sq. ft. and m (a) The project is copolicies as well as w (b) The proposed desubstantially surrour (c) The project site for (d) Approval of the proposed | ies, an Environmental Evaluation Application acilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additiculturation. Up to three new single-family resident /office structures; utility extensions; change of SU. velopment. New Construction of seven or more ests the conditions described below: Insistent with the applicable general plan designith applicable zoning designation and regulation evelopment occurs within city limits on a project would not result in any significant effects for endangered rare or project would not result in any significant effects. | ions under 10,000 sq. ft. inces or six dwelling units in one use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally re units or additions greater than nation and all applicable general plan ons. t site of no more than 5 acres threatened species. s relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or | | | |
| *Note | Class 1 - Existing Factorial Class 1 - Existing Factorial Class 3 - New Construction Suilding; commercial permitted or with a Class 32 - In-Fill De 10,000 sq. ft. and m (a) The project is copolicies as well as w (b) The proposed desubstantially surrour (c) The project site h (d) Approval of the pactorial control of the | ies, an Environmental Evaluation Application acilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additionation acilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additionationation acilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additionationation and resident aciditional acidities are acidities. Interior and extensions; change of acidities are acidities and project acidities are acidities. Interior acidities are acidities and project would not result in any significant effects adequately served by all required utilities and project acidities. | ions under 10,000 sq. ft. inces or six dwelling units in one use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally re units or additions greater than nation and all applicable general plan ons. t site of no more than 5 acres threatened species. s relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or | | | |
| *Note | Class 1 - Existing Factorial Class 1 - Existing Factorial Class 3 - New Consider building; commercial permitted or with a Class 32 - In-Fill De 10,000 sq. ft. and m (a) The project is copolicies as well as w (b) The proposed desubstantially surrour (c) The project site for (d) Approval of the proposed | ies, an Environmental Evaluation Application acilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additionation acilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additionationation acilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additionationation and resident aciditional acidities are acidities. Interior and extensions; change of acidities are acidities and project acidities are acidities. Interior acidities are acidities and project would not result in any significant effects adequately served by all required utilities and project acidities. | ions under 10,000 sq. ft. inces or six dwelling units in one use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally re units or additions greater than nation and all applicable general plan ons. t site of no more than 5 acres threatened species. s relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or | | | |

STEP 2: CEQA IMPACTS

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

| If any b | ox is checked below, an Environmental Evaluation Application is required. |
|----------|--|
| | Air Quality: Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone? Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g., backup diesel generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks, etc.)? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Air Pollution Exposure Zone) |
| | Hazardous Materials: If the project site is located on the Maher map or is suspected of containing hazardous materials (based on a previous use such as gas station, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy manufacturing, or a site with underground storage tanks): Would the project involve 50 cubic yards or more of soil disturbance - or a change of use from industrial to residential? If yes, this box must be checked and the project applicant must submit an Environmental Application with a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment. Exceptions: do not check box if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, a DPH waiver from the Maher program, or other documentation from Environmental Planning staff that hazardous material effects would be less than significant (refer to EP_ArcMap > Maher layer). |
| | Transportation: Does the project create six (6) or more net new parking spaces or residential units? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities? |
| | Archeological Resources: Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Archeological Sensitive Area) |
| | Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment: Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography) |
| | Slope = or > 20%: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required. |
| | Seismic: Landslide Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required. |
| | Seismic: Liquefaction Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones) If box is checked, a geotechnical report will likely be required. |
| | boxes are checked above, GO TO STEP 3. If one or more boxes are checked above, an ronmental Evaluation Application is required, unless reviewed by an Environmental Planner. |
| Com | ments and Planner Signature (optional): Laura Lynch |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

STEP 3: PROPERTY STATUS - HISTORIC RESOURCE TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER PROPERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: (refer to Parcel Information Map) Category A: Known Historical Resource. GO TO STEP 5. Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 4. Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 6. STEP 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER Check all that apply to the project. 1. Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included. 2. Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building. 3. Window replacement that meets the Department's Window Replacement Standards. Does not include storefront window alterations. 4. Garage work. A new opening that meets the Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts, and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines. 5. Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way. 6. Mechanical equipment installation that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way. 7. Dormer installation that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under Zoning Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows. 8. Addition(s) that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a П single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features. Note: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding. Project is not listed. GO TO STEP 5. Project does not conform to the scopes of work. GO TO STEP 5. Project involves four or more work descriptions. GO TO STEP 5. Project involves less than four work descriptions. GO TO STEP 6. STEP 5: CEQA IMPACTS - ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER Check all that apply to the project. 1. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4. 2. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces. 3. Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character. 4. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.

5. Raising the building in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining

6. Restoration based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic

中文詢問請電: 415.575.9010

Para información en Español llamar al: 415.575.9010

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.

features.

