

Meeting Date: January 6, 2023

**To**: Public Works Commission

Lauren Post, Chair Fady Zoubi, Vice Chair Lynne Newhouse Segal

Paul Woolford

**Through:** Carla Short, Interim Public Works Director

Albert Ko, City Engineer and Deputy Director of Public Works

From: Edmund Lee, Public Works Project Manager

Subject: Pedestrian Safety Improvements Various Locations – Contract

Modification

**Director's Recommendation**: Approve an increase of \$529,080 to the contract cost contingency and 210 days to the contract duration contingency to Contract No. 1000011946 Pedestrian Safety Improvements Various Locations with R&S Construction Management, Inc.; and authorize the Director of Public Works to approve future modifications to the contract for a total contract amount of up to \$1,693,056 and total contract duration of up to 540 days. On May 13, 2021, the activities encompassed under the contract were determined to be exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under the Class 1 categorical exemption (CEQA Guidelines Section 15301) and under the Class 3 categorical exemption (CEQA Guidelines Section 15303), as described in the CEQA Exemption Determination (Case No. 2020-008063ENV) contained in the Commission's files for this contract modification.

Contract Background: The Pedestrian Safety Improvements Various Locations project seeks to improve pedestrian accessibility and contribute towards the City's goal to have a curb ramp installed at every intersection and mid-block locations where pedestrian crossings exist. The project addresses specific locations of curb ramp construction where sub-sidewalk basements exist underneath the curb returns, and consists of curb extensions, ADA compliant curb ramps, pedestrian and traffic signal work, and street light work. On November 8, 2021, Public Works awarded R&S Construction Management, Inc (Contractor) a construction contract for the Pedestrian Safety Improvements Various Locations (Project) in the amount of \$1,058,160.

In April 2022, the Notice-to-Proceed was issued for construction services and the Contractor would have up to 245 days for substantial completion and up to 45 days for final completion.

**Explanation of Requested Contract Cost and Duration**: While the Project team has been able to successfully work with the Contractor to mitigate challenges encountered during construction, due to utility coordination, and unforeseen conditions encountered during construction, the project's contract cost and duration has been impacted. The additional contingency reserve requested is due to the following:

### 8th St/Minna St

Utility Coordination. Coordination with the San Francisco Water Department (SFWD) of a water department valve relocation took significantly longer than anticipated. During construction, SFWD had challenges assigning a crew to perform this work. In addition, during construction, SFWD proposed a changed in scope of work to potentially relocate the water valve to a different location, which required further investigation. Upon further investigation it was determined the relocation was not necessary and the existing condition was sufficient. This further investigation lead to an impact to the contract duration.

### Ellis St/Jones St

**Unforeseen Condition**. During a preconstruction site survey of the sub-sidewalk basement, it was determined that the existing conditions deteriorated further from the inspections during the design phase of the project. This discovery resulted in a re-design and change in scope from originally overlaying the concrete sidewalk, to now fully reconstructing the sub-sidewalk basement roof slab as well re-installing utility poles in the area. The additional scope impacted the contract cost and duration.

In addition, a utility conflict was discovered at the location where a catch basin was to be relocated. Upon further investigation, the catch basin and drainage design needed to be redesigned. The result of the utility conflict also impacted the contract cost and duration.

Holiday Moratorium: Due to the schedule impacts described above, the project is now also impacted by the City's Holiday Moratorium. If the Contractor starts construction at other locations, they would need to pause work during the Holiday Moratorium, which could disrupt the public and potentially cause wet weather damage to sub-sidewalk basement properties. To minimize public and business owner disruption, the project team is recommending postponing any construction at other locations until after the Holiday Moratorium. This change will also impact the contract duration.

### **Contract Details:**

Contract Title:	Pedestrian Safety Improvements Various Locations
Contract Original Award Amount:	\$1,058,160.00
Contract Original Duration:	300 days
Contractor Name:	R&S Construction Management, Inc

### **Summary of Contract Value:**

Contract Cost Amount	Amounts
Original Contract Amount:	\$1,058,160.00
Original Contingency Amount:	\$105,816.00
Previously Approved Contingency Reserve:	-
Additional Contingency Reserve Requested:	\$529,080.00
<b>Authorized Contract Cost Limit:</b>	\$1,693,056.00

Contract Duration	Days
Original Contract Duration (Substantial & Final	300
Completion:	
Original Contingency Duration:	30
Previously Approved Contingency Reserve:	-
Additional Contingency Reserve Requested:	210
<b>Authorized Contract Duration Limit:</b>	540

Contract Funding Sources:	Proposition AA, General Fund
Compliance Information:	12B Equal Benefits Ordinance Compliant
Environmental Review	The activities encompassed under the proposed contract modification were determined to be exempt from CEQA under the Class 1 categorical exemption (CEQA Guidelines Section 15301 and the Class 3 categorical exemption (CEQA Guidelines Section 15303), as described in the CEQA Exemption Determination (Case No. 2020-008063ENV) contained in the Commission's files for this contract modification.
Attachments:	Attachment 1: Commission Resolution Attachment 2: CEQA Determination 2020-008063ENV

### PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

RESOLUTION NO.	-

WHEREAS, The Pedestrian Safety Improvements Various Locations project seeks to improve pedestrian accessibility and contribute towards the City's goal to have a curb ramp installed at every intersection and mid-block locations where pedestrian crossings exist; and

WHEREAS, The project consists of improvements including curb extensions, ADA compliant curb ramps, pedestrian and traffic signal work, and street light work; and

WHEREAS, On May 13, 2021, the San Francisco Planning Department determined the project was exempt in (Case No. 2020-008063ENV or "CEQA Determination") finding that the project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") under the Class 1 categorical exemption (CEQA Guidelines Section 15301) and under the Class 3 categorical exemption (CEQA Guidelines Section 15303); and,

WHEREAS, On November 8, 2021, San Francisco Public Works awarded Contract No. 1000011946 under DPW Order No 205,683 for the Pedestrian Safety Improvements Various Locations Project to R&S Construction Management, Inc in the amount of \$1,058,160.00 with a contract duration of 300 calendar days; and

WHEREAS, An increase of \$529,080 to the contract cost contingency and 210 days to the contract duration contingency is requested for the Pedestrian Safety Improvements Various Locations Project; and

WHEREAS, The cost and duration modification approved by this action would cover utility workarounds and unforeseen sub-sidewalk basement conditions within sub-sidewalk basements encountered during construction; and

WHEREAS, The CEQA Determination is on file with the Public Works Commission Affairs Manager, and may be found in the records of the Planning Department at 49 South Van Ness Avenue in San Francisco, are is incorporated herein by reference; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That this Commission hereby approves an increase of \$529,080 to the contract cost contingency and 210 days to the contract duration contingency for the Pedestrian Safety Improvements Various Locations Project contract with R&S Construction, Inc.; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That this Commission hereby authorizes the Director of Public Works to approve future modifications to the contract for a total contract cost of up to \$1,693,056.00 and total contract duration of up to 540 days.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Public Works Commission at its meeting of

Commission Affairs Manager Public Works Commission



49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94103 628.652.7600 www.sfplanning.org

## **CEQA Exemption Determination**

### PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address			Block/Lot(s)
DPW: Pedestrian Safety Improvements at Various Locations		Improvements at Various Locations	
Case No.			Permit No.
2020-008063ENV			
Ad	ldition/	Demolition (requires HRE for	New
Alt	teration	Category B Building)	Construction
Proje	ct description for	Planning Department approval.	
		orks proposes ADA improvements, pedestrian bul	
		d streetlights, and drainage work to accommodate work is proposed at the northwest corner of Califo	
		ete sidewalk down and apply a cementitious layer	
for mi	nor elevation chan	ges to the sidewalk to further reinforce the structu	re and accommodate ADA
		all new curb ramps.The following work is proposed	
		d Ellis streets intersection: remove the existing sic structural reinforcing at the northwest corner to ac	
		g the existing sub-sidewalk hatch doors and instal	
		ramps and pedestrian bulb-out on both corners; the	
		rner would be removed and a new light pole would c signal pole for the Jones Street side of the north	
	on the Ellis Street s		west semier, replace the externing light
FULL	PROJECT DESCI	RIPTION ATTACHED	
STEP	1: EXEMPTION T	YPE	
The p	The project has been determined to be exempt under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).		
	Class 1 - Existin	g Facilities. Interior and exterior alterations; addit	ions under 10,000 sq. ft.
		onstruction. Up to three new single-family resider	<del>-</del>
	building; comme permitted or with	rcial/office structures; utility extensions; change of	use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally
$\vdash$	•		
		I Development. New Construction of seven or mo d meets the conditions described below:	re units or additions greater than
		s consistent with the applicable general plan desig	nation and all applicable general plan
	policies as well a	as with applicable zoning designation and regulation	ons.
	<ul> <li>(b) The proposed development occurs within city limits on a project site of no more than 5 acres substantially surrounded by urban uses.</li> <li>(c) The project site has no value as habitat for endangered rare or threatened species.</li> <li>(d) Approval of the project would not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality.</li> </ul>		
		be adequately served by all required utilities and p	oudlic services.
$\vdash \vdash \vdash$	Other		
╽╙╽	<u> </u>		
$\vdash = \vdash$	Common Sonos	Exemption (CEQA Guidelines section 15061(b)	(2)) It can be seen with cortainty that

there is no possibility of a significant effect on the environment. FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING USE ONLY

# STEP 2: ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING ASSESSMENT TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

	<b>Air Quality:</b> Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone? Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g. use of diesel construction equipment, backup diesel generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks, etc.)? (refer to The Environmental Information tab on the San Francisco Property Information Map)	
	Hazardous Materials: Maher or Cortese  If the project site is located on the Maher map or is suspected of containing hazardous materials (based on a previous use such as gas station, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy manufacturing, or a site with underground storage tanks): Would the project involve 50 cubic yards or more of soil disturbance - or a change of use from industrial to residential?  Note that a categorical exemption shall not be issued for a project located on the Cortese List if box is checked, note below whether the applicant has enrolled in or received a waiver from the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, or if Environmental Planning staff has determined that hazardous material effects would be less than significant. (refer to The Environmental Information tab on the San Francisco Property Information Map)	
	<b>Transportation:</b> Does the project involve a child care facility or school with 30 or more students, or a location 1,500 sq. ft. or greater? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities? Would the project involve the intensification of or a substantial increase in vehicle trips at the site due to autonomous vehicle or for-hire vehicle fleet maintenance, operations or charging?	
	Archeological Resources: Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? If yes, archeology review is required.	
	Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment: Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? (refer to The Environmental Information tab on the San Francisco Property Information Map) If box is checked, Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.	
	Average Slope of Parcel = or > 25%, or site is in Edgehill Slope Protection Area or Northwest Mt.  Sutro Slope Protection Area: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) New building construction, except one-story storage or utility occupancy, (2) horizontal additions, if the footprint area increases more than 50%, or (3) horizontal and vertical additions increase more than 500 square feet of new projected roof area? (refer to The Environmental Planning tab on the San Francisco Property Information Map) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is likely required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.	
	Seismic Hazard: Landslide or Liquefaction Hazard Zone:  Does the project involve any of the following: (1) New building construction, except one-story storage or utility occupancy, (2) horizontal additions, if the footprint area increases more than 50%, (3) horizontal and vertical additions increase more than 500 square feet of new projected roof area, or (4) grading performed at a site in the landslide hazard zone? (refer to The Environmental tab on the San Francisco Property Information Map) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.	
Comments and Planner Signature (optional): Don Lewis		
On May 13, 2021, planning department staff archaeologist determined that the project has the potential to adversely affect archaeological resources. This impact may be avoided by implementation of Public Works Standard Archaeological Measure I (Discovery during Construction) at the Jones/Ellis and California/Hyde intersections, and Public Works Standard Archaeological Measure 2 (Monitoring) at all corners of the 8th/Minna intersection.		

### STEP 3: PROPERTY STATUS - HISTORIC RESOURCE TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER PROPERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: (refer to Property Information Map) Category A: Known Historical Resource. GO TO STEP 5. Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 4. Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 6. STEP 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER Check all that apply to the project. 1. Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included. 2. Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building. 3. Window replacement that meets the Department's Window Replacement Standards. Does not include storefront window alterations. 4. Garage work. A new opening that meets the Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts, and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines. 5. Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way. 6. Mechanical equipment installation that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way. 7. Dormer installation that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under Zoning Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows. 8. Addition(s) that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a П single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features. Note: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding. Project is not listed. GO TO STEP 5. Project does not conform to the scopes of work. GO TO STEP 5. Project involves four or more work descriptions. GO TO STEP 5. Project involves less than four work descriptions. GO TO STEP 6. STEP 5: ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW TO BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER Check all that apply to the project. 1. Reclassification of property status. (Attach HRER Part I) Reclassify to Category A Reclassify to Category C a. Per HRER (No further historic review) b. Other (specify): 2. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4.

4. **Window replacement** of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character.

Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.

defining features.

3. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces that do not remove, alter, or obscure character

	<ol><li>Raising the building in a manner that does not remofeatures.</li></ol>	ove, alter, or obscure character-defining	
	7. <b>Restoration</b> based upon documented evidence of a photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar building.		
	8. Work consistent with the Secretary of the Interior St (Analysis required):	andards for the Treatment of Historic Properties	
	Work compatible with a historic district (Analysis red	,	
	Curb ramp work within PROW. Will not remove or alter Tenderloin and Western SOMA Light Industrial Resider		
	10. Work that would not materially impair a historic re	esource (Attach HRER Part II).	
	Note: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked	l, a Preservation Planner MUST sign below.	
	Project can proceed with exemption review. The project has been reviewed by the Preservation Planner and can proceed with exemption review. GO TO STEP 6.		
Comm	Comments (optional):		
Preser	rvation Planner Signature: Stephanie Cisneros		
STE	EP 6: EXEMPTION DETERMINATION		
TO E	BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER		
	No further environmental review is required. The pro unusual circumstances that would result in a reason		
	unusuai circumstances that would result in a reason	able possibility of a significant effect.	
	Project Approval Action:	Signature:	
	Public Works Director's Direction to Proceed	Don Lewis	
		05/13/2021	
	Once signed or stamped and dated, this document constitutes an ex-		
	In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of an exemption determination to the Board of Supervisors can only be filed within 30 days of the project receiving the approval action.  Please note that other approval actions may be required for the project. Please contact the assigned planner for these approvals.		

### **Full Project Description**

San Francisco Public Works proposes ADA improvements, pedestrian bulb-outs, a raised crosswalk, installation of new traffic signals and streetlights, and drainage work to accommodate the proposed raised crosswalk and bulb-outs.

The following work is proposed at the northwest corner of California and Hyde streets intersection: grind the existing concrete sidewalk down and apply a cementitious layer where minor adjustments are needed for minor elevation changes to the sidewalk to further reinforce the structure and accommodate ADA improvements; and install new curb ramps.

The following work is proposed at the northwest and southeast corners of the Jones and Ellis streets intersection: remove the existing sidewalk concrete slab at both corners; perform localized minor structural reinforcing at the northwest corner to accommodate new elevator shaft doors (which includes removing the existing sub-sidewalk hatch doors and installing new 5'-6" by 5'-6" stainless steel doors); install new curb ramps and pedestrian bulb-out on both corners; the existing light pole on the Ellis Street side of the northwest corner would be removed and a new light pole would be installed on the new bulb-out section; install new traffic signal pole for the Jones Street side of the northwest corner; replace the existing light pole on the Ellis Street side of the southeast corner with a new light pole on the new bulb-out section; install new traffic signal pole for the Jones Street side of the southeast corner; restore existing decorative crosswalk; adjust existing fire alarm box on the northwest corner; abandon an existing catch basin on northwest corner and install new one at the new bulb-out location (the new catch basin would be connected to the main sewer at the existing connection); and existing sidewalk vents would be salvaged and re-set.

The following work is proposed at all corners of the 8th and Minna streets intersection: install new curb ramps; reconstruction of the concrete sidewalk on the northwest, northeast, and southeast corners; construction of a new raised crosswalk between the west corners; adjust catch basins on the west corners and connect to the sewer main; and install new catch basin on the Minna side of the northwest corner and connect to the sewer main.

All existing building facades would remain intact and all existing street furniture and granite curb (straight pieces) would be reset. Private and City utilities would be adjusted and/or relocated. Per Public Works Directive 201954, linear sections of curb within the project limits shall be restored with salvaged granite curb. Maximum excavation for the proposed project would be 8 feet below existing ground surface.

### STEP 7: MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT

#### TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA.

### **MODIFIED PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

Modified Project Description:			
DE	TERMINATION IF PROJECT (	CONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION	
Com	pared to the approved project, w	ould the modified project:	
	Result in expansion of the building envelope, as defined in the Planning Code;		
	Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code Sections 311 or 312;		
	Result in demolition as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005(f)?		
$ \Box$	Is any information being presented that was not known and could not have been known		
	at the time of the original determination, that shows the originally approved project may no longer qualify for the exemption?		
If of I	If at least one of the above boxes is checked, further environmental review is required.		
		·	
DET	ERMINATION OF NO SUBSTAI	NTIAL MODIFICATION	
	The proposed modification would not result in any of the above changes.		
If this box is checked, the proposed modifications are exempt under CEQA, in accordance with prior project approval and no additional environmental review is required. This determination shall be posted on the Planning Department			
website and office and mailed to the applicant, City approving entities, and anyone requesting written notice. In accordance with Chapter 31, Sec 31.08j of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of this determination can be filed to the			
Environmental Review Officer within 10 days of posting of this determination.			
Plan	ner Name:	Date:	