| | 7. Addition(s) , including mechanical equipment that are minimally visible from a public right-of-way and meet the <i>Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation</i> . | | | | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | 8. Other work consistent with the Secretary of the Interpretary | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Other work that would not materially impair a historic district (specify or add comments): | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | (Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Preservation Coordinator) | | | | | |
| | 10. Reclassification of property status. (Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Preservation | | | | | |
| | Reclassify to Category A | Reclass | sify to Category C | | | |
| | a. Per HRER dated (a | attach HRE | ER) | | | |
| | b. Other (specify): | | | | | |
| | Note: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Preservation Planner MUST check one box below. | | | | | |
| | Further environmental review required. Based on the information provided, the project requires an Environmental Evaluation Application to be submitted. GO TO STEP 6. | | | | | |
| | • • | | | | | |
| | Project can proceed with categorical exemption revi | iew. The pr | roject has been reviewed by the | | | |
| Comm | Project can proceed with categorical exemption revi | iew. The pr | roject has been reviewed by the | | | |
| Comm | Project can proceed with categorical exemption revi Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical | iew. The pr | roject has been reviewed by the | _ | | |
| | Project can proceed with categorical exemption revi Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical | iew. The pr | roject has been reviewed by the | | | |
| Preser STE | Project can proceed with categorical exemption review Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical ents (optional): | iew. The pr | roject has been reviewed by the | | | |
| Preser STE | Project can proceed with categorical exemption review Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical ents (optional): Evation Planner Signature: EP 6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMIN BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER Further environmental review required. Proposed pro | iew. The professional development of the profession of the profess | roject has been reviewed by the n review. GO TO STEP 6. | | | |
| Preser STE | Project can proceed with categorical exemption review Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical ents (optional): Provident (optional): Project can proceed with categorical exemption Planner Signature: EP 6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMIN BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER | iew. The professional development of the profession of the profess | roject has been reviewed by the n review. GO TO STEP 6. | | | |
| Preser STE | Project can proceed with categorical exemption review Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical ents (optional): Evation Planner Signature: EP 6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMIN BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER Further environmental review required. Proposed proceed (check all that apply): Step 2 - CEQA Impacts Step 5 - Advanced Historical Review | iew. The professional latest does in the profession of the profess | roject has been reviewed by the n review. GO TO STEP 6. | | | |
| Preser STE | Project can proceed with categorical exemption review Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical tents (optional): Evation Planner Signature: EP 6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMINGS COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER Further environmental review required. Proposed professed in that apply): Step 2 - CEQA Impacts Step 5 - Advanced Historical Review STOP! Must file an Environmental Evaluation Application | ATION Dject does | roject has been reviewed by the n review. GO TO STEP 6. | | | |
| Preser STE | Project can proceed with categorical exemption review Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical ents (optional): Evation Planner Signature: EP 6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMIN BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER Further environmental review required. Proposed proceed (check all that apply): Step 2 - CEQA Impacts Step 5 - Advanced Historical Review | ATION Dject does | roject has been reviewed by the n review. GO TO STEP 6. not meet scopes of work in either regorically exempt under CEQA. | | | |
| Preser STE | Project can proceed with categorical exemption review Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical tents (optional): Project can proceed with categorical exemption Planner and can proceed with categorical tents (optional): Project can proceed with categorical exemption review required. Project can proceed with categorical exemption proceed with categorical exemption. Project can proceed with categorical exemption review sequired. Project can proceed with categorical exemption proceed with categorical exemption. Project can proceed with categorical exemption review required. Proposed proceed with categorical exemption. Project can proceed with categorical exemption review required. Proposed proceed with categorical exemption. Project can proceed with categorical exemption review required. Proposed proceed proceed with categorical exemption review required. Proposed proceed proceed with categorical exemption review required. Proposed proceed proc | ATION Dject does | roject has been reviewed by the n review. GO TO STEP 6. not meet scopes of work in either regorically exempt under CEQA. sonable possibility of a significant Signature: | | | |
| Preser STE | Project can proceed with categorical exemption review Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical ents (optional): Evation Planner Signature: EP 6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMING BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER Further environmental review required. Proposed profection of the profession of the professio | ATION Dject does | roject has been reviewed by the n review. GO TO STEP 6. not meet scopes of work in either regorically exempt under CEQA. sonable possibility of a significant Signature: Laura Lynch | | | |
| Preser STE | Project can proceed with categorical exemption review Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical ents (optional): Evation Planner Signature: EP 6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMIN BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER Further environmental review required. Proposed proceed (check all that apply): Step 2 - CEQA Impacts Step 5 - Advanced Historical Review STOP! Must file an Environmental Evaluation Application No further environmental review is required. The proceed There are no unusual circumstances that would rest effect. Project Approval Action: Voter approval of ESER Bond | ATION Dject does cation. Dject is catult in a rea | roject has been reviewed by the n review. GO TO STEP 6. not meet scopes of work in either regorically exempt under CEQA. sonable possibility of a significant Signature: Laura Lynch 05/03/2019 | | | |

STEP 7: MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA.

PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

| Proje | ct Address (If different than fron | Block/Lot(s) (If different than front page) | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| DPW: 1011 Turk Street | | | 1 | | | | |
| Case No. | | Previous Building Permit No. | New Building Permit No. | | | | |
| 2019-005262PRJ | | | | | | | |
| Plans Dated | | Previous Approval Action | New Approval Action | | | | |
| | | Other (please specify) | | | | | |
| Modified Project Description: | | | | | | | |
| DETERMINATION IF PROJECT CONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION | | | | | | | |
| Compared to the approved project, would the modified project: | | | | | | | |
| | Result in expansion of the buil | ding envelope, as defined in the Planning | Code; | | | | |
| | Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code Sections 311 or 312; | | | | | | |
| | Result in demolition as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005(f)? | | | | | | |
| | Is any information being presented that was not known and could not have been known at the time of the original determination, that shows the originally approved project may no longer qualify for the exemption? | | | | | | |
| If at least one of the above boxes is checked, further environmental review is required. | | | | | | | |
| DETERMINATION OF NO SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION | | | | | | | |
| | The proposed modification would not result in any of the above changes. | | | | | | |
| If this box is checked, the proposed modifications are categorically exempt under CEQA, in accordance with prior project approval and no additional environmental review is required. This determination shall be posted on the Planning Department website and office and mailed to the applicant, City approving entities, and anyone requesting written notice. | | | | | | | |
| Planner Name: | | Date: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |